

General Certificate of Education

Statistics 6380

SS04 Statistics 4

Mark Scheme

2006 examination - June series

Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation meeting attended by all examiners and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation meeting ensures that the mark scheme covers the candidates' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for the standardisation meeting each examiner analyses a number of candidates' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed at the meeting and legislated for. If, after this meeting, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been discussed at the meeting they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of candidates' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Key To Mark Scheme And Abbreviations Used In Marking

M	mark is for method			
m or dM	mark is dependent on one or more M marks and is for method			
A	mark is dependent on M or m marks and is for accuracy			
В	mark is independent of M or m marks and is for method and accuracy			
Е	mark is for explanation			
Λ Ω Γ	£.11			
$\sqrt{\text{or ft or F}}$	follow through from previous	MC	:	
	incorrect result	MC	mis-copy	
CAO	correct answer only	MR	mis-read	
CSO	correct solution only	RA	required accuracy	
AWFW	anything which falls within	FW	further work	
AWRT	anything which rounds to	ISW	ignore subsequent work	
ACF	any correct form	FIW	from incorrect work	
AG	answer given	BOD	given benefit of doubt	
SC	special case	WR	work replaced by candidate	
OE	or equivalent	FB	formulae book	
A2,1	2 or 1 (or 0) accuracy marks	NOS	not on scheme	
–x EE	deduct x marks for each error	G	graph	
NMS	no method shown	c	candidate	
PI	possibly implied	sf	significant figure(s)	
SCA	substantially correct approach	dp	decimal place(s)	

No Method Shown

Where the question specifically requires a particular method to be used, we must usually see evidence of use of this method for any marks to be awarded. However, there are situations in some units where part marks would be appropriate, particularly when similar techniques are involved. Your Principal Examiner will alert you to these and details will be provided on the mark scheme.

Where the answer can be reasonably obtained without showing working and it is very unlikely that the correct answer can be obtained by using an incorrect method, we must award **full marks**. However, the obvious penalty to candidates showing no working is that incorrect answers, however close, earn **no marks**.

Where a question asks the candidate to state or write down a result, no method need be shown for full marks.

Where the permitted calculator has functions which reasonably allow the solution of the question directly, the correct answer without working earns **full marks**, unless it is given to less than the degree of accuracy accepted in the mark scheme, when it gains **no marks**.

Otherwise we require evidence of a correct method for any marks to be awarded.

SS04

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
443	= 161.16 =	D.1		
1(a)	$\overline{x} = 161.167$ $s = 9.6003$	B1		161.167 (161 to 161.2) and
	95% confidence interval for mean	D.1		9.6003 (9.595 to 9.605)
	$161.167 \pm 2.571 \times 9.6003/\sqrt{6}$	B1		5df
	161.167 ± 10.077	B1√		2.571 or 2.57
	151.09 ~ 171.24	M1		use of their sd/ $\sqrt{6}$
		m1		method for confidence interval - allow
		A 1	6	incorrect t
		A1	6	151.09 (151 to 151.2) and
				171.24 (171 to 171.3)
				or 161.167 (161 to 161.2) and 10.077 (10.05 to 10.1)
(b)	Confidence interval provides evidence	E1		evidence mean exceeds 150g
(b)	that mean weight of bananas exceeds	ΕI		evidence mean exceeds 130g
	150g but some bananas will weigh less	E1	2	some weigh less than 150g
	than 150g.	ΕI	2	some weigh less than 130g
	Total		8	
2(a)	H_0 : $p = 0.25$	B1	-	one correct hypothesis - generous
	$H_1: p > 0.25$	B1		both correct - ungenerous but
	B(14,0.25)			accept p as implying population
	P(9 or more) = 1 - 0.9978 = 0.0022	B1		use of B(14,0.25)
	< 0.01	M1		Attempt to calculate P(9 or more)
	Reject H ₀ evidence that more than 25%			-generous, allow P(more than 9),
	willing to pay an increased rent.			use of normal approx, wrong tail etc
		A1		0.0022 (0.0021 to 0.0023)
		A1√		conclusion, their figures -
				disallow normal approx,
				wrong tail
		A1	7	correct conclusion in context -
				requires previous M1A1A1√
	a a pritical ragion 9 or mars (magraet to			M1 attempt to find aritical region
	s.c. critical region 8 or more (nearest to 1%) or 9 or more (strictly less than 1%)			M1 attempt to find critical region - generous, allow normal approx, wrong
	1/0) of 9 of more (suretry less than 1%)			tail etc
				A1 allow 8 or more or 9 or more

SS04 (cont)

