UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS

International General Certificate of Secondary Education

MARK SCHEME for the May/June 2007 question paper

0470 HISTORY

0470/04

Paper 4 (Alternative to Coursework), maximum raw mark 40

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began.

All Examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes must be read in conjunction with the question papers and the report on the examination.

• CIE will not enter into discussions or correspondence in connection with these mark schemes.

CIE is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2007 question papers for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level syllabuses and some Ordinary Level syllabuses.

Page 2	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – May/June 2007	0470	04

Depth Study A: Germany, 1918-1945.

(a) (i) Study Source A. What can you tell from this source about post-war Germany? Support your answer with reference to the source.

Level 1	Repeats material stated in source, no inference made.				
Level 2	Makes valid inference(s) unsupported from source e.g. It all looks very hazardous etc.	[3-4]			
Level 3	Makes valid inferences, supported from source e.g. Many have been killed and injured by the violence judging by the number of bodies; The drawing of the officer gives extra evidence of the madness of the time etc.	[5-6]			
(ii)	Study Source B. How far does this source show that the Free Corps was a military organisation? Explain your answer.				
, ,	·	[1-2]			

(iii) Study both sources. Is one source more useful than the other as evidence about the Free Corps? Explain your answer.

Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of

'How far?'

- Level 1 Useful/not useful Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. [1]
- Level 2 Useful/not useful One source is a drawing and the other is British, so they could both be biased/unreliable. [2]
- Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. [3-5]
- Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability.

 Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context.

 Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability.

 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.

 [6-7]

Page 3		Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
		IGCSE – May/June 2007	0470	04
(b) (i)	One in er use	at powers did Article 48 of the Weimar Consident of the Weimar Republic? mark for each valid power to a maximum of two margency, enforce duties of the state, and maintaged of armed forces, may suspend other Articles that putizens etc.	arks e.g. Rule by d ain public order wit	ecree th the
(ii)		cribe the Kapp Putsch of 1920.		. ,
Level 1	Iden	tifies general aspects of the Putsch.		[1-2]
Level 2	of D Triur gove	elops aspects e.g. Leaders Kapp and Luttwitz; 5 00 Defence, Noske, only had 2 000 – army not ke imphant entry, new government proclaimed, K ernment escaped, General Strike in Berlin, city pa fled to Sweden.	en to defend Rep (app Chancellor;	oublic. Legal
(iii)	Why	did monarchists and army officers oppose the	Weimar Governme	ent?
Level 1	Sing	le reason. One for the reason, one for explanation.		[1-2]
Level 2	Mon polic socia	iple reasons. One for each reason, one for eac archists etc. lost power and influence. Many held ee, military, civil service. Did not want to be alists, Catholics, Jews etc. Objected to the way the its terms. 'November criminals' etc.	key positions as ju dominated by lib	idges, erals,
(iv)		hyperinflation of 1923 did more damage to the signing of the Treaty of Versailles.' Do you wer.		
Level 1		ole assertions. hyperinflation ruined so many people.		[1]
Level 2	Hype savir Report T of repa repa	anation of Hyper. OR T of V, single factor given. er. Affected most Germans. Middle class lost ngs; collapse of currency; some profited; Undermine ublic etc. etc. V. Hated because of terms re. territory, military, urations. This helped to cause hyperinflation to rations, legalised the French and Belgian occupa- ing of money etc.	ed confidence in W , colonies, war guil through inability to	eimar It and pay
Level 3	Expl with OR Unde	anation of Hyper OR T of V with multiple factors given multiple reasons. eveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the arguments.	-	actors
Level 4	Ansv	notate BBB – Balanced but Brief). Wers that address both sides of the argument. TH sides of Hyperinflation AND the Treaty of Versail	les must be addres	[3-5] sed. [6-8]

Page 4	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – May/June 2007	0470	04

Depth Study B: Russia, 1905-1941.

