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## Key to mark scheme and abbreviations used in marking

$\left.\begin{array}{llll}\text { M } & \text { mark is for method } & \\ \hline \text { m or dM } & \text { mark is dependent on one or more M marks and is for method } \\ \text { A } & \text { mark is dependent on } \mathrm{M} \text { or } m \text { marks and is for accuracy }\end{array}\right]$

## No Method Shown

Where the question specifically requires a particular method to be used, we must usually see evidence of use of this method for any marks to be awarded. However, there are situations in some units where part marks would be appropriate, particularly when similar techniques are involved. Your Principal Examiner will alert you to these and details will be provided on the mark scheme.

Where the answer can be reasonably obtained without showing working and it is very unlikely that the correct answer can be obtained by using an incorrect method, we must award full marks. However, the obvious penalty to candidates showing no working is that incorrect answers, however close, earn no marks.

Where a question asks the candidate to state or write down a result, no method need be shown for full marks.
Where the permitted calculator has functions which reasonably allow the solution of the question directly, the correct answer without working earns full marks, unless it is given to less than the degree of accuracy accepted in the mark scheme, when it gains no marks.

## Otherwise we require evidence of a correct method for any marks to be awarded.

SS04

| Q | Solution | Marks | Total | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1(a) | Approximate 99\% confidence interval |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{SD}=\sqrt{24}$ used | B1 |  | $\sqrt{24}$ used for s.d. |
|  | $24 \pm Z \text {-value } \times \sqrt{24}$ | M1 |  | C1 method, recognisable Z, their s.d. |
|  | $\mathrm{Z}=2.5758$ | B1 |  | Accept 2.58 |
|  | $24 \pm 12.6$ or $11.4 \sim 36.6$ | A1 | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \pm(12.6 \sim 12.7) \text { or } \\ & (11.3 \sim 11.4) \text { to }(36.6 \sim 36.7) \end{aligned}$ |
| (b) | Since 17 lies within the interval | B1 |  | 17 lies within CI |
|  | Editors claim incorrect/not justified No evidence of a significant increase in mean number of births | E1 $\checkmark$ | 2 | correct conclusion their CI |
|  | Total |  | 6 |  |
| 2(a)(i) | P(Spelling Error) constant/same, or Errors are independent, or |  |  | constant p/errors independent/ n constant (fixed) |
|  | Number words per page constant. | E1 | 1 | "Random Sample" E0 |
| (ii) | Poisson approx to $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{n}=200, \mathrm{p}=0.0035)$ | B1 |  | Poisson, mean $200 \times 0.0035=0.7$ |
|  | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X}>2)=1-\mathrm{P}(X \leqslant 2)$ | M1 |  | Attempt, $1-\mathrm{p}(0,1,2)$ or $1-\mathrm{p}(0,1)$ $1-0.9659$ |
|  | $1-0.4966-0.3476-0.1217$ |  |  | $1-0.8442(=0.156)$ |
|  | $1-0.9659=0.0341$ | A1 | 3 | 0.0341 ( 0.034~0.0342) |
| (b)(i) | $\mathrm{Y}=$ Grammatical errors $\sim$ Poisson(274) | B1 | 1 | Poisson (np $=365 \times 0.75=273.75$ ), Implied by "normal" \& $\mathrm{N}(274,274)$ used in (ii) |
| (ii) | $\mathrm{Y} \sim \operatorname{approx} \mathrm{N}(274,274)$ | M1 |  | $\begin{aligned} \text { Normal } \mu=\sigma^{2} & =\text { their } 365 \times 0.75 \\ \sigma & =\sqrt{ } 273.75=16.545\end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{Y}<300)=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{Z}<299.5-273.75) / 16.545$ | m1 |  | Standardise 300, $\pm$ Z, ignore c.c. |
|  | $\mathrm{Z}=( \pm) 1.5563$ | m1 |  | Correct use of c.c. ( $\pm$ Z $)$ |
|  | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X}<300)=0.940$ | A1 | 4 | 0.940 ( $0.939 \sim 0.941)$ |
|  | Total |  | 9 |  |

