

General Certificate of Education

Statistics 6380

SS04 Statistics Unit 4

Mark Scheme

2007 examination - January series

www.theallpapers.com

Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation meeting attended by all examiners and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation meeting ensures that the mark scheme covers the candidates' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for the standardisation meeting each examiner analyses a number of candidates' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed at the meeting and legislated for. If, after this meeting, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been discussed at the meeting they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of candidates' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this Mark Scheme are available to download from the AQA Website: www.aqa.org.uk

Copyright © 2007 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

COPYRIGHT

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered centres for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to centres to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

Set and published by the Assessment and Qualifications Alliance.

The Assessment and Qualifications Alliance (AQA) is a company limited by guarantee registered in England and Wales (company number 3644723) and a registered charity (registered charity number 1073334). Registered address: AQA, Devas Street, Manchester M15 6EX Dr Michael Cresswell Director General

Μ	mark is for method					
m or dM	mark is dependent on one or more M marks and is for method					
А	mark is dependent on M or m marks and is for accuracy					
В	mark is independent of M or m marks and is for method and accuracy					
E	mark is for explanation					
or ft or F	follow through from previous					
	incorrect result	MC	mis-copy			
CAO	correct answer only	MR	mis-read			
CSO	correct solution only	RA	required accuracy			
AWFW	anything which falls within	$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{W}$	further work			
AWRT	anything which rounds to	ISW	ignore subsequent work			
ACF	any correct form	FIW	from incorrect work			
AG	answer given	BOD	given benefit of doubt			
SC	special case	WR	work replaced by candidate			
OE	or equivalent	FB	formulae book			
A2,1	2 or 1 (or 0) accuracy marks	NOS	not on scheme			
–x EE	deduct <i>x</i> marks for each error	G	graph			
NMS	no method shown	c	candidate			
PI	possibly implied	sf	significant figure(s)			
SCA	substantially correct approach	dp	decimal place(s)			

Key to mark scheme and abbreviations used in marking

No Method Shown

Where the question specifically requires a particular method to be used, we must usually see evidence of use of this method for any marks to be awarded. However, there are situations in some units where part marks would be appropriate, particularly when similar techniques are involved. Your Principal Examiner will alert you to these and details will be provided on the mark scheme.

Where the answer can be reasonably obtained without showing working and it is very unlikely that the correct answer can be obtained by using an incorrect method, we must award **full marks**. However, the obvious penalty to candidates showing no working is that incorrect answers, however close, earn **no marks**.

Where a question asks the candidate to state or write down a result, no method need be shown for full marks.

Where the permitted calculator has functions which reasonably allow the solution of the question directly, the correct answer without working earns **full marks**, unless it is given to less than the degree of accuracy accepted in the mark scheme, when it gains **no marks**.

Otherwise we require evidence of a correct method for any marks to be awarded.

Jan 07

Question	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
	_			
1(a)	$\hat{p} = 27/85 = 0.31765$	B1		27/85 ACF
	95% confidence interval for \hat{p}			
	$\sqrt{0.31765 \times 0.68235}$	B1		1.96
	$0.31765 \pm 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{0.51765 \times 0.00255}{85}}$	M1		use of $\hat{p} \pm z \times$ their s.d.
		M1		method for s.d.
	0.31765 ± 0.09897	m1		correct method - allow incorrect z
	0.219 ~ 0.417	A1	6	$0.219(0.218 \sim 0.22)$ and $0.417(0.416 \sim 0.410)$
				$0.41/(0.416 \sim 0.418)$ or $0.317(0.316 \sim 0.318)$ and
				$0.0990(0.0989 \sim 0.099)$
(b)	0.17 below confidence interval - evidence	E1√		below confidence interval / evidence more
	that greater proportion of Simsons matches break			огеак
	Bad decision.	E1	2	bad decision
	Total		8	
2 (a)		D1		
2(a)	x = 260.25 $s = 41.337$	BI		260.25 (260 ~ 260.3)
	00% confidence interval for mean	BI P1		$41.337 (41.3 \sim 41.4)$
	41337	B1 B1√		1.895 (1.89 ~1.9)
	$260.25 \pm 1.895 \times \frac{1100}{\sqrt{8}}$	M1		use of their s.d generous
				$\sqrt{8}$
		m1	-	method - allow incorrect t
	260.25 ± 27.70	Al		$232.6 (232.5 \sim 233)$ and $287.9 (287.5 \sim 288)$ or
	232.0~267.9			$260.25 (260 \sim 260.5)$ and
				27.7 (27.65 ~ 27.75)
		Г1		
(D)	normal distribution	EI E1	2	ranuom normal – allow independent
		L/1	4	normal anow independent
			9	

