

MARK SCHEME for the June 2004 question papers

9706 ACCOUNTING

9706/01	Paper 1 (Multiple Choice), maximum raw mark 30
9706/02	Paper 2 (Structured Questions), maximum raw mark 90
9706/03	Paper 3 (Multiple Choice), maximum raw mark 30
9706/04	Paper 4 (Problem Solving), maximum raw mark 120

These mark schemes are published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. They show the basis on which Examiners were initially instructed to award marks. They do not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began. Any substantial changes to the mark scheme that arose from these discussions will be recorded in the published *Report on the Examination*.

All Examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes must be read in conjunction with the question papers and the *Report on the Examination*.

- CIE will not enter into discussion or correspondence in connection with these mark schemes.

CIE is publishing the mark schemes for the June 2004 question papers for most IGCSE and GCE Advanced Level syllabuses.

Grade thresholds taken for Syllabus 9706 (Accounting) in the June 2004 examination.

	maximum mark available	minimum mark required for grade:		
		A	B	E
Component 1	30	21	19	14
Component 2	90	64	58	38
Component 3	30	22	20	14
Component 4	120	88	79	45

The thresholds (minimum marks) for Grades C and D are normally set by dividing the mark range between the B and the E thresholds into three. For example, if the difference between the B and the E threshold is 24 marks, the C threshold is set 8 marks below the B threshold and the D threshold is set another 8 marks down. If dividing the interval by three results in a fraction of a mark, then the threshold is normally rounded down.

JUNE 2004

GCE A AND AS LEVEL

MARK SCHEME

MAXIMUM MARK: 30

SYLLABUS/COMPONENT: 9706/01

ACCOUNTING
Paper 1 (Multiple Choice)



Page 1	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	ACCOUNTING – JUNE 2004	9706	1

<i>Question Number</i>	<i>Key</i>	<i>Question Number</i>	<i>Key</i>
1	B	16	B
2	D	17	B
3	A	18	D
4	D	19	A
5	B	20	D
6	B	21	C
7	B	22	C
8	A	23	A
9	C	24	A
10	C	25	A
11	C	26	B
12	A	27	C
13	C	28	B
14	A	29	A
15	C	30	B

TOTAL 30

JUNE 2004

GCE A AND AS LEVEL

MARK SCHEME

MAXIMUM MARK: 90

SYLLABUS/COMPONENT: 9706/02

**ACCOUNTING
Paper 2 (Structured Questions)**



Page 1	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	ACCOUNTING – JUNE 2004	9706	2

AS ACCOUNTING - SUMMER 2004

AI

	2002	2003
(a)		
(i) Acid Test (Liquid) Ratio = CA-stock:CL,	1.61 :1	0.68 :1
(ii) Stock turnover = CoGS/Ave stock	16.43 times	8.40 times
	22.21 days	43.45 days
(iii) Debtors collection period = Debtorsx365/sales	61.64 days	89.43 days
(iv) Gross Profit Ratio = GPx100/Sales	30.00 %	24.17 %
	0.30 :1	0.24 :1
(v) Net Profit Ratio = NPx100/Sales	11,11 %	8.83 %
	0.11	0.08 :1
(vi) ROCE = NP before int x100/Cap employed	12.17 %	12.05 %

1 for each correct ratio to a maximum of (12)

If no suffix, award 1 for each correct pair:

If answer not to 2 decimal places, but correct working shown, full marks.

- (b) Acid test worse, due to lack of cash because of expenditure on stock
 Stockturn worse due to surplus unsold stock
 Debtors collection worse due to poor credit control.
 GP ratio worse due to increased cost price not passed on to customer.
 NP ratio worse due to increased operating expenses.
 ROCE almost unchanged/slightly worse due to similar rates of change in capital and net profit
- 2 for each, maximum (12)
 These answers are not exclusive - use your judgement.

Page 2	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	ACCOUNTING – JUNE 2004	9706	2

- (c) Advantages
- Show trends
 - Help compare with
 - (i) earlier years
 - (ii) other businesses
 - Help decision making
 - Show particular problem areas
- Maximum (3)
- Disadvantages
- Comparisons may be difficult due to
 - (i) changes in the economy
 - (ii) changes in technology
 - (iii) changes in Staff
 - (iv) changes in company policy
 - Reasons for changes are not always obvious
 - Accuracy of information may be a problem
 - Historic cost used - takes no account of inflation
- Maximum (3)
- These answers are not exclusive - use your judgement.

