

**MARK SCHEME for the October/November 2010 question paper  
for the guidance of teachers**

**9706 ACCOUNTING**

**9706/42**

Paper 4 (Problem Solving (Supplement)),  
maximum raw mark 120

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes must be read in conjunction with the question papers and the report on the examination.

- CIE will not enter into discussions or correspondence in connection with these mark schemes.

CIE is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2010 question papers for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level syllabuses and some Ordinary Level syllabuses.





3 (a)

		\$
Revenue	<b>working 1</b>	1 715 610
purchase cost		(200 000) (1)
salary	(30 000 + 36 000 + 43 200 + 51 840 + 62 208)	( 223 248) (2)
rent	(3600 + 3600 + 4500 + 4500 + 4500)	(20 700) (2)
air fare	(1000 × 5)	(5000) (1)
	<b>Net cash flow</b>	<b>1 266 662 (1of)</b>

working 1

	\$
1 000 000 × 1.1 - 1000 000	100 000 (1)
(1000 000 + 100 000 × .1.1) – 1000 000	210 000 (1of)
(1000 000 + 210 000 × .1.1) – 1000 000	331 000 (1of)
(1000 000 + 331 000 × .1.1) – 1000 000	464 100 (1of)
(1000 000 + 464 100 × .1.1) – 1000 000	610 510 (1of)
	<b>1 715 610</b>

[22]

(b)

year	annual net cash flow	dis factor	\$
0	(200 000 + 3600)	1	(203 600) (1of)
1	(100 000 – 30 000 – 3600 – 1000)	0.893	58 402.20 (1of)
2	(210 000 – 36 000 – 4500 – 1000)	0.797	134 294.50 (1of)
3	(331 000 – 43 200 – 4500 – 1000)	0.712	200 997.60 (1of)
4	(464 100 – 51 840 – 4500 – 1000)	0.636	258 699.36 (1of)
5	(610 510 – 62 208 – 1000)	0.507	277 482.11 (1of)
		<b>N.P.V (1)</b>	<b>726 275.77 (1of)</b>

[8]

<b>Page 5</b>	<b>Mark Scheme: Teachers' version</b>	<b>Syllabus</b>	<b>Paper</b>
	<b>GCE A/AS LEVEL – October/November 2010</b>	<b>9706</b>	<b>42</b>

(c) Brad discounted payback

$$\frac{10\,903.30 \text{ (1of)}}{200\,997.60 \text{ (1of)}} = 0.054 \text{ (1of)} \text{ plus } 2 \text{ years (1of)} = 2.054 \text{ years} \quad [4]$$

**accept also 2 years and 20 days**  
**2 years and 0.65 months**

(d) Tanzeel has a lower NPV over 3 years (1of) At the end of three years Brad has a positive NPV (1of) Tanzeel has a slower payback than Brad (1of) Brad should be employed (1of) as a quicker payback helps to improve liquidity.

However Brad continues to earn after the three years (1) when Tanzeel would need to be replaced (1) could a good replacement be found? (1)

Other factors – Brad is younger- fitter? (1) Less prone to injury? (1) Will he fulfil his potential? (1) If he does will he demand more pay (1) and benefits (1)

Other valid points to be rewarded

**[max 6]**