5504 (cont) Color!:	M. 1	T . 4 1	Correct (
Question	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
3(a)	$H_0: p = 0.4$ $H_1: p \neq 0.4$ $P(1240, 0, 4) = N_1 = 1$	B1		both hypotheses - accept <i>p</i> as implying population
	$B(1240,0.4) \rightarrow \text{Normal, mean 496}$	BI		generous e.g. allow if via Poisson
	s.d. $\sqrt{1240 \times 0.4 \times 0.6} = \sqrt{297.6}$	M1		method for s.d. 406 CAO and 17.25 (17.2, 17.3) may be
	$z = \frac{476.5 - 496}{17.25}$	M1		implied method for z - their mean and s.d allow
	17.25	ml		method for z - disallow incorrect cc - ignore sign
	= -1.13	A1		$-1.13(-1.12 \sim -1.17)$
	c.v. ± 1.96	B1		1.96 ignore sign
	accept $H_0 \rightarrow$ accept that 40% of householders in Birmingham will make a donation when approached.	A1√ A1√	10	conclusion - correct tail compared correct conclusion -their figures in context - not necessarily correct tail.
	SC if exact probabilities used (Binomial 0.129, Poisson mean 496 0.191) allow B1 B0 M0 A0 m0 A0 B1 comparison with 0.025A1√A1√			allow comparison of <i>p</i> -value 0.131 (0.12 ~ 0.132) with 0.025
	SC Poisson approx then normal approx used - allow max B1 B1 M0 A0 M1 m0 A0 B1 A0 A1			
(b)	$H_0: p = 0.005$	B1		both hypotheses - accept p , etc as
	$H_1: p > 0.005$	D1		implying population
	$B(440,0.005) \rightarrow Poisson mean 2.2$	$B1 \sqrt{1}$		mean 440×0.005
	P(7 or more) = $1 - 0.9925 = 0.0075$	M1		attempt to calculate P (7 or more) -
		A1		generous $0.0075 (0.0074 \sim 0.0075)$
	$0.0075 < 0.05$ reject H ₀ \rightarrow significant	A1√		conclusion - their probability compared
	evidence that more than 0.5% of	A 1 A	7	with 0.05
	make a monthly donation.	AI√	/	correct conclusion - their figures - in context last 2 marks require use of $p = 0.005$
	SC allow critical value 5 or more (closest to 5%) or 6 or more (less than 5%)			
	SC if exact probabilities 0.0073 used allow B1 B0 B0 M1 A0 A1 \checkmark A1 \checkmark			
	SC if normal approx used allow B1 B0 B1 M1 A0 A0 \checkmark A0 \checkmark			

SS04 (cont				1
Question	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
3(c)	part (a) suggests that 40% would make a single donation. monthly donations worth 80 times as	E1 E1		40% single donation/more than 0.5% monthly donation - must be based on correct work
	much. $40/80 = 0.5$.	21		$\frac{40}{80} = 0.5$
	hence if more than 0.5% would make a monthly donation this would be more profitable in the long run. Part (b) provides significant evidence that this is the case.	E1	3	monthly donations more profitable
	Total		20	
4(a)	$z = \frac{15 - 11.4}{2.4} = 1.5$ probability > 15 minutes	B1		method for z - ignore sign
	= 1 - 0.93319	M1		completely correct method
	= 0.0668	A1	3	0.0668 (0.0668 ~ 0.0669)
(b)	time for 3 games \rightarrow normal mean $3 \times 11.4 = 34.2$ s.d. $\sqrt{3 \times 2.4^2} = 4.157$	B1 M1		mean 34.2 method for s.d (or variance) - even if not called s.d.
	$z = \frac{30 - 34.2}{11.77} = -1.010$	m1		method for z - ignore sign
	4.157 probability < 30 minutes = 1 - 0.84375 = 0.156	m1 A1	5	completely correct method $0.156 (0.156 \sim 0.157)$
(c)	Time for 3 games – time to library \rightarrow normal	M1		attempt to find s.d of (3 games – time to library)
	mean $34.2 - 45 = -10.8$	B1		-10.8 ignore sign - may be implied
	s.d. $\sqrt{3 \times 2.4^2 + 4.1^2} = 5.839$	m1		method for s.d. or variance - their value in (b)
	$z = \frac{-10.8}{5.839} = -1.850$			
	probability Gwyneth back at hostel before	m1		method - allow wrong tail
	3 games completed is 1 - 0.96783 = 0.0322	A1	5	0.0322 (0.032 ~ 0.0323)
(d)	very little chance of going to library and returning in time to play.	E1		small chance of both
	Must either play and pay fine or go to library and miss turn	E1√	2	choose one or other
	Total		15	
		•		•

