## **GENERAL COMMENTS**

## Teachers should note that the comments made in this report are based on the *Information Technology Study Design* 2000–2002. A reaccredited study design has been implemented in 2003.

Almost all 2986 students completed the paper. The structure of the examination paper was similar to the previous year. The examination booklet comprised nine questions. The marks allocated to a question are a useful guide as to how much detail is required in a response.

The maximum possible score was 94. The case study and resource material relating to the case study were printed on a detachable insert placed in the centrefold of the examination booklet. Students were expected to detach the insert so they would have the case study in front of them to refer to as they were responding to the questions. However, the case study insert remained intact in a significant number of examination booklets. Teachers should explain to students the purpose of the case study being detachable and demonstrate how to best use it.

For many questions, students could only obtain full marks where their responses specifically related to the case study. In order to demonstrate their understanding of a given concept, students needed to apply their knowledge to a specific situation – in this case EASI. Teachers are advised to prepare students by insisting that responses to practice questions include specific reference to the case study upon which each question is based.

It was pleasing to note that Question 2, the data flow diagram and Question 6, the algorithm test data, were better answered this year. Question 5 relating to variable identification and algorithm error checking was poorly answered and Question 9 relating to data acquisition for system evaluation proved difficult for students.

Teachers are urged to impress on their students the importance of reading each question carefully and reading the question again after completing their response to ensure it has addressed the question. A number of students who wrote strong responses underlined the key words in the questions. Teachers should provide students with practice at identifying and underlining, or highlighting, the key words in questions so that they learn to identify the focus of the question. Teachers should strongly discourage students from using pencil when writing their responses.

Question	Marks 70 Resp	onse					
Question 1	This question required students to demonstrate their understanding of the role of information systems in						
	organisations. Information technology is concerned with the role of information systems in assisting						
	organisations to achieve their goals and students must learn how an information system fits into an						
	organisation. An organisation has goals to achieve; it sets measurable objectives that can be used to						
	evaluate achievement of its goals. Those organisation goals and objectives determine the information						
	system goals, which are achieved by setting and reaching measurable system objectives. System						
	objectives usually set targets regarding the quality of the content and format of output and/or the speed at						
	which output is generated. An example from the examination case study:						
	Organisation goal Take a leading role in delivery of tests for assessment of						
	0 0	industry certificate qualifications.					
	Measurable organisation Assess 25% of all students seeking qualifications						
	objectives						
	Information system goa	l Provide online assessment.					
	Measurable system	Display student success state immediately after					
	objectives	examination completed.					
	Securely store examination and student data.Organisation goalReduce the cost of providing high quality learning						
	materials. Measurable organisation Cost of learning materials to be less than \$x per student. objectives						
	Information system gos	Learning materials to be in electronic form					
	information system got						
	Measurable system	Deliver course materials via the Internet.					
	objectives						