(a) (i)	Study Source A. What can you tell from this source about Russia's industrial workers? Support your answer with reference to the source.	
Level 1	Repeats material stated in source, no inference made.	[1-2]
Level 2	Makes valid inferences, unsupported from source e.g. They were demanding a better life etc.	[3-4]
Level 3	Makes valid inference with reference to the source e.g. Wanted a shorter working day, thought the Tsar could help them, remained loyal to the Tsar etc.	[5-6]
(ii)	Study Source B. How far does this source show that the Tsar wanted to help his people? Explain your answer.	
Level 1	Agrees OR disagrees about the Tsar's desire to help, with no reference to the source.	[1-2]
Level 2	Agrees OR disagrees about the Tsar's desire to help, supported from source e.g. Yes, offered reforms, extended them in November etc. No, he split opponents, brought his best troops home and crushed rebellions etc.	[3-5]
Level 3	Agrees AND disagrees about Tsar's desire to help, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?'	[6-7]
(iii)	Study both sources. Is one of these sources more useful than the other as evidence about the 1905 revolution?	
Level 1	Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information.	[1]
Level 2	Useful/not useful – One is from a petition of complaints, the other is from a British author so they could both be biased/unreliable.	[2]
Level 3	Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information.	[3-5]
Level 4	Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be based on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.	[6-7]

Page 5		Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
		IGCSE – May/June 2007	0470	04
(b) (i)	One	at was the Okhrana? mark for each valid factor to a maximum of two e		
(ii)		cribe what happened on Bloody Sunday, 22 Jan		[1-2]
Level 1		tifies elements. mark for each valid element.		[1-2]
Level 2	Awa Pea	cribes elements. Ird an extra mark for each valid element described ceful march by loyal workers asking for improve cked by Cossacks and fired upon. Large number kil	ments in life and	work,
(iii)	Why	were Stolypin's attempts at agricultural reform	not a success?	
Level 1		le reasons. for the reason, one for explanation.		[1-2]
Level 2	One and Pop	iple reasons. for the reason, one for explanation e.g. The trans the consolidation of land holdings undermined the ulation growing too fast (1.5 million extra a year anods could not cope etc.	ne peasant land te	nure.
(iv)		v loyal were the Russian people to Tsar Nichola var in 1914? Explain your answer.	s II up to the out	oreak
Level 1		ple assertions. , most Russians loved the Tsar.		[1]
Level 2	Yes. Witte	lanation of loyalty OR lack of loyalty, single factor gi Few outbreaks between 1905 and 1914; work of Se. Many satisfied with the Duma. The old system nally etc. Use of Okhrana, increasing criticism and demands	Stolypin (necktie) ar n seemed to be wo	orking
	cam	ps well used, underground critics etc.		[2]
Level 3	facto OR	lanation of loyalty OR lack of loyalty, with multiplors with multiple reasons. eveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the arguments.		single
		notate BBB – Balanced but Brief)		[3-5]
Level 4		wers that deal with both sides of the argument. TH sides of loyalty AND lack of loyalty must be addre	essed.	[6-8]

Page 6	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – May/June 2007	0470	04

Depth Study C: The USA, 1919-1941.

(α) (ι)	society in the 1920s? Support your answer with reference to the source.	
Level 1	Repeats material stated in source, no inference made.	[1-2]
Level 2	Makes valid inference(s), unsupported from source e.g. It was prosperous; making rapid progress; had more leisure time; not all shared in wealth etc.	[3-4]
Level 3	Makes valid inferences, supported from source e.g. Makes inferences as for L2 but adds details of gadgets, statistics or comparisons in support etc.	[5-6]
(ii)	Study Source B. How far does this source show that women had more freedom in the 1920s? Explain your answer.	
Level 1	Agrees OR disagrees with no support from the source.	[1-2]
Level 2	Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g. Yes, women now had the vote; could adopt new fashions; break with tradition etc. No, only the young became flappers; no real importance; no equal pay; still seen as dependants; males still in control etc.	[3-5]
Level 3	Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?'	[6-7]
(iii)	Is one of these sources more useful than the other as evidence about the 'Roaring Twenties'? Explain your answer.	
Level 1	Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information.	[1]
Level 2	Useful/not useful – One source is British, the other is American so they could both be biased/unreliable.	[2]
Level 3	Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information.	[3-5]
Level 4	Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show	

reliability.

