

UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS
GCE Advanced Subsidiary and Advanced Level

MARK SCHEME for the June 2005 question paper

9717/8683 GERMAN

9717/03, 8683/03

Paper 3 (Essay), maximum raw mark 40

These mark schemes are published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. They show the basis on which Examiners were initially instructed to award marks. They do not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began. Any substantial changes to the mark scheme that arose from these discussions will be recorded in the published *Report on the Examination*.

All Examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes must be read in conjunction with the question papers and the *Report on the Examination*.

- CIE will not enter into discussion or correspondence in connection with these mark schemes.

CIE is publishing the mark schemes for the June 2005 question papers for most IGCSE and GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level syllabuses and some Ordinary Level syllabuses.



Grade thresholds for Syllabus 9717/8683 (German) in the June 2005 examination.

	maximum mark available	minimum mark required for grade:		
		A	B	E
Component 3	40	32	28	17

The thresholds (minimum marks) for Grades C and D are normally set by dividing the mark range between the B and the E thresholds into three. For example, if the difference between the B and the E threshold is 24 marks, the C threshold is set 8 marks below the B threshold and the D threshold is set another 8 marks down. If dividing the interval by three results in a fraction of a mark, then the threshold is normally rounded down.

June 2005

GCE A/AS LEVEL

MARK SCHEME

MAXIMUM MARK: 40

SYLLABUS/COMPONENT: 9717/03, 8683/03

GERMAN
Paper 3 (Essay)

Page 2	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	GCE A/AS LEVEL – JUNE 2005	9717, 8683	3

Language (out of 24)		Content (out of 16)	
21-24	Very good Confident use of complex sentence patterns, generally accurate, extensive vocabulary, good sense of idiom.	14-16	Very good Detailed, clearly relevant and well illustrated; coherently argued and structured.
16-20	Good Generally sound grasp of grammar in spite of quite a few lapses; reads reasonably; some attempt at varied vocabulary.	11-13	Good Sound knowledge and generally relevant; some ability to develop argument and draw conclusions.
10-15	Adequate A tendency to be simple, clumsy or laboured; some degree of accuracy; inappropriate use of idiom.	7-10	Adequate Some knowledge, but not always relevant; a more limited capacity to argue.
5-9	Poor Consistently simple or pedestrian sentence patterns with persistent errors; limited vocabulary.	3-6	Poor Some attempt at argument, tends to be sketchy or unspecific; little attempt to structure an argument; major misunderstanding of question.
1-4	Very poor Only the simplest sentence patterns, little evidence of grammatical awareness, very limited vocabulary.	1-2	Very poor Vague and general, ideas presented at random.