## SPECIFIC INFORMATION

	<b>a</b> 0/4 1/4 2/4 3/4 4/4	10 16 27 30 17	Most students managed to identify two sys contribute to the <i>organisation's goals</i> (aim had misread the question or did not relate s students were unable to draw the distinctio organisation (rather than the system) could Expected answers included.	<i>tem goals</i> and explain how they would s) but many responses indicated students specifically to the case study. A number of n and wrote responses focusing on how the achieve its aims.
	(Average mark		Aim of organisation	How system could assist achieve the organisation aim
	2.26)		take a leading role in delivery of tests	online testing that provides immediate feedback to student
			ensure company's activities meet the needs of the students	online training materials allow students to study in their own time at their own pace
			develop the client base for the company at a national level	by locating assessment centres across Australia students can sit examinations at the assessment centre nearest to their homes
			reduce the cost of providing high quality learning materials	once the online learning materials have been developed delivery costs to students is minimal compared with print materials which include costs of printing, photocopying and postage
	<b>b</b> 0/2 1/2 2/2	47 36 17	Poorly answered, this question required stu of the distinction between <i>organisational</i> g Students were expected to write an objectiv this task difficult. Acceptable responses inc	idents to demonstrate their understanding <i>coals</i> and information <i>system objectives</i> . We that could be measured and many found cluded:
	(Average mark 0.7)		<ul> <li>provide secure storage of examinations</li> <li>accurately and reliably measure studen</li> <li>provide an online method of assessmer</li> <li>allow access to course material from al</li> </ul>	and student data t achievement tt l areas of Australia.
Question 2	0/10 1/10 2/10 3/10 4/10 5/10 6/10 7/10 8/10 9/10 10/10 (Average mark 5_47)	14 5 5 6 9 10 13 12 12 9	Most students identified some of the proce data flow diagram (DFD). Some students of Those who labelled the processes by beg to score better, for example: Book examina Compare student responses with solutions, Most students recognised that each data a stores with different file names. Less succe the difference between the Examination bo Students must learn that DFDs are an impor should be able to correctly identify all elen destinations, processes, data stores and data to them.	sses and most of the files represented in the confused processes with stores (files). inning the process label with a verb tended ation, Upload bookings, Sit examination, Send results. store held different data and labelled the essful students, however, did not recognise okings file and the Examinations file. ortant tool in systems analysis and they nents of a DFD (including data sources, a flows) and attach the appropriate symbols
Question 3	5.47) Students we ring). Stude has a central device is at Students network (e. bus network in one direct	ere req ents sho l comp backbo tached should g. in a c all no ction fr	uired to demonstrate knowledge of two of the build have demonstrated understanding of the buter with each terminal attached directly to bone and can communicate with any other device to the next device with no central computer) also have demonstrated a basic understandir star network data is transmitted from each no bodes share the central backbone for transmitted om one node to the next until it reaches the c	e three network topologies (bus, star, token basic structure (e.g. briefly, a star network it; in a bus network each device is attached vice attached; and in a token ring each ng of how data is transmitted over the ode directly to the central computer; in a ing data and in a token ring the data travels lestination node).

	<b>a</b> 0/4       18         1/4       12         2/4       20         3/4       24         4/4       26         (Average mark         2.29) <b>b</b> 0/3       10         1/3       36	18 12 20 24 26	Most students identified an advantage and disadvantage of two network topologies. Less successful students confused bus networks with star networks. A number of students wrote one word responses such as 'cheaper', 'quicker'. Such responses were unacceptable as the question required students to <b>describe</b> one advantage and one disadvantage. Acceptable responses included: Advantage Star • a break in the cable between a computer terminal and the central computer affects only that one computer • faster transmission of data		
			Bus       • a problem with one computer will not affect others       • if the backbone breaks then data transmission to all stations beyond the break is disrupted         • is relatively inexpensive because it uses less cable than the Star       • a problem with one computer will not affect others		
			Token Ring•less degradation of performance as traffic increases•a break in the cable affects all terminals•less cabling so cheaper to install•a break in the cable affects all terminals		
			A few students offered responses describing the advantages of particular network protocols. This is not the place for a full discussion about networks. However, students would find useful information at an appropriate level of sophistication and complexity at www.howstuffworks.com and by reading about the OSI model which distinguishes the data communications functions of networks.		
		10 36	This question was poorly answered. Many students were unable to make a recommendation specific to the assessment centres. More successful students identified that the star network:		
	2/3 31 3/3 23 (Average mark 1.66)		<ul> <li>ensures that if one computer breaks down all other students sitting the examination could continue working on their terminals</li> <li>gives faster data transfer speed which could be an issue for examinations using graphics data.</li> </ul>		
1.00)	1.00)		Marks were awarded for recommending the bus network where the reasons given were logically acceptable for the assessment centres.		
Question 4	This quest mainly bec	ion was cause st	similar to Question 1 on last year's examination. However, it was poorly answered dents did not read the question parts correctly.		
	<b>a</b> 0/3 1/3 2/3 3/3	41 2 6 51	The question asked students to identify how the hardware specification could be changed. Many students just identified a hardware item. Most students were able to explain why the change was important for examination security. An appropriate change to the hardware specification was to remove the floppy disk drive/CD-RWdrive/printer (only one of these was expected but many identified more than one).		
	(Average mark 1.67)		This change was important for examination security to prevent students copying their test and distributing it to others. A few students suggested removing the sound card and speakers but these would not affect system security. Some students suggested removing the hard disk drive but this is needed to store the system programs.		

