

General Certificate of Education

Statistics 6380

SS03 Statistics 3

Mark Scheme

2009 examination – June series

Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation meeting attended by all examiners and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation meeting ensures that the mark scheme covers the candidates' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for the standardisation meeting each examiner analyses a number of candidates' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed at the meeting and legislated for. If, after this meeting, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been discussed at the meeting they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of candidates' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this Mark Scheme are available to download from the AQA Website: www.aqa.org.uk

Copyright © 2009 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

COPYRIGHT

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered centres for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to centres to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

Set and published by the Assessment and Qualifications Alliance.

The Assessment and Qualifications Alliance (AQA) is a company limited by guarantee registered in England and Wales (company number 3644723) and a registered charity (registered charity number 1073334). Registered address: AQA, Devas Street, Manchester M15 6EX Dr Michael Cresswell Director Genera

Key to mark scheme and abbreviations used in marking

М	mark is for method							
m or dM	mark is dependent on one or more M marks and is for method							
А	mark is dependent on M or m marks and is for accuracy							
В	mark is independent of M or m marks and is for method and accuracy							
Е	mark is for explanation							
$\sqrt{100}$ or ft or F	follow through from previous incorrect result	MC						
C10		MC	mis-copy					
CAO	correct answer only	MR	mis-read					
CSO	correct solution only	RA	required accuracy					
AWFW	anything which falls within	FW	further work					
AWRT	anything which rounds to	ISW	ignore subsequent work					
ACF	any correct form	FIW	from incorrect work					
AG	answer given	BOD	given benefit of doubt					
SC	special case	WR	work replaced by candidate					
OE	or equivalent	FB	formulae book					
A2,1	2 or 1 (or 0) accuracy marks	NOS	not on scheme					
–x EE	deduct <i>x</i> marks for each error	G	graph					
NMS	no method shown	с	candidate					
PI	possibly implied	sf	significant figure(s)					
SCA	substantially correct approach	dp	decimal place(s)					

No Method Shown

Where the question specifically requires a particular method to be used, we must usually see evidence of use of this method for any marks to be awarded. However, there are situations in some units where part marks would be appropriate, particularly when similar techniques are involved. Your Principal Examiner will alert you to these and details will be provided on the mark scheme.

Where the answer can be reasonably obtained without showing working and it is very unlikely that the correct answer can be obtained by using an incorrect method, we must award **full marks**. However, the obvious penalty to candidates showing no working is that incorrect answers, however close, earn **no marks**.

Where a question asks the candidate to state or write down a result, no method need be shown for full marks.

Where the permitted calculator has functions which reasonably allow the solution of the question directly, the correct answer without working earns **full marks**, unless it is given to less than the degree of accuracy accepted in the mark scheme, when it gains **no marks**.

Otherwise we require evidence of a correct method for any marks to be awarded.

Q	S	olutions	Marks	Total	Comments
1(a)					
	Rank x	Rank y	M1		attempt at ranks inconsistent
	10	8			(can be reversed) SC M1M1 B2
	9	6	M1		
	8	9			for 16 correct
	7	10	A1		
	6	2			
		5			
	5				
	4	7			
	3	4			
	2	1			
	1	3			
	$r_s = 0.673 (3 \text{ sf fr})$	om calc)	B3	6	AWRT B2 0.67
					B1 0.7
					ft B2 from wrong ranks (small slip)
					No ranks seen, SC 0.67 B4
					0.7 B3
					alternative
					d = 2, 3, 1, 3, 4, ., 3, 1, 1, 2
					$\sum d^2 = 54 \qquad B1$
					—
					$r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \times 54}{10000} = 0.673$ M1, A1
					$r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \times 54}{10 \times 99} = 0.673$ M1, A1
(b)	H Rank orders o	f age and percentage			
		es are independent.	B1		or equivalent
	body fut in female	is the independent.			1
	H. Rank orders of	f age and percentage			
		es are not independent –			
	there is an associa				
	there is an associa	uion			
	2 tail 10%				
	2 tall 10%				
	$cv = \pm 0.5636$ n	- 10	B1		for cv
	$cv = \pm 0.3636$ h	- 10			
	to at at - t	2			
	test stat $r_s = 0.67$	5	M1		for comparison ts/cv
	$r_s > 0.5636$				SC Allow M1 0.593/0.5494
	.	• • • • · ·			(pmcc)
		icant evidence at 10%			·····
		n association between			
		e and percentage body	E1	4	correct and in context
	fat in females.(or	positive association)			
		Total		10	

