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## Final

Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation events which all examiners participate in and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation process ensures that the mark scheme covers the candidates' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for standardisation each examiner analyses a number of candidates' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed and legislated for. If, after the standardisation process, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been raised they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of candidates' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.
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## Key to mark scheme abbreviations

| M | mark is for method |
| :--- | :--- |
| m or dM | mark is dependent on one or more M marks and is for method |
| A | mark is dependent on M or m marks and is for accuracy |
| B | mark is independent of M or m marks and is for method and accuracy |
| E | mark is for explanation |
| Jor ft or F | follow through from previous incorrect result |
| CAO | correct answer only |
| CSO | correct solution only |
| AWFW | anything which falls within |
| AWRT | anything which rounds to |
| ACF | any correct form |
| AG | answer given |
| SC | special case |
| OE | or equivalent |
| A2,1 | 2 or 1 (or 0) accuracy marks |
| $-x$ EE | deduct $x$ marks for each error |
| NMS | no method shown |
| PI | possibly implied <br> SCA |
| substantially correct approach |  |
| cf | candidate |
| dp | significant figure(s) |
| decimal place(s) |  |

## No Method Shown

Where the question specifically requires a particular method to be used, we must usually see evidence of use of this method for any marks to be awarded.

Where the answer can be reasonably obtained without showing working and it is very unlikely that the correct answer can be obtained by using an incorrect method, we must award full marks. However, the obvious penalty to candidates showing no working is that incorrect answers, however close, earn no marks.

Where a question asks the candidate to state or write down a result, no method need be shown for full marks.
Where the permitted calculator has functions which reasonably allow the solution of the question directly, the correct answer without working earns full marks, unless it is given to less than the degree of accuracy accepted in the mark scheme, when it gains no marks.

Otherwise we require evidence of a correct method for any marks to be awarded.

| Q | Solution | Marks | Total | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \mu=140$ and $\mathrm{H}_{1}: \mu \neq 140$ | B1 |  | Both correct |
|  | Use $\quad \mathrm{SE}($ mean $)=22.79 / \sqrt{12}$ | M1 |  | Use of SE $=\mathrm{S} / \sqrt{ }$ n allow $n=11$ or 12 |
|  | $t= \pm(137.24-140) /(22.79 / \sqrt{ } 12)$ | m1 |  | Correct expression - ignore sign |
|  | $-0.4195=(-) 0.420$ | A1 |  | $(-) 0.415$ to $(-) 0.425$ |
|  | df, $v=11$ | B1 |  | $\begin{aligned} & v=11 \Rightarrow \text { implied by } 1.363,1.796,2.201, \\ & 2.718 \text { or } 3.106 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | FT $2.5 \%$ point: $t_{(0.025)}( \pm) 2.201$ | B1 $\checkmark$ |  | $\pm t_{(0.025)} \mathrm{FT}$ their df, (e.g. 2.179 for $\mathrm{v}=12$ ) |
|  | $\text { Accept } \mathrm{H}_{0}$ | A1 $\checkmark$ |  | Their TS vs recognisable $t$ value, matching signs |
|  | (or $p$-value approach) |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P}\left(t_{11}<-0.4195\right)=0.341463>0.025 \\ & \text { Ignore missing/faulty } \mathrm{H}_{0} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Accept Aaron's claim. There is no significant evidence that the mean weight of pears is not 140 g . | A1 | 8 | Completely correct, 0.420 vs 2.201 , conclusion in context: Mean pears weight $=$ 140 g . |
|  | CI approach |  |  |  |
|  | Hypotheses correct | (B1) |  |  |
|  | $137.24 \pm 2.201 \times(22.79 / \sqrt{ } 12)$ | (M1) (m1) <br> (B1) |  | expression for CI , allow use of t or Z $v=11$ seen or implied |
|  | Using 2.201: 122.76 to 151.72 | $\begin{gathered} (\mathrm{B} 1 \sqrt{ }) \\ (\mathrm{A} 1) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FT on } t \text { value, } 2.201 \\ & \text { Limits } 122.7-123.0 \text { and } 151.7 \text { to } 152.0 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | CI includes 140 , accept $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ Accept Aaron's claim. | $\begin{gathered} (\mathrm{A} 1 \checkmark) \\ (\mathrm{A} 1) \end{gathered}$ |  | compare 140 to limits, Accept $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ completely correct, contextual conclusion |
|  | $z(1.96)$ : interval 124.35 to 150.13 |  |  | B1 M1 m1 B0 B0` A1 A0 ¢ A0 |
|  | $124.0-124.4 ; \quad 150.0-150.2$ |  |  | Max 4/8 |

