UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS

International General Certificate of Secondary Education

MARK SCHEME for the October/November 2008 question paper

0470 HISTORY

0470/02

Paper 2, maximum raw mark 50

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began.

All Examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes must be read in conjunction with the question papers and the report on the examination.

• CIE will not enter into discussions or correspondence in connection with these mark schemes.

CIE is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2008 question papers for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level syllabuses and some Ordinary Level syllabuses.

Page 2	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – October/November 2008	0470	02

20th Century Topic

1	Study Sources A and B. Does Source A make you surprised by the account in Source B.
	Explain your answer using the sources.

Level 1 Uses sources but makes no comparison.

[1]

Level 2 Compares sources but fails to say whether or not they are surprised.

[2]

- Level 3 Matches details to express surprise or not surprised e.g. bombing in both. [3] OR Misreads Source B to argue not surprised e.g. the Americans were successful. OR Argues not surprised because A is only about the Americans, so does not explain the reactions of the Vietcong in B.
- Level 4 Not surprised because the Americans or the journalist in Source B would, in the light of Source A, have initially believed in American superiority. [4]
- Level 5 Surprised because the Viet Cong were prospering despite the bombing in Source A.

 [5–6]
 OR Not surprised because in Source B the Viet Cong were responding in a logical way to the what was happening in Source A.
- 2 Study Source C. What was the message of this cartoon? Explain your answer using the source and your knowledge.
 - Level 1 Surface description of the cartoon. No inferences made.

[1]

Level 2 Misreadings of the cartoon.

[2-3]

- e.g.lt is pro-American because they are shown as generous, sorry.
 - e.g.It is anti-American because the Americans are wasting money on compensation.
 - e.g. the war is expensive, it costs a lot [no judgement about being pro-American only 2 marks].
- Level 3 Valid Anti-American sub-messages explained. [4–7] e.g. they are killing civilians, they are more worried about money than about lives. 1 sub-message = 4-5, 2 sub-messages = 6-7.
- Level 4 The main message explained the Americans were hypocrites.

 Johnson (or America) is <u>pretending</u> to be one thing e.g. caring, when he is really another e.g. uncaring.
- 3 Study Sources D and E. How far would the author of Source E have agreed with the cartoonist (Source D)? Explain your answer using the sources and your knowledge.
 - Level 1 Uses the sources but no comparison made between Sources D and E. [1]
 - Level 2 He would have agreed because they both say the US lost the war. [2]
 - Level 3 Answers based on a literal reading of Source D. D says the people were to blame so E would disagree. [3]
 - Level 4 Answers based on matches of details of the two sources. [4–5] e.g. politicians' indecisiveness so he would agree.

Page 3	3	M	ark Scheme		Syllabus	Pa	oer
		IGCSE – Oc	tober/Novem	ber 2008	0470	0	
		Johnson did little – s Johnson active/not s			e.		
Level 5		vers based on the factorial depth of the fact	•	gree either tha	at the politicians <u>l</u>	ost the war (OR tha
Level 6		vers based on the f le <u>did not lose the v</u>	•	agree that the	politicians <u>lost th</u>	<u>e war</u> AND t	hat the
•		es F and G. Is one Vietnam War? Ex					
Level 1	Write	es about the source	s but no valid	comments abo	ut utility.		[1
Level 2	only. e.g. G is	le, undeveloped ever is from North Vieto American and so with based on what he w	nam and so wi Il be biased.	ll be biased.		sed on prov	enance [2–3
Level 3		vers based on the <u>ir</u>				es say.	[4–5
Level 4		vers based on the extual knowledge does.					
Level 5	e.g.	evaluation of one s Source F tells us a sout Viet Cong prop	bout North Vi		ublican propaga	nda; Source	[7 G tell
Level 6	As fo	r Level 5 – both sou	ırces.				[8
Level 7	If jus	r Level 5 or 6 but e t asserts that usefo narks.	•				[9 o knov
_		es H and I. Was N g the sources and	-	•	these two sour	ces? Explai	n you
Level 1	Unsu	pported assertions	OR uses the	sources but no	answer to the qu	uestion.	[1
Level 2	Uses	one or both source	s separately a	and give undev	reloped reasons	[assertions].	[1–2
Level 3		rts that he changed private.	d his mind OF	the situation	changed OR tha	at one is pub	olic, th
Level 4		one or both source as not lying.	es separately	– explains or	develops reason	s for saying	he wa
Level 5	Expl	ains he was lying –	because of the	e differences b	etween the two s	sources.	[5–

Level 6 Reconciles what the two sources say.