	b		Most students identified	appropriate hardware specifications (capacity and capability)		
	0/6	19	and were able to provid	e a reason for the selection. Successful answers identified the		
	1/6	5	speed of the network ca	rd as important for ensuring fast transfer of student response		
	2/6	13	data and identified the c	apacity of the hard disk drive because it must store all the		
	3/6	13	examinations and stude	nt data.		
	4/6	17				
	5/6	12				
	6/6	21				
	(Average					
	mark					
	3 25)					
	5.23)		Most students do not un	derstand the functions of a computer operating system		
	0/6	17	Operating systems are c	continually developing and newer operating systems include		
	1/6	3	features once regarded	as extras. However, the basic concept is that an operating		
	2/6	10	system controls the one	rations of a computer: honce its functions include: controlling		
	2/6	15	the hordwore and period	varials, providing a user interface, managing processing tasks		
	5/0	15	menoging files and cont	relais, providing a user interface, managing processing tasks,		
	4/0	10	managing mes and com	Ioning access.		
	5/6	11	The question specific	any required students to identify software functions related to		
	6/6	28	security of examination	data and student results. Students could only be awarded full		
	(Average		marks if their answers a	ddressed security issues that relate to controlling access.		
	mark		Acceptable answers dis	cussed logon IDs and passwords for students to ensure only		
	3.55)		authorised users could a	access the system, firewalls to prevent hackers and other		
			companies stealing the	examinations and/or student results, levels of access to stored		
			files to ensure users had	access only to those programs and files needed (for example,		
			students should have ac	cess only to the examination they were sitting).		
Question 5	Few studer	nts ansv	vered this question correc	tly. Many students were unable to differentiate variable types		
	and a cons	iderable	e number were unable to o	comprehend the algorithm. The study design emphasises the		
	importance	e of des	ign in methodical problen	n solving. Teachers should ensure that students are taught how		
	to use prob	olem-so	lving tools such as flow c	harts and structure charts and particularly pseudo code for		
	representir	representing solutions to programming problems.				
	a		Expected responses to t	his question included:		
	0/4	41	Variable types	Variable names		
	1/4	18	Alphanumeric	choice, student ID, Unit No		
	2/4	18	Arrav	correct response		
	3/4	15	Boolean	success		
	4/4	8	Integer	pass mark examination score.		
	(Average		e	counter		
	mark		Maat noon an aaa in dia.	ter detudents had na idea what a Daalaan Intaaan an Amay		
	1.31)		worighta type was This	aculd be explained by a number of issues:		
			variable type was. This	could be explained by a number of issues.		
			<ul> <li>insufficient emphas</li> </ul>	is on programming in coursework		
			• student attempting of	only simple programming activities with one variable type		
			(alphanumeric)			
			<ul><li>(alphanumeric)</li><li>copying program state</li></ul>	atements from a source rather than creating their own		
	b		<ul> <li>(alphanumeric)</li> <li>copying program state</li> <li>This question, and 5c, to</li> </ul>	atements from a source rather than creating their own ested students' ability to read and understand an algorithm.		
	<b>b</b> 0/2	53	<ul> <li>(alphanumeric)</li> <li>copying program state</li> <li>This question, and 5c, to Many students either diagonal</li> </ul>	atements from a source rather than creating their own ested students' ability to read and understand an algorithm. d not respond or gained no marks for their response as they		
	<b>b</b> 0/2 1/2	53 10	<ul> <li>(alphanumeric)</li> <li>copying program statistical statement</li> <li>This question, and 5c, to Many students either difficult to recognise that</li> </ul>	atements from a source rather than creating their own ested students' ability to read and understand an algorithm. d not respond or gained no marks for their response as they the missing line calculated the examination score. The		
	<b>b</b> 0/2 1/2 2/2	53 10 37	<ul> <li>(alphanumeric)</li> <li>copying program stat</li> <li>This question, and 5c, ta</li> <li>Many students either dialed to recognise that</li> <li>expected response there</li> </ul>	atements from a source rather than creating their own ested students' ability to read and understand an algorithm. d not respond or gained no marks for their response as they the missing line calculated the examination score. The fore was examination score $\leftarrow$ examination score + 1		
	<b>b</b> 0/2 1/2 2/2 (Average	53 10 37	<ul> <li>(alphanumeric)</li> <li>copying program sta</li> <li>This question, and 5c, ta</li> <li>Many students either dialed to recognise that</li> <li>expected response there</li> </ul>	atements from a source rather than creating their own ested students' ability to read and understand an algorithm. d not respond or gained no marks for their response as they the missing line calculated the examination score. The fore was examination_score $\leftarrow$ examination_score + 1		
	<b>b</b> 0/2 1/2 2/2 (Average mark	53 10 37	<ul> <li>(alphanumeric)</li> <li>copying program sta</li> <li>This question, and 5c, to</li> <li>Many students either difailed to recognise that</li> <li>expected response there</li> </ul>	atements from a source rather than creating their own ested students' ability to read and understand an algorithm. d not respond or gained no marks for their response as they the missing line calculated the examination score. The fore was examination_score $\leftarrow$ examination_score + 1		