Page 3	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	ACCOUNTING – JUNE 2004	9706	2

AS ACCOUNTING - SUMMER 2004 - 9706/2

A2

(a)

Working for Goodwill

		Titus	Ronicus	Net effect
	Introduced	\$	\$	\$
\$30 000 worth	Titus	15 000 Cr		15 000 Cr
\$27 100 worth	Ronicus		2 900 Cr	2 900 Cr
	Titus	9 000 Dr	6 000 Dr	15 000 Dr
	Ronicus	1 740 Dr	1 160 Dr	2 900 Dr
	Net	4260 Cr	4 260 Dr	

Must have same amount total of goodwill on both sides and must cancel out or no marks as Goodwill would otherwise have to appear as an account.

Capital Accounts

	Titus	Ronicus		Titus	Ronicus	
	\$	\$		\$	\$	
Goodwill		4 260	2 Sundries	45 000	30 000	2
Balance c/d	49 260	25 740	1 Goodwill	4 260		2
	<u>49 260</u>	<u>30 000</u>		<u>49 260</u>	<u>30 000</u>	
		Balance b/d		49 260	25 740	1 OF (8)

Alternative Capital Accounts

	Titus	Ronicus		Titus	Ronicus	
	\$	\$		\$	\$	
Goodwill	1 740	6 000	2 Sundries	45 000	30 000	2
Balance c/d	49 260	25 740	1 Goodwill	6 000	1 740	2
	<u>51 000</u>	<u>31 740</u>		<u>51 000</u>	<u>31 740</u>	
		Balance bid		49 260	25 740	1 OF (8)

	Titus	Ronicus		Titus	Ronicus	
Goodwill	10 740	7 160	2 Sundries	45 000	30 000	2
Bal cid	49 260	25 740	1 Goodwill	15 000	2 900	2
	<u>60 000</u>	<u>32 900</u>		<u>60 000</u>	<u>32 900</u>	
		Bal b/d		49 260	25 740	1 OF (8)

Page 4	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	ACCOUNTING – JUNE 2004	9706	2

(b) Profit and Loss Appropriation Account for the year ended 30 September 2004

		\$	\$	\$	
Net Profit		56 000	(1) + 1050 (2)	57 050	3
Interest on Drawings	Titus	450			
	Ronicus	<u>250</u>		700	1 Not 2
				<u>57 750</u>	
Interest on Capital	Titus	2 463			1 OF
	Ronicus	<u>1 287</u>	3 750		1 OF
Partner's Salary	Ronicus		20 000	23 750	1
				<u>34 000</u>	
Share of Residue	Titus	20 400	unless		1 OF
	Ronicus	<u>13 600</u>	aliens	<u>34 000</u>	1 OF (9)

(c)

	Current Accounts				
	Titus	Ronicus		Titus	Ronicus
	\$	\$		\$	\$
Drawings	9 000	5 000	2 Share of Residue	20 400	13 600
Int on drawings	450	250	2 Int on Capital	2 463	1 287
Goods taken	600	450	2 Salary		20 000
Balance c/d	<u>12813</u>	<u>29187</u>	1		
	<u>22863</u>	<u>34 887</u>		<u>22 863</u>	<u>34 887</u>
			Balance b/d	12 813	29 187
					1 (13)

Page 5	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	ACCOUNTING – JUNE 2004	9706	2

A/S ACCOUNTING SUMMER 2004 - 9706/2

Hours worked = 30 workers x 30 hours x 50 weeks = 45000 = 10 hours/unit = \$6/hour