Q	Solutions	Marks	Total	Comments
2.(a)	H _o pop median/mean η , $\mu = 9$ H ₁ pop median/mean η , $\mu > 9$			
	1 tail 5% (<i>d</i> is result – 9)	B1		
	diff 0.5 0.2 0.6 -0.1	M1		For differences (result -9) - ignore signs
	rank 4 -3 2 5 ¹ / ₂ -1			
	diff 0.7 0.8	m1		For ranks
	rank 7 -5½ 8	M1		For ties
	$T_{+} = 4 + 2 + \dots + 8 = 26\frac{1}{2}$ $T_{-} = 3 + 1 + 5\frac{1}{2} = 9\frac{1}{2}$	m1 A1		For total attempted from ranks For one correct total
	Test stat $T = 9\frac{1}{2}$ $n = 8$ 1 tail 5% cv = 6 T > 6	B1 M1		For cv Comparison cv/ts (consistent)
	No significant evidence at 5% level to reject H_o . Conclude that there is no significant evidence to suggest that the average time to complete the task is greater than 9 minutes.		9	In context
(b)	Sample was selected at random.			
	or	B1		
	Times to complete the task are symmetrically distributed.		1	Disallow 'normally distributed'
		Fotal	10	

Q	Solutions	Marks	Total	Comments
3	H _o Samples are taken from identical			or
	populations	B1		equivalent in words implying pop
	H_1 Samples are not taken from identical			averages same/ 2 nd greater
	populations – population average			
	percentage silver higher in second	B1		
	minting.			
	5% 1 tail			
	Ranks			
	First Second			(Alternative method acceptable)
	1 4			
	$2 6^{1/2}$	M1		Attempt at M-Whitney – ranks as one
	3 11			group (can be reversed)
	5 12	m1		Ties
	6 ¹ / ₂ 13			
	8 14			
	9 15			
	10			
	$T_{1st} = 44\frac{1}{2}$ $T_{2nd} = 75\frac{1}{2}$	ml		For total attempt
	m = 8 $n = 7$			
	$U_{1st} = 44\frac{1}{2} - \frac{8 \times 9}{2} = 8\frac{1}{2}$			
	2			
	$U_{2nd} = 75\frac{1}{2} - \frac{7\times8}{2} = 47\frac{1}{2}$	m1		For U formula correct
	2 2	1111		For O formula correct
	Test stat U = $8\frac{1}{2}$	A1		Either U correct
	cv = 13 $n = 7$ $m = 8$ 1 tail 5%	B1		correct/relevant cv used
	$U = 8\frac{1}{2} < 13$	M1		comparison with U (consistent)
	Significant evidence to reject H_0 and			
	conclude that the percentage of silver was			
	higher in the second minting.	A1	10	

Q	Solutions	Marks	Total	Comments
4(a)	$H_0 \eta_d = 0$	B1		
	$H_1 \eta_d \neq 0$ 2 tail 10%			
	Signs	M1		Signs (allow signed differences)
	+.++ + +	1011		Signs (anow signed anterenees)
	5+ /2	A1		test stat correct and identified
	$5^+/2^-$ signs – test values Binomial (7, 0.5) model	2.61		
	Billonnar (7, 0.5) moder	M1		Binomial model used and probability attempted
	$P(\ge 5 +) = P(\le 2 -) = 0.227 > 0.05$ or	m1		Comparison of Binomial probability w
	$P(\ge 5+) = P(\le 2-) = 0.453 > 0.10$			0.05 (or 0.1)
	one tail test			Identified correct critical region with
	A accept II			probability given also M1m1
	Accept H_o There is not sufficient evidence, at the			
	10% level, to suggest that the average	E1		
	cornea thickness differs between the			Interpretation in context
	normal eye and the eye with glaucoma.		6	1
4(b)	$H_0 \eta_d = 0$			
	$H_1 \eta_d > 0$ 1 tail 5%	B1		One tail – either way if consistent
	$10^+/2^-$ signs – test values	B1		test stat identified
	Binomial (12, 0.5) model			ft incorrect ts from (a)
	$P(\ge 10 +) = P(\le 2 -) = 0.0193 < 0.05$	M1		Binomial model used and
		1411		probability attempted
	one tail test	m1		Comparison of Binomial probability w
				0.05
	Reject H _o .			Identified correct critical region with
				probability given also M1m1
	There is sufficient evidence, at the5%			SC $n = 8$ in part(a)
	level, to suggest that the average cornea			Allow part(b) $n = 13$
	thickness is greater for the normal eye	A1	5	M1, M1 for $0.0112 < 0.05$
	than for the eye with glaucoma. Total		11	