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
2(b)(i)	p = 65/124 = 0.52419	B1		0.524 (0.524 to 0.525) or 65/124
	90% confidence interval	B1		1.6449 (1.64 to 1.65)
		M1		method for sd - their <i>p</i>
	(0.52419×0.47581)	m1		correct method - allow arithmetic
	$0.52419 \pm 1.6449 \sqrt{\frac{0.52419 \times 0.47581}{124}}$			mistakes and incorrect z
	1 `			0.450 (0.45 to 0.451)and 0.598
	0.52419 ± 0.07377			(0.597 to 0.599) or
	$0.450 \sim 0.498$	A1		0.524 (0.524 to 0.5245) and
				0.0738 (0.0737 to 0.074)
	p = 11/124 = 0.08871	B1		0.0887 (0.088 to 0.089) or 11/124
(ii)	90% confidence interval	M1		method - allow arithmetic mistakes and
	0.08871×0.91129			incorrect z
	$0.08871 \pm 1.6449 \sqrt{\frac{0.08871 \times 0.91129}{124}}$	A1	8	0.047 (0.046 to 0.047) and
				0.131 (0.13 to 0.131) or
	0.08871 ± 0.04200			0.0887 (0.088 to 0.089) and
	$0.047 \sim 0.131$			0.042 (0.0415 to 0.0425)
(c)	Evidence that more than 25% would say	E1√		more than 25% for unspecified increase
	yes when increase is unspecified.	LIV		
	Confirmed in (b)(i) when a small(10%)	E1√		confirmed for small increase
	increase is suggested but much less than			
	25% in (b)(ii) when a large(40%)			
	increase is suggested.	E1	3	but less for large increase
	Total		18	
3(a)	$\overline{x} = 59.575$ $s = 4.5380$	B1		59.575 (59.5 to 59.6) and
	H_0 : $\mu = 60$			4.538 (4.53 to 4.55)
	H_1 : $\mu \neq 60$	B1		one hypothesis - generous
		B1		both hypotheses - ungenerous
	$t = (59.575 - 60)/(4.538/\sqrt{8})$	M1		use of their sd/ $\sqrt{8}$
	=-0.265	m1		method - ignore sign
		A1		-0.265 (-0.26 to -0.27)
	$cv t_7 \pm 1.895$	B1		7df
		B1√		their df
	Accept $\mu = 60$			
	No significant evidence to suppose the	A 1 A		
	mean temperature at which sprinklers	A 1√	9	conclusion
	are activated is not 60°C			

SS04 (cont)

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
(b)(i)	Sprinklers set off unnecessarily causing mess and damage.	E2(1)		E2 for reason in context
(ii)	Sprinklers set off too late to prevent fire damage	E2(1)		E1 reason unconvincing or not in context
(iii)	Large standard deviation means temperature at which sprinklers set off is variable and so unpredictable	E2(1)		
(iv)	Testing is time consuming and expensive - may require sprinklers to be set off unnecessarily.	E2(1)	8	
	Total		17	
4(a)	H ₀ : $\mu = 8$ H ₁ : $\mu > 8$ Poisson mean 8 P(16 or more) = 1 - 0.9918 = 0.0082 < 0.05	B1 M1		both hypotheses - accept μ or λ as implying population - generous attempt to calculate P(16 or more) - generous
	Reject H ₀ ; conclude there is significant evidence that the mean number of such emails received exceeds 8 per week s.c. cr ≥ 13 nearest to 5% ≥ 14 strictly less than 5%	M1 A1 A1√	6	method for P(16 or more) disallow normal approx 0.0082 (0.008 to 0.0082) conclusion - needs both M1s A1 in context - needs previous A1
(b)	H ₀ : $\mu = 8$ H ₁ : $\mu < 8$ 6 weeks \rightarrow Poisson mean 48 approximate by Normal, mean 48 standard deviation $\sqrt{48} = 6.9282$ $z = (38.5 - 48)/\sqrt{48} = -1.37$ critical value -1.6449 Accept H ₀ ; conclude no significant evidence that mean is less than 8 per week.	B1 B1 M1 A1 M1		both hypotheses - accept $\mu = 48$ etc Poisson mean 8×6 attempted use of normal approx - generous mean 48 , sd $\sqrt{48}$ method for z - ignore sign and continuity correction -1.37 (-1.365 to -1.375) or -1.44 (-1.44 to -1.45) if c.c. not used.
	s.c. exact Poisson P(38 or fewer) = 0.0814 (0.081 to 0.0815) p - value using normal 0.085	B1 A1√ A1	9	1.6449 (1.64 to 1.65) -ignore sign conclusion - must be compared with lower tail of z in context - needs previous A1 \checkmark

SS04 (cont)

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
4(c)	Evidence that mean number of emails	E1√		$E1 \checkmark > 8$ before change
	before changes was greater than 8 per			E1 not greater than 8 now
	week. Some evidence - but not			E1 some evidence but not
	significant - that number is now less			significant less than 8 now
	than 8 per week. Conclude changes have	E1	2	E1 reduction
	lead to a reduction in emails of			maximum 2
	complaint.			if not in context maximum 1
	Total		17	
5(a)	z = (250 - 206)/28 = 1.5714	M1		method for z - ignore sign
	Probability $> 250 = 0.0580$	m1		correct method
		A1	3	0.0580 (0.058 to 0.0583)
(b)(i)	normal mean 412	B1		412 cao
	standard deviation $\sqrt{28^2 + 28^2} = 39.598$	B1		method for sd
(ii)	z = (300 - 412)/39.598 = -2.828	M1		normal (may be implied) and
()	Probability profit insufficient			method for z - ignore sign
	= 1 - 0.99765 = 0.00235	m1		correct method
	1 0,557 00 0.00250	A1	5	0.00235 (0.0023 to 0.0024)
(c)(i)	normal mean 412	B1		412 cao
	standard deviation $2 \times 28 = 56$	B1		56 cao
(ii)	z = (300 - 412)/56 = -2	M1		normal (may be implied) and
, ,	Probability insufficient = $1 - 0.97725$			method for z - ignore sign
	=0.02275	m1	5	correct method
		A1		0.02275 (0.0227 to 0.023)
(d)	Probability in (c) much larger than in (b)	E1		Any two points - maximum one if not in
	but still small. Probably OK just to hold			context.
	one bring-and-buy sale. Assumption of			
	independence in part (a) may be	E1	2	
	doubtful			
	Total		15	-
	TOTAL		75	-