A3			\$	\$		
(a)	Sales	4500 x 250		1,125,000		1
	Direct Materials	4500 x 35	157,500			1
	Direct Labour	45000 x 6	270,000			2
	Variable Costs					
	V Overheads	4500 x 12	54,000			
	Administration	4500 x 14	<u>63,000</u>			1
				<u>544,500</u>		
				580,500		
	Fixed Costs					
	Fixed overheads		125,000			1
	Administrative		70,000			1
	Advertising		<u>150,000</u>			1
	Total Fixed Costs			<u>345,000</u>		
	Net Profit			<u>235,500</u>		1
						(10)
(b)(i)	Sales	5000 x 250		1,250,000		1
	Direct Materials	5000 x 35	175,000			
	Basic D Labour	4.5000 x 6	270,000			1
	5000 extra hours	5000 x 9	45,000			1
	Extra costs	5000 x 1.5	7,500			1
	VO		60,000			
	V Admin 0		70,000			
	Fixed costs	125,000)
		70,000) 1
		<u>150,000</u>	345,000	<u>972,500</u>)
	Net Profit			<u>277,500</u>		1 + 1 of
						(7)
(b)(ii)	Sales			1,250,000		
	DM		157,500			
	DL		270,000			
	VO		54,000			
	V Admin 0		63,000			
	Fixed Costs		345,000			1
	Lease		50,000			2
				<u>939,500</u>		
	Net Profit			<u>310,500</u>		1 + 1of
						(5)
	NB No marks for profit if market research included					
	Due to wording of question, accept any figures in (a) or (b) for variable costs.					
(b)(iii)	Sales			1,250,000		
	DM		157,500			
	DL		270,000			
	VO		54,000			
	V Ad 0		63,000			
	Fixed Costs		345,000			1
	Cost of buying in	500 x 200	<u>100,000</u>			2
				<u>989,500</u>		
	Net Profit			<u>260,500</u>		1 + 1of

Fixed costs will have to be calculated in most cases. (5)

(c) Option 1
Second most profitable option, but could lead to employees expecting overtime in future.

Option 2
Market research costs already spent, so no further outlay, and best net profit. But there may be teething troubles and possible re-training problems.

Option 3
No additional capital outlay, but possible problems of quality control.

If unit costing used, award where correct.

Any three relevant points

(3)

JUNE 2004

GCE A AND AS LEVEL

MARK SCHEME

MAXIMUM MARK: 30

SYLLABUS/COMPONENT: 9706/03

**ACCOUNTING
Paper 3 (Multiple Choice)**

Page 1	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	ACCOUNTING – JUNE 2004	9706	3

<i>Question Number</i>	<i>Key</i>	<i>Question Number</i>	<i>Key</i>
1	A	16	B
2	C	17	A
3	A	18	A
4	C	19	B
5	D	20	A
6	B	21	D
7	D	22	B
8	B	23	C
9	C	24	B
10	D	25	D
11	B	26	C
12	B	27	C
13	C	28	C
14	C	29	B
15	C	30	B

JUNE 2004

GCE A AND AS LEVEL

MARK SCHEME

MAXIMUM MARK: 120

SYLLABUS/COMPONENT: 9706/04

**ACCOUNTING
Paper 4 (Problem Solving)**



Page 1	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	ACCOUNTING – JUNE 2004	9706	4

1(a).

Capital Accounts

	Argy	Bargy		Argy	Bargy
	\$	\$		\$	\$
Drawings	18 000 (1)	12 000 (1)	Balances b/d	50 000 (1)	25 000 (1)
Shares in Shindig Ltd	30 000 (1)	15 000 (1)	Loan	4 000 (1)	
Debentures	5 000 (1)				
Bank	11 000 (1)	3 000 (1)	Profit on realisation	10 000	5 000 (6)
	<u>64 000 (1)</u>	<u>30 000 (1)</u>		<u>64 000 (1)</u>	<u>30 000 (1)</u>

Profit on realisation	\$
Assets at book value	
Freehold land	5 000
Freehold buildings	20 000
Equipment	8 000
Stock	11 000
Debtors	<u>6 000</u>
	50 000 (1)
Deduct creditors	<u>3 000 (1)</u>
Net assets sold	47 000
Sale proceeds	<u>62 000 (1)</u>
Profit on realisation	<u>15 000 (1)(OF)</u>
Argy ² / ₃	10 000 (1)
Bargy ¹ / ₃	5 000 (1)

Allocation of shares	\$
Purchase consideration	<u>62 000</u>
Debentures (4000 X 5/4)	5 000
Cash	12 000
Shares	<u>45 000</u>
	<u>62 000</u>

[20]

(b)(i)