	<b>b</b> 0/2 1/2 2/2 (Average mark 0 84)	53 10 37	<ul> <li>(alphanumeric)</li> <li>copying program sta</li> <li>This question, and 5c, to</li> <li>Many students either difailed to recognise that</li> <li>expected response there</li> </ul>	atements from a source rather than creating their own ested students' ability to read and understand an algorithm. d not respond or gained no marks for their response as they the missing line calculated the examination score. The fore was examination_score $\leftarrow$ examination_score + 1		
	<b>b</b> 0/2 1/2 2/2 (Average mark 0.84) <b>c</b>	53 10 37	<ul> <li>(alphanumeric)</li> <li>copying program sta</li> <li>This question, and 5c, to</li> <li>Many students either difailed to recognise that</li> <li>expected response there</li> </ul>	atements from a source rather than creating their own ested students' ability to read and understand an algorithm. d not respond or gained no marks for their response as they the missing line calculated the examination score. The fore was examination_score $\leftarrow$ examination_score + 1		
	b 0/2 1/2 2/2 (Average mark 0.84) c 0/3	53 10 37	<ul> <li>(alphanumeric)</li> <li>copying program sta</li> <li>This question, and 5c, to</li> <li>Many students either difailed to recognise that</li> <li>expected response there</li> <li>Students were expected</li> <li>score had to equal the p</li> </ul>	atements from a source rather than creating their own ested students' ability to read and understand an algorithm. d not respond or gained no marks for their response as they the missing line calculated the examination score. The fore was examination_score $\leftarrow$ examination_score + 1 to correctly identify the error as being that the examination ass mark for a student to page. These students generally were		
	b 0/2 1/2 2/2 (Average mark 0.84) c 0/3 1/3	53 10 37 63 2	<ul> <li>(alphanumeric)</li> <li>copying program sta This question, and 5c, to Many students either di- failed to recognise that expected response there</li> <li>Students were expected score had to equal the p able to correct the error</li> </ul>	atements from a source rather than creating their own ested students' ability to read and understand an algorithm. d not respond or gained no marks for their response as they the missing line calculated the examination score. The fore was examination_score $\leftarrow$ examination_score + 1 to correctly identify the error as being that the examination ass mark for a student to pass. These students generally were with this statement if examination_score $\geq$ pass_mark then		
	b 0/2 1/2 2/2 (Average mark 0.84) c 0/3 1/3 2/3	53 10 37 63 2 4	<ul> <li>(alphanumeric)</li> <li>copying program sta This question, and 5c, to Many students either difailed to recognise that expected response there</li> <li>Students were expected score had to equal the p able to correct the error</li> </ul>	atements from a source rather than creating their own ested students' ability to read and understand an algorithm. d not respond or gained no marks for their response as they the missing line calculated the examination score. The fore was examination_score $\leftarrow$ examination_score + 1 to correctly identify the error as being that the examination ass mark for a student to pass. These students generally were with this statement: if examination_score >= pass_mark then.		
	b 0/2 1/2 2/2 (Average mark 0.84) c 0/3 1/3 2/3 3/3	53 10 37 63 2 4 31	<ul> <li>(alphanumeric)</li> <li>copying program sta</li> <li>This question, and 5c, to</li> <li>Many students either difailed to recognise that</li> <li>expected response there</li> <li>Students were expected</li> <li>score had to equal the p</li> <li>able to correct the error</li> </ul>	atements from a source rather than creating their own ested students' ability to read and understand an algorithm. d not respond or gained no marks for their response as they the missing line calculated the examination score. The fore was examination_score $\leftarrow$ examination_score + 1 to correctly identify the error as being that the examination ass mark for a student to pass. These students generally were with this statement: if examination_score >= pass_mark then.		
	b 0/2 1/2 2/2 (Average mark 0.84) c 0/3 1/3 2/3 3/3 (Average	53 10 37 63 2 4 31	<ul> <li>(alphanumeric)</li> <li>copying program sta</li> <li>This question, and 5c, to</li> <li>Many students either difailed to recognise that</li> <li>expected response there</li> <li>Students were expected</li> <li>score had to equal the p</li> <li>able to correct the error</li> </ul>	atements from a source rather than creating their own ested students' ability to read and understand an algorithm. d not respond or gained no marks for their response as they the missing line calculated the examination score. The fore was examination_score $\leftarrow$ examination_score + 1 to correctly identify the error as being that the examination ass mark for a student to pass. These students generally were with this statement: if examination_score >= pass_mark then.		
	b 0/2 1/2 2/2 (Average mark 0.84) c 0/3 1/3 2/3 3/3 (Average mark	53 10 37 63 2 4 31	<ul> <li>(alphanumeric)</li> <li>copying program sta This question, and 5c, to Many students either di failed to recognise that expected response there</li> <li>Students were expected score had to equal the p able to correct the error</li> </ul>	atements from a source rather than creating their own ested students' ability to read and understand an algorithm. d not respond or gained no marks for their response as they the missing line calculated the examination score. The fore was examination_score $\leftarrow$ examination_score + 1 to correctly identify the error as being that the examination ass mark for a student to pass. These students generally were with this statement: if examination_score >= pass_mark then.		

