

MARK SCHEME for the May/June 2008 question paper

0518 FIRST LANGUAGE THAI

0518/03

Paper 3 (Continuous Writing), maximum raw mark 40

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began.

All Examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes must be read in conjunction with the question papers and the report on the examination.

- CIE will not enter into discussions or correspondence in connection with these mark schemes.

CIE is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2008 question papers for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level syllabuses and some Ordinary Level syllabuses.

| | | | |
|--------|-----------------------|----------|-------|
| Page 2 | Mark Scheme | Syllabus | Paper |
| | IGCSE – May/June 2008 | 0518 | 03 |

| | |
|-------------------|--|
| A 36–40 | Apart from very occasional 'first draft' slips , the language is entirely accurate . Sentence structure is varied and demonstrates that the candidate has the skill to use various lengths and types of sentences to achieve a particular effect. Vocabulary is wide and is used with precision. Punctuation is accurate and helpful to the reader. Spelling is accurate across the full range of vocabulary used. Paragraphs have unity , are linked, and show evidence of planning. The topic is addressed with consistent relevance ; the interest of the reader is aroused and sustained . |
| B 31–35 | The language is accurate with only occasional errors . Vocabulary is wide enough to convey intended shades of meaning with some precision. Sentences show some variation of length and type , including the confident use of complex sentences. Punctuation is accurate . Spelling is nearly always accurate . Paragraphs show some evidence of planning , have unity and are usually appropriately linked. The piece of writing is a relevant response to the topic , and the interest of the reader is aroused and sustained through most of the composition . |
| C 26–30 | The language is largely accurate . Simple structures are used without error. Vocabulary is wide enough to convey intended meaning, but may lack precision. Sentences may show some variety of length , although there may be a tendency to repeat sentence types and 'shapes', producing a monotonous effect. Spelling of simple vocabulary is accurate . Punctuation is generally accurate . The composition is written in paragraphs which may show some unity, although links may be absent or inappropriate. The composition is a relevant response to the topic and will arouse some interest in the reader. |
| D 21–25 | The language is sufficiently accurate to communicate meaning clearly to the reader. There will be patches of clear, accurate language , particularly when simple vocabulary and structures are used. There may be some variety of sentence length and structure , but the reader may not be convinced that this variety is for a particular purpose. Vocabulary is usually adequate to convey intended meaning. Punctuation will be used but may not be used to enhance/clarify meaning. Simple words will be spelt accurately . Paragraphs will be used , but may lack unity or coherence. The subject matter will indicate that a genuine attempt has been made to address the topic , but there may be digressions or failures of logic. The reader may find compositions at this level lack liveliness and interest value . |
| E 16–20 | Meaning is never in doubt , but the errors are sufficiently frequent and serious to hamper precision, and may slow down reading. Some simple structures will be accurate , but a script at this level is unlikely to sustain accuracy for long . Vocabulary may be limited, either too simple to convey precise meaning, or more ambitious but imperfectly understood. Simple punctuation will usually be accurate. Simple words will usually be spelt correctly . Paragraphs may lack unity or be used haphazardly. The subject matter will show some relevance to the topic but may achieve only a partial or a 'glancing' treatment of the subject. The incidence of linguistic error is likely to distract the reader from merits of content that the composition may have. |
| F 11–15 | There will be many serious errors of various kinds throughout the script, but they will be of the 'single-word' type, ie they could be corrected without rewriting the sentence. Communication is established , although the weight of error may cause 'blurring' from time to time. Sentences will probably be simple and repetitive in structure. Vocabulary will convey meaning, but is likely to be simple and imprecise. Spelling will be inconsistent . Paragraphing may be haphazard or non-existent . There may be evidence of interesting and relevant subject matter, but the weight of linguistic error that will tend to occur will neutralise its effect . |
| G 6–10 | Sense will usually be decipherable, but some of the error will be multiple, ie requiring the reader to re-read and re-organise before meaning becomes clear. There are unlikely to be more than a few accurate sentences, however simple, in the whole composition . The content is likely to be comprehensible, but may be partly hidden by the density of the linguistic error. |
| U 0–5 | Accuracy will be hardly existent. Whole sections of the composition will make no sense at all. Where occasional patches of relative clarity are evident some marks should be given. The mark of 0 should be reserved for scripts that make no sense at all from beginning to end. |