Shindig Ltd

Balance Sheet immediately after acquisition of partnership

	\$	\$
Fixed assets:		
Goodwill (see below)		20 000 (3)
Freehold land		10 000 (1)
Freehold buildings		16 000 (1)
Leasehold buildings		10 000 (1)
Equipment		5 000 (1)
Office furniture		<u>2 000 (1)</u>
		63 000
Current assets:		
Stock (20 000 + 9 000)	29 000 (1)	
Debtors (12 000 + 5 000)	17 000 (1)	
Bank (24 000 – 12 000)	<u>12 000 (1)</u>	
	58 000	
Less Current liabilities		
Creditors (14 000 + 3 000)	<u>17 000 (1)</u>	<u>41 000</u>
		104 000
Less Long term liability: 10% debentures		<u>5 000 (1)</u>
		<u>99 000</u>
Share capital and reserves		
Ordinary shares of \$1 (50 000 + 30 000)		80 000 (1)
Share Premium account		15 000 (1)
Retained profit		<u>4 000</u>
		<u>99 000</u>

[15]

Note	(1)	(1)	(1)
Goodwill	\$[62 000 – (45 000 – 3 000)] = \$20 000		

Page 2	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	ACCOUNTING – JUNE 2004	9706	4

(ii)

Shindig Ltd would not have purchased Goodwill. (1) The purchase of assets does not give rise to payment for Goodwill (1) Goodwill is only relevant when a company acquires a business as a going concern. (1)

The settlement would more likely to have been made in cash. (1)

The partnership business would not have been terminated (1)

[5]

Page 3	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	ACCOUNTING – JUNE 2004	9706	4

2 (a) Budget statement for the production of 10 000 units

	Process 1		Process 2
	\$		\$
		From process 1	1 230 000 (1)(OF)
Materials (10 000 X 4 X \$12)	480 000 (1)	(10 000 X 3 X \$7)	210 000 (1)
Labour (10 000 X 3 X \$15)	450 000 (1)	(10 000 X 5 X \$10)	500 000 (1)
Overhead (30 000 X \$10)	<u>300 000 (1)</u>	(50 000 X £16)	<u>800 000 (1)</u>
Transferred to process 1	<u>1 230 000 (1) (OF)</u>	Finished goods	<u>2 740 000 (1)(OF)</u>

[9]

(b) Flexed budget statement for the production of 9 500 units [9000 + (1000 X 50%)]

	Process 2
	\$
From process 1 (9500 X 123)	1 168 500 (1)(OF)
Materials (9500 X 3 X \$7)	199 500 (1)
Labour (9 500 X 5 X \$10)	475 000 (1)
Overhead (9 500 X 5 X £16)	<u>760 000 (1)</u>
	<u>2 603 000 (1) (OF)</u>

[5]

(c) Process accounts for actual production

Process 1 account (10 000 units)		
	\$	\$
Material (10 000 X 4.2 X \$13.5)	567 000 (1)	
Labour (10 000 X 2.8 X \$15.75)	441 000 (1)	Production transferred
Overhead (10 000 X 2.8 X \$10)	<u>280 000 (1)</u>	process 2
	<u>1 288 000</u>	<u>1 288 000 (1)</u>
		<u>1 288 000</u>
Process 2 account (9 500 complete units)		
	\$	\$
Materials from process 1	1 288 000 (1)(OF)	
Added materials		
(9500 X 2.9 X \$7.3)	201 115 (1)	
Labour (9500 X 5.25 X \$9)	448 875 (1)	Finished goods (note)
Overhead (9500 X 5.25 X \$16)	<u>798 000 (1)</u>	Work in progress c/d (note)
	<u>2 735 990</u>	<u>205 010 (1)(OF)</u>
		<u>2 735 990</u>

[10]

Note	Finished goods		Work in progress
	\$		\$
(\$1 288 000 X .9)	1 159 200	(\$1 288 000 X .1)	128 800
(\$1 447 990 X 9/9.5)	<u>1 371 780</u>	(\$1 447 990 X .5/9.5)	<u>76 210</u>
	<u>2 530 980</u>		<u>205 010</u>

- (d) (i)** Materials price variance \$ (12.0 – 13.5)42 000 \$63 000 A (2)*
(ii) Materials usage variance (40 000 – 42 000)\$12 \$24 000 A (2)*
(iii) Labour efficiency variance (47 500 – 49 875)\$10 \$23 750 A (2)*
(iv) Labour rate variance \$(10 – 9)49 875 \$49 875 F (2)* [8]

* 1 mark only if \$ sign omitted; no mark if A or F omitted.