Q	Solutions	Marks	Total	Comments
5(a)	Ho Samples are taken from identical populations	B1		or $H_0 \eta_A = \eta_B = \eta_C = \eta_D$
	H ₁ Samples are not taken from identical populations – population average bottle cap productions differ for the 3 machines. 1 tail 1%	B1		H ₁ at least two of $\eta_A, \eta_B, \eta_C, \eta_D$ do differ
	Machine Machine Machine Machine A B C D 2½ 15 1 8 5 16 2½ 9 10 18 4 11 14 20 6 12 17 21 7 13 19			
	$T_A = 67\frac{1}{2} T_B = 90 T_C = 20\frac{1}{2} T_D = 53$ $n_A = 6 n_B = 5 n_C = 5 n_D = 5$	M1		Totals
	$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{T_i^2}{n_i} = \frac{67.5^2}{6} + \frac{90^2}{5} + \frac{20.5^2}{5} + \frac{53^2}{5}$	m1		Method for $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{T_i^2}{n_i}$
	= 3025.225	m1		n_i correct
	$H = \frac{12}{21 \times 22} \times 3025.225 - (3 \times 22)$	m1		test stat H
	= 12.58	A1		12.3 – 12.9
	Critical value from $\chi_3^2 = 11.345$ H > 11.345	B1 B1 M1		3 df cv comparison cv/ts
	Sig evidence to reject H_0 and conclude that samples are not from identical populations	A1		
	Significant evidence at the 1% level to suggest that the population average bottle cap productions differ for the 4 machines. At least two machines have different averages	E1	12	Difference in context Mention of 'at least two'
(b)	Machine C had the lowest average rank score so it would seem likely that machine C is the lowest producer of bottle caps and therefore this machine is the most obvious	B1		Machine C
	one to suggest for replacement	E1	2	Reason – must refer to the lowest average score or lowest production
	Total		14	

503 (cont)						
Q		Solutions		Marks	Total	Comments
6(a)(i)	H _o No association between place of occurrence of fall and sex of person who falls. H ₁ Association exists between place of occurrence of fall and sex of person who falls.			B1		
	1 tail 1%			M1		Ean mathead for E
	Expected freqs	Male	Female	M1 m1		For method for E for 3 correct
	Home	1306.75	355.25			
	School, other	31.45	8.55			
	Trade and serv	72.34	19.66	A1		All correct (1dp required minimum except 1307/355)
	Industrial etc	127.37	34.63			
	Farm	29.09	7.91			
	$ts = \sum \frac{(O-E)^2}{E}$ $= \frac{(1269 - 1306)}{1306.75}$ $\dots + \frac{(6-1)^2}{7}$	$(\frac{5.75}{5})^2 + \frac{(39)}{5}$	$\frac{3-355.25)^2}{355.25}$	ml		ts sum with correct denominators
	= 38.5			A1		for ts in range 34 - 43
	df = 4 - 1%	v = 13.277	,	B1		for $df = 4$
				B1		for cv
	ts > 13.277			m1		for comparison ts/cv
	Significant evid conclude that th between place of sex of person w	ere is an as	ssociation	A1		
	sex of person w	no tans.			10	
(ii)		alls in the I ea and far 1	ndustrial or nore males than	E1		Any two points made
	expected do have More females we at home than we	vere observ	ed to have falls	E1	2	

Q	S		Solutions		Total 1	Comments Any one point made	
6(a)(iii)	Many more males involved in ladder- related falls than females. Most falls occurred at home.			E1			
(b)(i)		Male	Female	M1		% of 227 or	
	Direct	141	29			% of 57	
	Transfer	28	6				
	Other	58	22	ml		4 correct (not necessarily integers)	
				A1	3	all correct and integers	
(ii)	category and	sex of person sex of person exists be	tween admission	B1			
	ts = 3.84 df = 2 5% cv = 5.991			B1			
	reject H _o Co	nclude that		M1			
	evidence of association between admission category and sex of person who falls.			A1	4		
			Total		20		
	1		TOTAL		75		

SS03 (cont)