[6–7]

Page 4	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – October/November 2008	0470	02

- Level 7 Uses both sources together. Uses cross-reference to contextual knowledge or other sources OR developed use of provenance to explain why he was/was not lying. Contextual knowledge must be specific, not general. [8]
- 6 Study all the sources. How far do these sources support the view that the US lost the Vietnam War because of what was happening in America? Use the sources to explain your answer.

Level 1 No valid source use. [1–3]

Level 2 Uses sources to support OR reject the statement.

[4–6]

Level 3 Uses the sources to support AND reject the statement.

[7–10]

Up to 2 bonus marks for any evaluation of sources (no more than 1 per source).

Source use must be reference to a source by letter, by provenance or by direct quotation. There must be examples from source content.

Use Y in the margin for each source support of the statement, and N for each source rejection. These must be explained.

19th Century Topic

- 1 Study Sources A and B. How far would the author of Source B have supported the ideas in Source A? Explain your answer using the sources and your knowledge.
 - Level 1 Uses the sources but makes no comparison.

[1]

Level 2 Matches the sources for similarity or difference without any contextual understanding.

[2-3]

[1]

- Level 3 Similarity or difference explained, implicitly or explicitly informed by a contextual understanding. [4–6]
- Level 4 Difference(s) explained as in Level 3, plus a similarity (this can be at Level 2). [7]
- Level 5 Addresses the issue of 'How far?' by explaining there is a basic disagreement over means but only a limited agreement over ends. [8]
- 2 Study Sources C and D. How similar are these two sources? Explain your answer using the sources and your knowledge.
 - Level 1 Describes the sources but no valid comparison.

 OR Compares surface details/dates.
 - Level 2 Answers based on the claim that both sources are about the same thing e.g. they are both about events in Italy. [2]

Page 5	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper		
	IGCSE – October/November 2008	0470	02		
e e	Similarity or Difference e.g. Rebellion/driving their enemies out in both. e.g. a revolt by a few rebels in just one city in Source ising up.	e C but in D the wl	[3–5] nole of Italy is		
Level 4	Similarity and Difference.		[6]		
€	Compares the viewpoint of the artist for similarity or diffe.g. in both the artist is supporting Italian actions. e.g. C is basically a factual record while D is encouraging.		[7] actions.		
Leopold	Study Sources E and F. How far do these two sources prove that Charles Albert and Leopold were supporters of Italian nationalism? Explain your answer using the sources and your knowledge.				
Level 1 l	Jses the sources but fails to address the question.		[1]		
	Undeveloped use of provenance – no use of content of e.g. Source F does not prove it because it was written a		[2] ds.		
Level 3 (Jses content of source[s] to explain that they do prove	one or both were su	ipporters. [3–4]		
	Recognises the reservations, the reluctance, the a ecopold.	ambivalence of Ch	narles and or [5–6]		
	Evaluates the sources as proof by cross-referencing t DR by a developed use of the provenance.	o other sources or	to knowledge, [6–7]		
	Explains the differences between the two men, using support for nationalism - Leopold is more reluctant, less				
	ources G and H. Does Source G make you surpr I? Explain your answer using the sources and you		Pope says in		
Level 1 l	Jses the sources but fails to compare them.		[1]		
Level 2 (Compares the sources but fails to say whether surprise	d or not.	[2]		
Level 3	Matching of details of sources to support being surprise	ed or not being surp	rised. [3-4]		
	Cross-references to knowledge or to other source surprised or not surprised by H only (does not use G).	s to explain reaso	ons for being [5–6]		
	Cross-references to knowledge or to other sources to o express surprise or not surprise about what H says.	evaluate Source G,	and uses this [7–8]		

Page 6	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – October/November 2008	0470	02

- 5 Study Sources I and J. Why do you think these two sources disagree? Explain your answer using the sources and your knowledge.
 - Level 1 Uses the sources but fails to address the question. [1]
 - Level 2 Explains how they disagree (not why?). [2–3]
 - Level 3 Undeveloped use of provenance. [3–4] e.g. they are by different people, written at different times, one is private, one is public.
 - Level 4 Cross-references to knowledge or to other sources to explain why one or both of these-two authors would say what they have said. This will probably involve explaining what they stood for, who they represented, what they believed in.

 [5–7]
 - Level 5 Uses knowledge or other sources to explain the <u>purpose</u> of one or both of them. [8]
- 6 Study all the sources. How far do these sources support the view that by 1848 there was little enthusiasm for a united Italy? Use the sources to explain your answer.
 - Level 1 No valid source use. [1–3]
 - Level 2 Uses sources to support OR reject the statement. [4–6]
 - Level 3 Uses the sources to support AND reject the statement. [7–10]
 - Up to 2 bonus marks for any evaluation of sources (no more than 1 per source).

Source use must be reference to a source by letter, by provenance or by direct quotation. There must be examples from source content.

Use Y in the margin for each source support of the statement, and N for each source rejection. These must be explained.