CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS

Pre-U Certificate

MARK SCHEME for the May/June 2013 series

9778 PRINCIPAL SUBJECT MANDARIN CHINESE

9778/03 Paper 3 (Writing and Usage), maximum raw mark 60

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2013 series for most IGCSE, Pre-U, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level components and some Ordinary Level components.



Page 2	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Pre-U – May/June 2013	9778	03

SECTION 1

Exercise 1: Radical and stroke order skills

Question 1

(a) Identification of character by radical = 3 × 1 mark [1 + 1 + 1]

(i) Character: 梅 Radical: 木 [1]

(ii) Character: 雪 Radical: 雨 [1]

(iii) Character: 岭 Radical: 山 [1]

(b) Stroke order = 3×1 mark [1 + 1 + 1]

(ii) 雪

一一门的时间的南雪雪

(iii) 岭

1 山山山山山山

Exercise 2: Use of grammar markers, aspect markers and measure words

Question 2

(a) vii [1]

(b) vi [1]

(c) iii [1]

(d) viii [1]

[Total marks for Section: 10]

Page 3	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Pre-U – May/June 2013	9778	03

SECTION 2: LETTER WRITING

Question 3

Communication of Required Elements = 5 marks

(i)	why the candidate is interested in the job	[1]
(ii)	what qualifications s/he has	[1]
(iii)	how s/he is going to improve the students' spoken English	[1]
(iv)	a question about the school	[1]
	Opening and closing in appropriate formal register	[1]

Language = 15 marks

Accuracy of Characters

5 marks	Highly accurate, with a wide range of characters including some more difficult or unusual ones correctly written, with occasional minor slips.	
4 marks	Not as consistently accurate nor as wide a range as the highest level, but a good range of characters attempted with easy and moderately easy characters correctly written.	
3 marks	A more limited range with most easy characters correctly written.	
2 marks	Substantially inaccurate despite several examples of correctly written characters.	
1 mark	Substantially inaccurate, with only isolated examples of correctly written characters.	
0 marks	No relevant material presented.	

Accuracy of Grammar and Structures

[10]

[5]

10/9 marks	Highly accurate including use of more complex structures, but with occasional minor slips.	
8/7 marks	Accurate in use of simpler structures, except for occasional more serious errors/more frequent slips.	
6/5 marks	Generally accurate, but with increased incidence of more serious errors (or an extremely limited range of structures).	
4/3 marks	Substantially inaccurate, despite several examples of accurate usage.	
2/1 marks	Substantially inaccurate, with only isolated examples of accurate usage.	
0 marks	No relevant material presented.	

[Total marks for Section : 20]

Page 4	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Pre-U – May/June 2013	9778	03

SECTION 3: OPINION ESSAY

Question 4

- Accuracy and linguist range (20 marks)
- Development and organisation of ideas (10 marks)

Where only a few **relevant** points are made it is unlikely that candidates will score more than a Satisfactory mark for Accuracy and linguistic range.

Accuracy and linguistic range	Development and organisation of ideas		
19-20 Excellent	10 Excellent		
Almost flawless. Excellent range of vocabulary and complex sentence patterns. Good sense of idiom.	Implications of question fully grasped. Ideas and arguments very effectively organised, illustrated with relevant examples. Wholly convincing.		
16–18 Very good	8–9 Very good		
Highly accurate. Wide range of vocabulary and complex sentence patterns. Some sense of idiom.	Most implications of question explored. Ideas and arguments well organised, illustrated with relevant examples. Coherent argument.		
12–15 Good	6–7 Good		
Generally accurate. Good range of vocabulary and some complex sentence patterns.	Main implications of question explored. Organisation generally clear but lacking coherence in places. Some relevant examples. Some ability to develop argument.		
8-11 Satisfactory	4–5 Satisfactory		
Predominantly simple sentence patterns correctly used and/or some complex language attempted, but with variable success. Adequate range of vocabulary, but some repetition.	Some implications of question explored. Patchy or unambitious organisation, but with some attempt at illustration. Some irrelevant material.		
4–7 Weak	2–3 Weak		
Persistent errors. Simple and repetitive sentence patterns. Limited vocabulary.	Limited understanding of question. A few relevant points made. Rambling and/or repetitive. Ideas and arguments poorly developed.		
1–3 Poor	1 Poor		
Little evidence of grammatical awareness. Very limited vocabulary.	Minimal response. Implications of question only vaguely grasped. Very limited relevant content. Disorganised, unsubstantiated and undeveloped.		
No relevant material presented	No relevant material presented		