6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.

Page 7		Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
		IGCSE – May/June 2007	0470	04
(b) (i)	Awa	ne <u>two</u> stars of American films of the 1920s.		
		ford, Douglas Fairbanks, Clara Bow, Rudolf Val ter Keaton, Al Jolson etc.	entino, Charlie Cr	naplin, [1-2]
(ii)	Des	cribe the development of the film industry in the	e 1920s.	
Level 1		tifies aspects. mark for each valid aspect to a maximum of two.		[1-2]
Level 2	Holly	elops aspects e.g. Describes in additional de ywood, major studios, talkies, rise in cinemas/audie elopments etc.		
(iii)	Why	did Prohibition lead to an increase in organised	d crime?	
Level 1	A sir	ngle reason. One for the reason, one for explanatio	n.	[1-2]
Level 2	Mon law;	iple reasons. One for each reason, one for each ey to be made — Capone estimated \$60m p.a. Mil already well-organised in cities; poor enforcement police bribed; gang rivalry etc.	lions willing to brea	ak the
(iv)		Roaring Twenties brought progress for Ameri lain your answer.	icans.' Do you a	gree?
Level 1		ole assertions. , labour-saving devices. No, women still not equal.		[1]
Level 2	Yes. crea price No.	anation of Progress OR lack of progress, single factories. All had opportunity to buy new technology the ted affordable leisure activities; industry booming; res; women could vote. Increased life expectancy et Inequalities of wealth became more extreme elopment; farmers, blacks and some immigrants did	rough HP; radio/ci mass production lov c. e; cities outpaced	wered rural
	incre	eased intolerance; increase in crime.		[2]
Level 3	singl	anation of progress OR lack of progress, with mult le factors with multiple reasons. eveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argum		Allow
		otate BBB – Balanced but Brief).	Ont.	[3-5]
Level 4		wers that deal with 'How far?' and offer a balanced a 'H sides of progress AND lack of progress must be	_	[6-8]

Page 8	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – May/June 2007	0470	04

Depth Study D: China, 1945-c.1990.

(a) (i)	nature of Chinese communism? Support your answer with reference to the source.	
Level 1	Repeats material stated in source, no inference made.	[1-2]
Level 2	Makes valid inference(s), not supported from the source e.g. Khrushchev is insulting the Chinese etc.	[3-4]
Level 3	Makes valid inference with reference to the source e.g. Khrushchev is being sarcastic using stereotypical images of the Chinese of watery soup, rope sandals to insult Chinese communism etc.	[5-6]
(ii)	Study Source B. How far does this source show Khrushchev and Mao were enemies? Explain your answer.	
Level 1	Agrees OR disagrees with no reference to the source e.g. They did not like one another.	[6-7]
Level 2	Agrees OR disagrees supported from the source e.g. Yes, relations between them were always strained. No, at least they met; relations became worse, implying this was not always the case. Had own national interests which did not <i>necessarily coincide</i> etc.	[3-5]
Level 3	Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?'	[6-7]
(iii)	Study both sources. Is one of these sources more useful than the other as evidence of relations between China and the Soviet Union? Explain your answer.	
Level 1	Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information.	[1]
Level 2	Useful/not useful – One is from Khrushchev, the other is from a Russian historian so they could both be biased/unreliable.	[2]
Level 3	Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information.	[3-5]
Level 4	Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be based on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability.	
	6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.	[6-7]