| Q | Solution | Marks | Total | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3(a) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sample mean }=20.7 \text { and } \mathrm{SD}=9.154 \\ & \sigma_{n}=8.6838 \end{aligned}$ | B1 |  | 20.7 CAO and 9.15 ( $9.15 \sim 9.16$ ) (implied by s${ }^{2}=83.7 \sim 83.8$ ) |
|  | use $t_{(0.025)}=2.26(2)$ | B1 |  | 2.262 seen |
|  | $95 \% \mathrm{CI}: \quad 20.7 \pm 2.262 \times 9.154 / \sqrt{ } 10$ | M1 |  | CI method, $t$ or $z, \sqrt{ } 10$ used |
|  | $20.7 \pm 2.262 \times 9.154 / \sqrt{ } 10$ | m1 |  | correct 2.26 and $\sqrt{ } 10$, their mean/SD M0 for $\sigma_{n} / \sqrt{ } 10, s / \sqrt{ } 9$, mean $=40$ |
|  | $20.7 \pm 6.55$ or $14.2 \sim 27.2$ | A1 | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & (14.1 \sim 14.2) \text { to }(27.2 \sim 27.3) \\ & \text { or } \pm(6.50 \sim 6.55) \end{aligned}$ |
| (b) | If average $=50 \%$ of available marks (80) target mean should be 40. <br> IF SD $=10 \%$ of available mark (80) Target SD should be 8 | E1 |  | target mean $=40$ marks seen and / or target SD $=8$ marks seen |
|  | CI for mean $<40$, so evidence that the test is too difficult/ inappropriate/ target not met. | E1 $\checkmark$ |  | FT their values |
|  | SD close to ( $>$ ) 8 , so variability criteria satisfied/ target met. | E1 $\checkmark$ | 3 | FT their values |
|  | Total |  | 8 |  |


| Q | Solution | Marks | Total | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6(a)(i) | $\mathrm{H}_{0}: p=0.03$ and $\mathrm{H}_{1}: p>0.03$ | B1 |  | $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ - may be earned in (a)(ii) |
|  | $\mathrm{B}(30,0.03)$ | M1 |  | attempted use of $\mathrm{B}(30,0.03)$ |
|  | attempt $\mathrm{P}(2+)=1-\mathrm{P}(0,1)=1-0.7731$ | m1 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1-\mathrm{P}(0,1) \\ & \text { or } 1-\mathrm{P}(0,1,2)=1-0.9399=0.0601 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $=0.2269$ | A1 |  | $0.226 \sim 0.227$ |
|  | Accept $\mathrm{H}_{0}$, as $0.2269>0.05$ | A1 $\checkmark$ |  | FT Conclusion (Ignore $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ ), their (valid) $p$ - value vs 0.05 |
|  | No evidence scheme was effective | A1 | 6 | Completely correct and conclusion in context |
| (ii) | $\mathrm{H}_{0}: p=0.03 \quad \mathrm{H}_{1}: p>0.03$ |  |  | Can recover B1 above if not gained in a(i) |
|  | $\mathrm{B}(583,0.03)$ | B1 |  | attempt B(583, 0.03) use $\mathrm{NB} \quad \mathrm{P}(2)=0.167$ |
|  | Normal $\quad \mathrm{N}(n p, n p q)$ | M1 |  | $\mathrm{N}(n p, n p q)$ attempt, $n=583$ their p |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \mu=583 \times 0.03=17.49 \\ \sigma^{2}=583 \times 0.03 \times 0.97=16.97 \end{gathered}$ | m1 |  | Attempt $n p$ and $n p q$ with $n=583, p=0.03$ $\mu=17.5$ and $\sigma^{2}=17.0 \quad(\sigma=4.119=4.12)$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{P}(28+): z=(27.5-17.49) / 4.119 & =2.43 \\ \text { Or }(28.0-17.49) / 4.119 & =2.55 \end{aligned}$ | m1 |  | Standardise 27 <br> FT $\mu$ and $\sigma$, ignore CC |
|  | Either $z=2.43$ or 2.55 | A1 |  | $2.42 \sim 2.44 ; 2.54 \sim 2.56$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{rcr} \text { CV: } & z_{(0.05)}=1.6449 \\ \text { OR } & \Phi(z) & \text { vs } 0.05 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { B1 } \\ \text { (M1) } \end{gathered}$ |  | $1.64 \sim 1.65$, allow if $p$-value vs 0.05 Appropriate tail probability vs 0.05 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} z>1.64 / 1.65, \text { Reject } \mathrm{H}_{0} \\ \Phi(z)=0.00755 \text { or } 0.00539<0.05 \end{gathered}$ | A1〕 |  | FT conclusion TS vs 1.65 , or $p$-value $0.0075 \sim 0.0076 ; \quad 0.0053 \sim 0.0054<0.05$ |
|  | Evidence show scheme has been effective | A1 | 8 | Completely correct, in context |
|  | POISSON approx, $\mu=17.5$ | (B1) |  | $\mathrm{B}(583,0.03)$ |
|  | $\mathrm{P}(X \geq 28)=1-\mathrm{P}(27)=1-0.9875=0.0125$ | (M1A1) |  | $\mathrm{P}(X \geq 28)=0.012 \sim 0.013$ |
|  | $0.0125<0.05$ reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ | (M1A1) |  | $p$ value $<0.05$, Reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ |
|  | Conclusion in context | (A1) |  | context |
|  | Binomial $\Rightarrow$ Poisson $\Rightarrow$ Normal |  |  | Allow M's and B's only for consistent working, $\max 5 / 8$ |
| a(iii) | Accept (a)(ii) conclusion since it is based on a larger sample | E1 | 1 | (a)(ii) because larger sample |
|  |  |  |  | allow mark for comments casting doubt on appropriateness of binomial model |