Question 6	0/8 1/8 2/8 3/8 4/8 5/8 6/8 7/8 8/8 (Average mark 4.49)	11 4 7 13 13 9 18 6 19	<ul> <li>This question assessed students' knowledge of testing of algorithm rules and processes, i.e. an algorithm should test valid and expected input, valid and unexpected input, input data outside the expected range, the boundaries etc. The algorithm presented these rules:</li> <li>if student in file continue</li> <li>if success (is true) then if examination score &gt;= 95 print a high distinction certificate</li> <li>if success (is true) then (if examination score &gt;=95) print a pass certificate</li> <li>if success (is false) then (examination score &lt;80) print a pass certificate</li> <li>if success (is false) then (examination score &lt;80) print a letter.</li> <li>The data sets presented by students should have addressed testing of the above rules.</li> <li>To gain full marks a student needed to supply for example:</li> <li>invalid student ID, valid unit_no, valid examination score = 97, success = Y to test that student existed in file</li> <li>valid student ID, valid unit_no, examination score = 95, success = Y to test that a high distinction certificate is printed</li> <li>valid student ID, valid unit_no, examination score = 86, success = Y to test that a pass certificate is printed</li> <li>valid student ID, valid unit_no, examination score = 86, success = Y to test that a pass certificate is printed</li> <li>valid student ID, valid unit_no, examination score = 75, success = N to test that a pass certificate is printed</li> <li>valid student ID, valid unit_no, examination score = 75, success = N to test that a letter is printed.</li> <li>A common error was to give as the reason for a test a statement like 'to test whether the student gets a high distinction' or 'to test if program recognises student passed'. The algorithm in fact, printed a document after evaluating the variable so only those students who stated that a document was printed and identified the ture of document</li> </ul>
			students who stated that a document was printed and identified the type of document
<b>Duestion</b> 7	This auest	ion foci	used on network issues and students' responses were variable. Whilst most students
	demonstra	ted som	he understanding of security issues in networks many had difficulty distinguishing
	between u	sing the	Internet to connect to a remote computer and using a direct line.
	a		This question was well answered with most students able to describe an advantage of
	0/4	4	direct line such as how it could be quicker, more secure, more reliable and a
	1/4	6	disadvantage such as the installation expense and limited use because it provided
	2/4	16	access to only one computer. Many described an advantage of Internet connection as
	3/4	21	the fact that it is relatively cheap, and can be used for other purposes such as
	4/4 (Average	53	accessing the EASI website. Others described a disadvantage of Internet connection
	(Average		as being the lack of security and the problem of reliability of access given demand on
	3 12)		
	<b>b</b>		Students who gained full marks related the question directly to the case study by
	0/4	11	identifying the need for security of student response data during transfer to head
	1/4	9	office and reliable access to the remote computer. Many recommended the direct line
	2/4	37	because it is likely to be more secure and more reliable with less likelihood of data
	3/4	12	interference. Others gained full marks for recommending an Internet connection
	4/4	31	because the firm already had this and it could be used for other purposes as well as
	(Average		transferring student data to and from EASI head office. These students also
	mark		recommended measures for ensuring data security by for example, the use of
	2.43)		encryption software and firewalls to protect the data.
	<b>c</b>	(	This question was quite well answered. Most students selected the use of a logon ID
	0/4	6	with password as an appropriate procedure. Suggestions for the second procedure
	1/4	6 25	included:
	2/4	55 17	• student give two forms of ID (one including a photo) to a supervisor when
	5/4 Δ/Δ	1/ 36	presenting for the examination
	(Average	50	• student provide ID which the examination centre supervisor matched against a
	mark		database held on computer
	2.71)		• student provide ID through a biometric scanner such as handprints, retina scans.
			The question required students to describe a procedure not a tool. To gain full
			marks students had to state how the tool would be used. Students who, for example,

