CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS

GCE Advanced Subsidiary Level and GCE Advanced Level

MARK SCHEME for the May/June 2013 series

9706 ACCOUNTING

9706/22 Paper 2 (Structured Questions – Core),

maximum raw mark 90

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2013 series for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level components and some Ordinary Level components.



Page 2	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	GCE AS/A LEVEL – May/June 2013	9706	22

1 (a) X manufactures computers, Y is a food wholesaler (1)

1 mark for ratio or suitable figure and 1 mark for development.

For example:

Gross profit/net profit ratio (1) – computers have a much higher mark-up than food (1) Long term loan (1) - higher capital investment for a computer manufacturer (1) Trade receivables (1) – higher for a computer manufacturer (1)

ROCE (1) – lower ROCE for a computer manufacturer (1) [3]

Income Statements for businesses X and Y (b)

Business X Business Y \$ \$

540 000 (**2cf 1of**) Revenue (1 500 000 (**2cf 1 of)** Less Cost of sales 248 400 1 050 000 291 600 Gross profit 450 000 **Expenses** 194 400 360 000

Profit for year 97 200 (2cf 1 of) 90 000 (2cf 1of)

(c) Statements of Financial Position for businesses X and Y

> Business X **Business Y** \$ \$ \$ \$

Non-current assets 1 752 000 824 500

Current assets

Inventory 38 000 48 000

60 000 (2cf 1of) 12 500 (2cf 1of) Trade receivables

Cash and cash equivalents 30 000 128 000 14 000 74 500

Total assets 1 880 000 899 000

Current liabilities

Trade payables 80 000 (2cf 1of) 149 000(2cf 1of) Net assets 1 800 000 750 000

Capital 800 000 700 000

Non-current liabilities

Loan 1 000 000 50 000

Capital employed 1 800 000 (2cf 1of) 750 000(2cf 1of)

[12]

[8]

Page 3	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper	
	GCE AS/A LEVEL – May/June 2013	9706	22	

(d) (i) The ability of current assets (1) to meet current liabilities (1) [2]

(ii) Y (1) [1]

(iii) Current ratio **or** acid test ratio (1)
Well below expected rate (1). This means that Y does not have sufficient liquidity (1) and if creditors demanded swift payment (1) then Y would not have sufficient funds (1) to make payments. **Maximum 3 marks for development.**[4]

[Total: 30]

Page 4	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper	
	GCE AS/A LEVEL – May/June 2013	9706	22	
	GCE AS/A LEVEL – May/June 2013	9706	22	

2 (a) Statement of corrected net profit

-

\$ \$

(1)

Draft profit for the year 30 000

Depreciation 3 500 (1)

Inventory 7 500 **(1)**

Loan interest 1 000 (1)

Purchase invoice <u>2 000</u> (1)

Sales invoice 4 000 **(1)** (10 000)

Corrected profit for the year <u>20 000</u> (1of) [7]

(b) Calculation of capital

Capital 90 000

Add net profit <u>20 000</u> **(1of)**

110 000

Less drawings $\underline{2000}$ (1cf)

Capital <u>108 000</u> [2]

(c) Profitability or turnover of Grosz's business

Reputation or customers returning to Grosz's business

Location of Grosz's business

Quality of workforce

Quality of products [4]

(d)

Capital accounts

	Grosz \$	Kayal \$		Grosz \$	Kayal \$
Goodwill	24 000 (1	of)16 000(1of)	Balance b/d	108 000 (1	of from b)
Balance c/d	124 000	98 000	Goodwill	dwill 40 000 (1of fro n	
			Bank/Cash		30 000 (1)
			Equipment		60 000 (1)
			Inventory		<u>24 000</u> (1)
	<u>148 000</u>	<u>114 000</u>		<u>148 000</u>	114 000

[7]

Page 5	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	GCE AS/A LEVEL – May/June 2013	9706	22

(e) Appropriation account for the year ended 30 June 2013

		\$		\$	
Net profit				88 600	(1)
Add interest on dra	wings Grosz Kayal	2 000 <u>1 000</u>	(1) (1)	<u>3 000</u> 91 600	
Less interest on ca	pital Grosz Kayal	6 200 <u>4 900</u>	(1of) (1of)	<u>11 100</u> 80 500	
Salary – Kayal		10 500	(1)	<u>70 000</u>	
Share of profit (first	t 40%) Grosz Kayal	14 000 14 000	(1of) (1of)		
Share of profit	Grosz Kayal	25 200 16 800	(1of) (1of)	<u>70 000</u>	

[10]

Combined share of profits in the correct ratios: Grosz 39 200 (20f)

Kayal 30 800 (20f)

[Total: 30]

Page 6	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper	
	GCE AS/A LEVEL – May/June 2013	9706	22	

3 (a) Contribution =
$$$45.50 - $35.00 = $10.50$$
 (1)

[4]

(b) 4000 units
$$-$$
 2200 units $=$ 1800 units **(1of)** \times \$45.50 **(1)** $=$ \$81 900 **(1of)**

[3]

(c) Bond
$$$52.00 - $44.00 = $8.00$$
 (1)

Cord
$$$67.50 - $55.00 = $12.50$$
 (1)

[2]

(d) Apex
$$4000 \times 3.5 \,\text{m}$$
 = 14 000 m (1)

Bond
$$6000 \times 4 \,\mathrm{m}$$
 = 24000 m (1)

Cord
$$2000 \times 5 \,\text{m} = 10000 \,\text{m}$$
 (1)

Total required =
$$\frac{48\ 000}{m}$$
 m (1)

[4]

	ı			<i>-</i>				
(e)			Apex		В	ond	Cord	
	Contribution	\$1			\$8	3.00	\$12.50	
	Metres of direct material		3.5 m	m		m	5 m	
	Contribution per metre Ranking		\$3.00 (°	1of)	\$2 3	2.00 (1of)	\$2.50 (1of) 2 (1of for all 3)	
	Optimum production plan	1						
	Apex	4000 ×	3.5 m	=		14 000 m		
	Bond	4000 ×	4 m	=		16 000 m	(1)	
	Cord	2000 ×	5 m	=		<u>10 000 m</u> ((1)	
	Total material					<u>40 000 m</u> ((1)	
				\$				
	Contribution Apex 4000 × \$10.50			42 0	00	(1of)		
	Contribution Bond 4000 × \$8.00			32 000 (1of)				
	Contribution Cord 2000 × \$12.50			<u>25 000</u> (1of)		(1of)		
	Total contribution			99 000 (1of)		(1of)		
	Fixed overheads			<u>46 200</u> (1)		(1)		
	Profit for the year			<u>52 8</u>	<u>00</u>	(1of)		[13]

Mark Scheme

GCE AS/A LEVEL - May/June 2013

Page 7

[Total: 30]

Syllabus

9706

Paper

22

⁽f) Fixed overheads are treated as a period cost under marginal costing (1) but as part of the cost of production under absorption costing (1). As a result, the fixed overheads are written off in the period's income statement (1) rather than being carried forward as part of the inventory as is the case in absorption costing (1).
[4]