SS04 (cont)

| Q | Solution | Marks | Total | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3(a) | $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \mu=1000 \quad \mathrm{H}_{1}: \mu<1000$ | B1 |  | Both hypotheses correct |
|  | $\bar{x}=995.5 \& \mathrm{~s}=32.725$ | B1 |  | $\begin{array}{r} (995 \sim 996) \&(32.7 \sim 32.8) \\ \text { NB } \sigma_{\mathrm{n}}=31.05 \end{array}$ |
|  | Use of SD/ $\sqrt{10}$ | M1 |  | Use of their s.d.// 10 |
|  | $\mathrm{t}= \pm(995.5-1000) /(32.725 / \sqrt{10})$ | m1 |  | Method for ts, - ignore sign use of $\sigma_{\mathrm{n}}$ gives $\mathrm{t}=-0.458, \mathrm{~m} 0$ |
|  | $\mathrm{t}=-0.435$ | A1 |  | -0.435 (-0.43~-0.44) |
|  | $v=9 \mathrm{df}$ | B1 |  | 9 df , may be implied ( $2.5 \%=2.262$ ) |
|  | c.v. $\mathrm{t}_{9}(-) 1.833$ | B1ヶ |  | -1.833, their df ( $5 \%$ point) |
|  | Accept $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ Howard's claim confirmed. There is no significant evidence the mean weight of bags less than 1000 g . | A1」 | 8 | Their -ve ts versus -1.83(3) not inconsistent with their $\mathrm{H}_{0}$. |
| (b) | Type 2 error, | B1 |  | Type II error |
|  | Since Jean suspected that an incorrect null hypothesis had been accepted. | E1dep | 2 | Correct explanation, false $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ accepted, dependent on B1 |
| (c) | The sample mean is $>1000 \mathrm{~g}$, so the test statistic will be positive. | E1 |  | Sample mean $>1000 \mathrm{~g},+\mathrm{ve}$ ts |
|  | The critical value is negative so $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ cannot be rejected. | E1 | 2 | Complete explanation, $+v e$ ts versus -ve CV |
| (d) | If sample is not random the conclusions are unreliable | E1 |  | Invalid, unreliable- reliability affected |
|  | Manager may select only relatively heavy bags for the sample. | E1 | 2 | Justification, sample may be biased, by selection of heavy bags |
|  | Total |  | 14 |  |

SS04 (cont)

| Q | Solution | Marks | Total | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4(a) | $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \mathrm{p}=0.2 \mathrm{H}_{1}: \mathrm{p}<0.2$ | B1 |  | Both hypotheses |
|  | $\mathrm{B}(25,0.2)$ | B1 |  | Attempted use of $\mathrm{B}(25,0.2)$ |
|  | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X} \leq 1)\{\mathrm{P}(0,1)=0.00378,0.0236\}$ | M1 |  | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{X} \leq 1)$ or $\mathrm{P}(0)$, lower tail prob. M0 for Normal approx |
|  | $=0.0274$ | A1 |  | 0.0274 ( $0.027 \sim 0.0275)$ |
|  | Reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$, since $0.0274<0.05$ | A1 $\checkmark$ |  | Conclusion-their p vs 0.05 (5\%) |
|  | Simone correct. Less than $20 \%$ of members will attend the AGM. | A1 | 6 | Completely correct, conclusion in context |
| (b) | $\mathrm{p}=11 / 235=0.046808$ | B1 |  | 11/235, acf, 0.0468-0.047 |
|  | $\mathrm{Z}=( \pm) 1.6449$ | B1 |  | $1.64 \sim 1.65$ |
|  | $\mathrm{SE}(\mathrm{p})=\sqrt{\{\mathrm{p} \times(1-\mathrm{p}) \mathrm{n}\}}=0.01378$ | M1 |  | Method for SE(p) |
|  | $\mathrm{CI}=\mathrm{p} \pm \mathrm{Z} \times \sqrt{\{\mathrm{p} \times(1-\mathrm{p}) / \mathrm{n}\}}$ | m1 |  | CI method - allow incorrect Z value |
|  | $0.04681 \pm 1.645 \times 0.01378$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} (0.0468 \sim 0.0470) \pm(0.0225 \sim 0.0230) \\ \text { or } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $0.0468 \pm 0.0227$ or $0.024 \sim 0.069$ | A1 | 5 | (0.024~0.025) to (0.069~0.070) |
| (c) | Expected attendance $=7090 \times \mathrm{p}$ vs 600 | E1 |  | $7090 \times$ (a probability) or equivalent |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Attendance }<7090 \times\left(\begin{array}{c} \text { 'their } 0.0694 ’ \\ \\ =492 \end{array}\right) \end{gathered}$ | B1 $\checkmark$ |  | $7090 \times$ their upper limit for p |
|  | Room large enough as $492<600$ Simone correct | B1 | 3 | Completely correct $492(489 \text { to } 496)<600, \text { room OK }$ |
|  | Equivalent argument based on proportion attending |  |  | $\mathrm{p}=600 / 7090(0.085,8.5 \%) \quad \mathrm{E} 1$ 600/7090 > their upper limit B1 $0.085>0.069 \sim 0.070 \Rightarrow \mathrm{OK} \mathrm{B} 1$ |
|  |  |  | 14 |  |