			wrote 'student gives two forms of ID' did not meet the requirements of the question. To gain full marks the student should have added ' to the examination supervisor to check against EASI records'.
	d 0/6 1/6 2/6 3/6 4/6 5/6 6/6 (Average mark 3.65)	7 2 15 16 30 16 14	<ul> <li>This question was quite well answered; not only those students who related the explanation to the case study could get full marks. A good answer was supplied by a student who suggested an appropriate method of protection would be 'encryption which would scramble the results in an email so that only those with the correct key (tutor and head office) will be able to read the text'. This answer described a method of protection and explained how that method was relevant to EASI. Other acceptable answers included:</li> <li>the tutor could install a privacy product such as PKI or PGP so that only the tutor (who had the appropriate key) could open the email</li> <li>the results could be sent as an email attachment which was encrypted with the tutor as the only person with the key who could read the attachment</li> <li>the results could be sent as an email attachment which was protected with a password within. The tutor as the only person with the password who could open the attachment.</li> </ul>
	e 0/4 1/4 2/4 3/4 4/4 (Average mark 1.89)	29 9 28 12 22	Less successful students were either unable to distinguish physical security measures from electronic security measures or they identified only a security tool and did not provide a <b>description</b> of the security measure. Answers that supplied only the words 'use locks' or 'security cameras' were insufficient. More successful answers stated something like 'locks on the assessment centre doors and windows would deter potential break-ins by rival companies or 'security cameras could identify intruders'.
Question 8	0/9 1/9 2/9 3/9 4/9 5/9 6/9 7/9 8/9 9/9 (Average mark 4.79)	6 3 8 14 13 13 21 7 5 10	<ul> <li>This question was not well answered. Most students identified tasks that had to be done to 'operate and maintain the system'. Few students, however, could supply correct titles for people performing the tasks and many students confused the roles. Students were expected to list selections from these types of people: <ul> <li>information system manager</li> <li>network administrator</li> <li>database manager</li> <li>network technician</li> <li>system trainer (train assessment centre supervisors, tutors and students in use of the system)</li> <li>web manager/officer</li> <li>programmer (update examinations programs, provide code for website).</li> </ul> </li> <li>Some suggested a systems analyst would be needed but this person is not involved in maintaining or operating a system. Similarly, an accountant and Managing Director might be needed by the organisation but these people are not involved in maintaining</li> </ul>
Question 9	0/8 1/8 2/8 3/8 4/8 5/8 6/8 7/8 8/8 (Average mark	11 6 13 16 21 15 11 4 3	As with Question 9 last year, this question was poorly answered. Although many students were able to identify a data collection technique, few students were able to describe a method of evaluation. Many students identified a data collection technique but did not write how it might be used. For example, running costs may be evaluated by comparing monthly expense reports to discover the trend. Responses that merely stated 'monthly expense reports' were inadequate. User friendliness of the interface could be evaluated by surveying the students and analysing the responses. Reliability of data transfer could be evaluated by keeping a record of all instances of data corruption and comparing this against a preset standard. The disaster recovery plan could be evaluated by crashing the system, documenting the recovery time and comparing this against the standard
	3.55)		comparing and against the standard.