SS04 (cont				
Question	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
5(a)	$H_0: \mu = 5.00$ $H_1: \mu \neq 5.00$	B1		both hypotheses - μ or population needed
	x = 5.132 $s = 0.8611$	B1		5.132 (5.13 ~5.135) and 0.8611(0.861 ~ 0.8615)
	$t = \frac{5.132 - 5.00}{\frac{0.8611}{\sqrt{11}}}$	M1		use of their $\frac{s}{\sqrt{11}}$
	$\sqrt{11}$	m1		method for <i>t</i> - ignore sign
	= 0.508	A1		$0.508~(~0.507\sim 0.508)$
	c.v. t_{10} are ± 2.228	B1		10 df
		B1		2.228 - ignore sign
	accept H ₀ : i.e. accept mean weight of	Al	0	correct conclusion their figures - AG
	potatoes in bags is Skg	AI√	9	correct conclusion their figures in context
	SC critical values			
	$\frac{5.00\pm2.228\times0.8611}{\sqrt{11}}$			
	5.00 ± 0.578			
	4.42~5.58			
	confidence interval $5.132 \pm 2.228 \times 0.8611$			
	<u>5.152±2.228×0.8011</u>			
	5132 ± 0.578			
	4.55 ~ 5.71			
(b)	contents much less than 5kg will lead to	E1	1	reason – either
	customer complaints, contents much			
	than necessary			
(c)	H ₀ : $\mu = 0.7$	B1		both hypotheses - don't penalise for same
	$H_1: \mu > 0.7$			reason as (a)
	$z = \frac{0.88 - 0.7}{0.52} = 2.68$	B1		2.68 (2.65 ~ 2.69) - allow use of
	$\frac{0.32}{\sqrt{60}}$			$0.52 \times \sqrt{60}$
	V 00			59
	c.v. 2.3263	B1		2.3263 (2.326 ~ 2.33) or 2.39 (2.39 ~ 2.392)
	reject H ₀ : Evidence mean magnitude of	B 1√	4	conclusion based on correct method of
	differences greater than 0.7			calculation and c.v. from <i>z</i> or <i>t</i> -tables
	SC critical value			
	$0.7+2.3263 \times \frac{0.52}{\sqrt{60}} = 0.856$			
	vou confidence interval			
	$0.88 \pm 2.22620.52$			
	$0.88\pm2.3203\times\frac{1}{\sqrt{60}}$			
	0.724 ~ 1.036			

SS04 (cont				
Question	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
5(d)(i)	H ₀ : $\mu = 5.00$ H ₁ : $\mu \neq 5.00$ $z = \frac{5.08-5.00}{0.12} = 4.71$ $\overline{\sqrt{50}}$ c.v. ± 1.96 reject H ₀ : significant evidence mean weight of potatoes in bags packed by Sybil \neq (greater than) 5kg SC critical values $\frac{5.00\pm 1.96\times 0.12}{\sqrt{50}}$ 4.967 ~ 5.033 confidence interval $\frac{5.08\pm 1.96\times 0.12}{\sqrt{50}}$ 5.047 ~ 5.113	B1 B1 B1 B1√	4	both hypotheses - don't penalise for same reason as (a) 4.71 (4.66~4.72) allow use of $0.12 \times \frac{\sqrt{50}}{49}$ 1.96 ignore sign - or 2.01 (2.009 ~ 2.01) conclusion based on correct method of calculation and c.v. from <i>z</i> or <i>t</i> tables
(d)(ii)	to test H ₀ : $\mu = 0.7$; H ₁ : $\mu > 0.7$ the critical value would be positive but the test statistic would be negative hence H ₀ must be accepted.	B2, 1	2	both marks for a clear explanation
(e)	there is evidence that Sybil's mean is a little over 5kg while Maxwell's may equal 5kg	E1		comparison of means
	but on average Sybil's bags are much closer to 5kg.	E1		comparison of variability
	Maxwell's bags are erratic and therefore unsatisfactory	E1	3	Maxwell unsatisfactory
	Total		23	
	TOTAL		75	
L	191111			