Page 9		Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
		IGCSE – May/June 2007	0470	04
(b) (i)		ne <u>two</u> foreign counties where the Chinese arm ting since 1949.	y has been involv	ed in
		mark for each valid country to a maximum of two nam.	e.g. Korea, Tibet,	India, [1-2]
(ii)		cribe relations between the People's Repub ublic of China (Taiwan) since 1949.	lic of China and	d the
Level 1		tifies elements. mark for each valid element to a maximum of two.		[1-2]
Level 2	Awa a b	cribes elements. rd an extra mark for each element described in add ase for Nationalist regime. Economic, politica paganda. Military threats. USA support for Taiwan.	al, ideological riva	
(iii)		did the USSR decide to withdraw financial China in 1960?	and technical su	pport
Level 1	_	le reason. for the reason, one for the explanation.		[1-2]
Level 2	One of the secretary agrical co-e	iple reasons for each reason, one for each reason explained e ne socialist world; personal rivalry between Khrush ets; differences in emphasis between the two cultural bases; revisionism vs dogmatism; I xistence' stance; criticism of Stalin when CCP was onality for Mao etc.	nchev and Mao; nu regimes; industri Khrushchev's 'pea	uclear al vs aceful
(iv)		y far did relations between Communist China ween 1949 and 1990? Explain your answer.	and the USA imp	orove
Level 1		ole assertions. they started to talk.		[1]
Level 2	give Yes. diplo	anation of improving relations OR non-improving n e.g. After withdrawal of Soviet aid in 1960, to isopmacy, Nixon's visits, expansion of economic activity	late USSR. Ping- y, UNO etc.	-Pong
l aval 0	India	Ideology, support for GMD during the civil war; Ta, Tibet, UNO and Taiwan representing China; mutu	al suspicion etc.	[2]
Level 3	facto OR	anation of improving relations OR non-improving ors given. Allow single factors with multiple reasons	3.	uitipie
		eveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argumentate BBB – Balanced but Brief).	ent	[3-5]
Level 4	BOT	wers that deal with 'How far?' offering balanced argu 'H sides of improving relations AND non-impro ressed.		st be [6-8]

Page 10	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – May/June 2007	0470	04

Depth Study E: Southern Africa in the Twentieth Century.

(a) (i)	Study Source A. What does this source tell you about the Communist
	Party in South Africa in the 1950s? Support your answer with reference to
	the source.

Level 1 Repeats material stated in source, no inference made. [1-2] Level 2 Makes valid inference(s), unsupported from source e.g. They were active; women important in it; popular with black Africans; government was worried. [3-4] Level 3 Makes valid inference supported from source e.g. As with inference in L2 but with support from details within the source. [5-6] (ii) Study Source B. How far does this source show that women in South Africa were effective in protesting about apartheid? Explain your answer. Level 1 Agrees OR disagrees with no support from source. [1-2] Level 2 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from source e.g. Yes, they could organise multi-racially in large numbers; good tactics; protests continued despite punishments; their actions are commemorated etc. No, does not show any positive results of protest; only a minority of women involved. Shows only one woman's continual efforts; government clearly suppressing effectively. [3-5] Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from source. Deals with the issue of 'How far?' [6-7] (iii) Study both sources. Is one of these sources more useful than the other as evidence about the South African government's response to opposition in the 1950s? Explain your answer. Level 1 Useful/not useful - Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. [1] Level 2 Useful/not useful - One source is from an activist, the other is British so they could both be biased/unreliable. [2] Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. [3-5] Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be based on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. [6-7]