(e)(i) By-products are products which arise incidentally in the processing of the main product(s). (1)

Page 4	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	ACCOUNTING – JUNE 2004	9706	4

They have low sales value compared to the main products. (1)

(ii) Waste products are processing debris. (1)

Revenue derived from the sale of by-products and waste is deducted from total process costs. (1)
[4]

(f) Advantages of using standard costs

- Standard cost can be used to facilitate the preparation of realistic budgets
- Variances between budgeted and actual activity may identified/explained
- Responsibility for variances may be allocated to persons involved
- Standard costs facilitate the preparation of estimates for new products\quotations for jobs.

(1 mark for each point. Maximum 4 points)

[4]

Page 5	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	ACCOUNTING – JUNE 2004	9706	4

3 (a) Calculation of ARR

	\$	\$
Annual revenue		160 000 (1)
expenditure	80 000 (1)	
depreciation	<u>50 000 (1)</u>	<u>130 000</u>
Annual profit		<u>30 000 (1)(OF)</u>

$$\begin{array}{c} \longleftarrow (1) \longrightarrow \\ \text{Average investment } \$ (250\,000 \times \frac{1}{2} + 40\,000) = \$165\,000 (1) \\ \text{ARR} = \frac{30\,000}{165\,000} \times 100 = 18\% (1) \end{array}$$

OR

$$\begin{array}{c} \longleftarrow (1) \longrightarrow (1) \\ \$ (300\,000 \times \frac{1}{2} + 40\,000) = \$190\,000 (1) \\ \text{ARR} = \frac{30\,000}{190\,000} \times 100 = 15.79\% (1) \end{array}$$

OR

$$\begin{array}{c} \longleftarrow (1) \longrightarrow (1) \\ \$ (350\,000 \times \frac{1}{2} + 40\,000) = \$215\,000 (1) \\ \text{ARR} = \frac{30\,000}{215\,000} \times 100 = 13.96\% (1) \end{array}$$

[10]

(b) Calculation of discounted payback period

	\$	
Net outlay	300 000 (1)	
Years 1 – 4 \$80 000 X 3.169	<u>(253 520) (1)</u>	
	46 480 (1)	
Year 5 \$130 000 X 0.621	80 730 (1)	$\frac{46\,480}{80\,730} \times 12 = 7 \text{ months}$

Discounted payback period = 4 years 7 months. (1)

[5]

(c) (i) Calculation of IRR

	\$		\$
10%	(300 000)	20%	(300 000)
Years 1 – 4 \$80 000 X 3.169	253 520	Years 1 – 4 \$80 000 X 2.588	207 040 (4)
5 \$130 000 X 0.621	<u>80 730 (1)</u>	5 \$130 000 X 0.402	<u>52 260 (1)</u>
NPV	<u>34 250 (1) (OF)</u>		<u>(40 700) (1)(OF)</u>

$$\text{IRR} = 10\% + \left(\frac{34\,250}{74\,950} \times 10\% \right) = 14.6\% (1)(OF)$$

[10]

- (ii) The directors may purchase the machine because the ARR is well above the rate currently being earned by the company (1) and
The IRR at 14.7% is almost in line with the rate currently being earned. (1)
The payback period is acceptable (1) because it is within the life of the project (1)

[3]

Page 6	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	ACCOUNTING – JUNE 2004	9706	4

(d) Accounting rate of return

Advantages

- profitability of a project may be compared with present profitability of business
- it is relatively easy to calculate

Disadvantages

- average annual profit may not be typical of any year
- timing of cash inflows and outflows is ignored
- it ignores the payback risk factor
- it ignores the time value of money
- ‘profit’ is subjective (provisions for depreciation, bad debts etc.)
- no commonly accepted method of calculating capital employed
- ignores duration of project

Payback period

Advantages

- it is relatively easy to calculate
- calculation of net cash flows is less subjective than calculation of profitability
- where competing projects are being considered, the risk factors may be compared
- short payback periods benefit business’s liquidity and facilitate faster growth

Disadvantages

- life expectancy of project is ignored
- different projects may have similar payback periods but different patterns of cash flows
- time value of money may be ignored

Internal rate of return

Advantages

- indicates return actually to be expected from expenditure
- may assist in ranking different proposals
- often used in businesses
- recognises time value of money

Disadvantages

- more difficult to calculate than NPV
- NPV is usually more useful in ranking different projects

(1 method discussed – maximum 8

2 methods discussed – maximum 10

3 methods discussed – maximum 12)

[12]