SS04 (cont)


SS04 (cont)

| Q | Solution | Marks | Total | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6(a)(i) | Total time, T is normally distributed | B1 |  | Normal implied by use in (ii) |
|  | Mean $12.5+9.6+19.0=41.1$ | B1 |  | 41.1 CAO |
|  | Variance $=1.5^{2}+1.3^{2}+1.9^{2}=7.55$ | B1 | 3 | $7.55 \mathrm{CAO}, \mathrm{sd}=2.75$ AWRT |
| (ii) | $\mathrm{Z}= \pm(42-41.1) / 2.748=0.3275$ | M1 |  | Standardise 42, their mean, s.d. NO cc |
|  | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{T}<42)=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{Z}<0.3275)=0.628$ | A1 | 2 | 0.628 (0.625~0.63) |
| (b)(i) | $\mathrm{C}<16.5$ required or equivalent | B1 |  | 16.5 or conditional $\mu=42(\mathrm{~F}) \& 41.5(\mathrm{I})$ |
|  | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{C}<16.5)$ |  |  | Method using C or T (at least one variance correct, F or I) |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Or } \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~T}<39 \mid \mu=42 ; 41.5 \text { for } \mathrm{F} ; \mathrm{I}) \\ & \operatorname{Ian} Z_{1}=-2.5 / 1.9=-1.315 \\ & \text { Fred } Z_{\mathrm{F}}=-3.0 / 3.2=-0.9375 \end{aligned}$ | M1 |  | $\begin{aligned} & z_{1}=(16.5-19) / 1.9=-1.315 \text { or equiv } \\ & z_{\mathrm{F}}=(16.5-19.5) / 3.2=-0.9375 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{T}<39 ; \mathrm{C}<16.5)$ : |  |  |  |
|  | Ian $=1-0.906=0.094$ |  |  | ( 0.093~0.095 ) |
|  | Fred $=1-0.825=0.175$ | A1 |  | ( $0.173 \sim 0.177$ ) Both |
|  | (or valid argument using correct Zs ) |  |  | $(-1.31 \sim-1.32)<(-0.935 \sim-0.940)$ |
|  | Fred more likely to beat 39 minutes | B1 | 4 | Fred most likely, ignore method |
| (ii) | Times for cycling section Fred - Ian |  |  | Consider F-I or I-F |
|  | $E(F-I)=19.5-19=0.5$ | M1 |  | Method for mean \& variance (s.d.) |
|  | $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{F}-1)=3.2^{2}+1.9^{2}=13.85$ |  |  | $\mathrm{SD}=3.722$, equivalently I-F |
|  | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{F}>\mathrm{I})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{Z}>-0.5 / 3.722)$ | m1 |  | Standardise 0 , allow $\pm Z$ |
|  | $\mathrm{Z}=( \pm) 0.134$ | A1 |  | $( \pm) 0.130 \sim 0.140$ |
|  | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{F}>\mathrm{I})=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{Z}>-0.134)=0.553$ | A1 | 4 | 0.553 (0.55 ~0.56) |
|  | Total |  | 13 |  |
|  | TOTAL |  | 75 |  |