Page 11		Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
		IGCSE – May/June 2007	0470	04
(b) (i)	One	ne <u>two</u> Prime Ministers of South Africa in the 195 e for each Prime Minister to a maximum of two e.g. woerd to 1958.		dom; [1-2]
(ii)		cribe the Defiance Campaign of 1952.		[. –]
Level 1	Iden	ntifies aspects e.g. protest against apartheid; led by	ANC	[1-2]
Level 2	coin ANC publ	elops aspects in more detail e.g. Peaceful prote cide with 300th anniversary of Boers arriving in S C broke apartheid laws to provoke arrests – 8 (licity; ANC membership jumped from 7 000 to 100 ks and whites killed; government introduced more s	A; Indian Congress 000 arrested; world 000; by October rid	and Iwide oting,
(iii)	Why	y was the Pan-Africanist Congress formed in 195	59?	
Level 1	Sing	gle reason. One for the reason, one for explanation.		[1-2]
Level 2	Disa muc radio	tiple reasons. One for each reason, one for agreed with ANC multi-racial basis; worried that which influence in ANC; Sobukwe saw Luthuli as tocal protest; wanted to appeal to young in townships ink with other African independence movement like	ites and Indians had passive; wanted ; to politicise rural a	d too more
(iv)	and	e white population of South Africa supported a 1960s because it gave them economic bene lain your answer.	-	
Level 1	Sim	ple assertions. Yes, they had better pay. No, some	e opposed.	[1]
Level 2	Yes.	lanation of support OR opposition, single factors give. Monopoly of the better jobs, higher pay; cheap lang Afrikaners etc.	abour; Strongest su	
	Unic of m	Not all whites supported; liberals, socialists, Coons. Church opponents – Rev Huddleston; Governedia. er motives: Social; racist; fear of majority – IC and A	ment censorship, co	ontrol
		2; Defiance Campaign; Sharpeville; International op	0 0	[2]
Level 3	-	lanation of support OR opposition with multiple fact multiple reasons.	ors. Allow single fa	ctors
	Und	leveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argum notate BBB – Balanced but Brief).	ent	[3-5]
Level 4		wers that deal with 'How far?' and offer a balanced a FH sides of support AND opposition must be addres	_	[6-8]

Page 12	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – May/June 2007	0470	04

Depth Study F: Israelis and Palestinians, 1945-c.1994.

(a) (i)	Study Source A. What does this source tell you about the attack on Israel? Support your answer with reference to the source.	
Level 1	Repeats material stated in source, no inference made.	[1-2]
Level 2	Makes valid inference(s), unsupported from source e.g. Clever strategy by Egypt and Syria etc.	[3-4]
Level 3	Makes valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. Clever strategy by Egypt and Syria to attack on Yom Kippur and the Israelis would be preoccupied in devotions etc.	[5-6]
(ii)	Study Source B. How far does this source show that the Yom Kippur War was a victory for Israel? Explain your answer.	
Level 1	Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from source.	[1-2]
Level 2	Agrees OR disagrees, supported from source e.g. Yes, Israel technically won the war; Saudi Arabia appealed to USA to use influence on Israel etc.	
	No, used up reserves of troops, many casualties; 'revived Arab fighting spirit'.	[3-5]
Level 3	Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?'	[6-7]
(iii)	Is one of these sources more useful than the other as evidence about foreign involvement in the Yom Kippur War? Explain your answer.	
Level 1	Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one source is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information.	[1]
Level 2	Useful/not useful – One is a British textbook, the other is from a British Dictionary of World History so they could both be biased/unreliable.	[2]
Level 3	Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information.	[3-5]
Level 4	Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability.	
	6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.	[6-7]

Page 13	3 Mark Scheme Syllabus F		Paper	
		IGCSE – May/June 2007	0470	04
(b) (i)	Wha	at are the Golan Heights?		
	ceas Heig	mark for each valid detail to a maximum of tw sefire line after 1967 war. Strategically very imp phts would permit observation, shelling, attacks on le	oortant as control o srael.	of the [1-2]
(ii)		cribe the Egyptian and Syrian attacks against Is ne Yom Kippur War.	raeli forces at the	e start
Level 1	lden	tifies aspects e.g. Surprise attack, caught Israel unp	orepared.	[1-2]
Level 2	deta cale reac	elops aspects. Award an extra mark for each asp lil e.g. Co-ordinated surprise attacks on the hol ndar. Egyptian troops advanced over the Suez shed the Golan Heights. Israel counter attacked 2 d tof 3 marks for only one country's attack.	liest day in the J Canal while the S	ewish
(iii)		did the superpowers encourage Israel and sefire?	Egypt to negoti	ate a
Level 1	Sing	le reason. One for the reason, one for explanation.		[1-2]
Level 2	Did a lo	iple reasons. One for each reason, one for each re not want the war to develop into a superpower con ng war would cost Arabs, and thus USSR, very ation of Arab oil production and effect on world ecor	flict. USSR realise dearly; USA worri	d that
(iv)		v far did the Yom Kippur War help to bring pea Arab States? Explain your answer.	ace between Israe	el and
Level 1		ple assertions. they are always fighting.		[1]
Level 2	Yes. need of w pead	ees OR disagrees, single factor given e.g. Both sides had heavy losses, Israel shocked at ed time to recover; superpowers frightened of war eswarring factions; fear of oil crisis; all led to USSF ce. Egyptian and Israeli leaders went to Geneva (reed to withdraw some distance in Sinai to allow East.	scalating; cost of su R and USA to pre not in same room);	upport ss for Israel
	No. reso tens	Arab states still resented existence of Israel; all olved; Palestinians a problem for both sides; imions high; Arab governments either could not corol resistance groups etc.	pact of oil action	kept
Level 3		ees OR disagrees with multiple factors given. A iple reasons.	Allow single factors	s with
	Und	eveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argumotate BBB – Balanced but Brief)	ent	[3-5]
Level 4	_	ees AND disagrees, and offers a balanced argumen TH sides of agreement AND disagreement must be		[6-8]

Page 14	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – May/June 2007	0470	04

Depth Study G: The Creation of Modern Industrial Society.

(a) (i)	Study Source A. What does this source tell you about the	e expansion of
	towns in England and Wales in the nineteenth century?	Support your
	answer with reference to the source.	

- Level 1 Repeats material stated in source, no inference made. [1-2] Level 2 Makes valid inference(s), unsupported from source e.g. Increases in population in new, industrial cities has brought problems etc. [3-4] Level 3 Makes valid inferences, supported from source e.g. Problems in named growing cities are because the population is growing so fast that there is insufficient legislation to create safely constructed house and sanitary conditions etc. [5-6] (ii) Study Source B. How far does this source show that towns had become much better places to live in by the last quarter of the nineteenth century? Explain your answer. Level 1 Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from source. [1-2] Level 2 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from source e.g. Yes, new and planned building can be seen in the foreground, also shows civic pride and need for facilities etc. No, still the smoke and unplanned factories working and growing out of control in the background etc. [3-5] Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' [6-7] (iii) Study both sources. Is one of these sources more useful than the other as evidence about urbanisation in Britain in the nineteenth century? Explain your answer. Level 1 Useful/not useful - Choice made on the basis that one source is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. [1] Level 2 Useful/not useful – One is a Parliamentary report, the other is a picture, so they could both be biased/unreliable. [2] Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what
- Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability.

 Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context.

 Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability.

 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.

information.

[3-5]

		IGCSE – May/June 2007	0470	04
(b) (i)		ne <u>two</u> industries which caused the rapid expannineteenth century.	sion of some tow	ns in
	One mark for each valid example to a maximum of two e.g. Textiles, iron, steel, potteries, coal exports etc.			
(ii)	Wha	at public health problems faced the new industri	al towns?	
Level 1	Iden	tifies aspects e.g. Overcrowding, problems at work,	drainage etc.	[1]
Level 2	Develops aspects. Award an extra mark for each aspect described in greater detail e.g. Easy spread of disease in communities, noxious gases etc. at work, water born diseases etc. [2]			
(iii)	-	did it take so long to improve living and sustrial towns?	working conditio	ns in
Level 1	Sing	le reason. One for the reason, one for the explana	tion.	[1-2]
Level 2	lacwith	iple reasons. One for the reason, one for each reasok of legislation, sheer speed of expansion, little phealth. Working – little legislation, lack of trade to the total that change was notive and little understanding that change was not the	lanning, lack of counions, vested into	ncern
(iv)		e growth of towns brought more advantages in the growth of towns brought more advantages in growth growth and the growth of the growth and the growth of the		es to
Level 1		ole assertions. they were all dying of disease.		[1]
Level 2	Yes. trade No.	ees OR disagrees. Single factor given e.g. Employment, good housing, wages gave some unionism, ease of getting to work etc. Unemployment, bad housing, industrial slavery, labour, industrial accidents, little by way of legal preserved.	long hours, no le	
Level 3	mult OR Und	ees OR disagrees, with multiple factors given. A iple reasons. eveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argumentate BBB – Balanced but Brief).	Ü	s with [3-5]
Level 4		ees AND disagrees, and offers a balanced argumen H sides of advantages AND disadvantages must be		[6-8]

Syllabus

Paper

Page 15

Page 16	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	IGCSE – May/June 2007	0470	04

Depth Study H: The Impact of Western Imperialism in the Nineteenth Century.

(a)	(i)	Study Source A. Wha	at impressions	does	this so	urce	give you	of e	early
		nineteenth-century Inc	lia? Support	your	answer	with	reference	e to	the
		source.							

Level 1 Repeats material seen in source, no inference made. [1-2] Level 2 Makes valid inference(s), unsupported from source e.g. It all seems relaxed and everyone is happy with his lot etc. [3-4] Level 3 Makes valid inference, supported from source e.g. It looks a calm and settled community, but the judge's appears to be the centre of attention; there is a hierarchy of status – wife, turbaned servants, fan holder (see clothing) etc. [5-6] (ii) Study Sources B and C. How far do these sources show that there were great problems facing the British rulers of India in the first half of the nineteenth century? Explain your answer. Level 1 Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from source. [1-2] Level 2 Agrees OR disagrees, supported from source e.g. Yes, Different customs, controlling huge area, war and expense, dust, cholera and heat etc. No, Improvements to Indian life already made, servants and a life of luxury etc. [3-5] Level 3 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' [6-7] (iii) Study Sources A and C. Is one of these sources more useful than the other as evidence that the British only looked after their own interests in India? Explain your answer. Level 1 Useful/not useful - Choice made on the basis that one source is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. [1] Level 2 Useful/not useful – A is a picture and C is an unattributed book, so they could all be biased/unreliable. [2] Level 3 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. [3-5] Level 4 Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and C to show

reliability.

6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.

Page 17	7	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
		IGCSE – May/June 2007	0470	04
(b) (i)	Wha	at was suttee?		
		mark to a maximum of two for each valid detail ent the cost of the widow falling on the dead husbar		ng, to [1-2]
(ii)		at were the main reforms introduced into litinck?	ndia by Lord W	illiam
Level 1	Iden	tifies areas of reform e.g. local customs, administra	tion etc.	[1-2]
Level 2	in ac and and instr	elops areas of reform. Award an extra mark for eadditional detail e.g. Attempts to prohibit suttee, supp brigandage. Revised revenue collection; reformed increased power of Indian judges. Introduced Eruction; encouraged the study of Western civilisation an civilisation.	ress thuggee, infar administration of j nglish as the medi	iticide ustice um of
(iii)	Why	did many British people go to India in the ninet	eenth century?	
Level 1	Sing	le reason. One for the reason, one for the explanat	tion.	[1-2]
Level 2	Emp	iple reasons. One for each reason, one for eac ployees of the East India Co. After 1858 as men rice. Army. Traders. To make money/fortune. Bui	nbers of the Indian	Civil
(iv)		e history of the British in India to 1857 was loitation.' Do you agree? Explain your answer.	one of conquest	and
Level 1		ole assertions. they were much better off under British rule.		[1]
Level 2	Yes. ama No. misg	ssed by British subjects. Britons held privileged po-	sitions. n if some reforms , reduction of fa riers and power of	were mine,
Level 3	mult OR Und	ees OR disagrees with multiple factors given. A iple reasons. eveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argumetate BBB – Balanced but Brief).	·	s with [3-5]
Level 4	Agre	ees AND disagrees, and offers a balanced argumen H sides of exploitation AND help must be addresse		[6-8]