

General Certificate of Education

Statistics 6380

SS03 Statistics Unit 3

Mark Scheme

2007 examination - January series

www.theallpapers.com

Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation meeting attended by all examiners and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation meeting ensures that the mark scheme covers the candidates' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for the standardisation meeting each examiner analyses a number of candidates' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed at the meeting and legislated for. If, after this meeting, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been discussed at the meeting they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of candidates' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this Mark Scheme are available to download from the AQA Website: <u>www.aqa.org.uk</u> Copyright © 2007 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved. COPYRIGHT

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered centres for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to centres to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre. Set and published by the Assessment and Qualifications Alliance.

The Assessment and Qualifications Alliance (AQA) is a company limited by guarantee registered in England and Wales (company number 3644723) and a registered charity (registered charity number 1073334). Registered address: AQA, Devas Street, Manchester M15 6EX Dr Michael Cresswell Director General

Key to mark scheme and abbreviations used in marking

Μ	mark is for method								
m or dM	mark is dependent on one or more M marks and is for method								
А	mark is dependent on M or m marks and is for accuracy								
В	mark is independent of M or m marks and is for method and accuracy								
E	mark is for explanation								
\sqrt{or} ft or F	follow through from previous								
	incorrect result	MC	mis-copy						
CAO	correct answer only	MR	mis-read						
CSO	correct solution only	RA	required accuracy						
AWFW	anything which falls within	FW	further work						
AWRT	anything which rounds to	ISW	ignore subsequent work						
ACF	any correct form	FIW	from incorrect work						
AG	answer given	BOD	given benefit of doubt						
SC	special case	WR	work replaced by candidate						
OE	or equivalent	FB	formulae book						
A2,1	2 or 1 (or 0) accuracy marks	NOS	not on scheme						
-x EE	deduct <i>x</i> marks for each error	G	graph						
NMS	no method shown	с	candidate						
PI	possibly implied	sf	significant figure(s)						
SCA	substantially correct approach	dp	decimal place(s)						

No Method Shown

Where the question specifically requires a particular method to be used, we must usually see evidence of use of this method for any marks to be awarded. However, there are situations in some units where part marks would be appropriate, particularly when similar techniques are involved. Your Principal Examiner will alert you to these and details will be provided on the mark scheme.

Where the answer can be reasonably obtained without showing working and it is very unlikely that the correct answer can be obtained by using an incorrect method, we must award **full marks**. However, the obvious penalty to candidates showing no working is that incorrect answers, however close, earn **no marks**.

Where a question asks the candidate to state or write down a result, no method need be shown for full marks.

Where the permitted calculator has functions which reasonably allow the solution of the question directly, the correct answer without working earns **full marks**, unless it is given to less than the degree of accuracy accepted in the mark scheme, when it gains **no marks**.

Otherwise we require evidence of a correct method for any marks to be awarded.

Jan 07

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
1	$H_0 \eta = 6$	B1		or H_0 population median = 6
	$H_1 \eta > 6$ 1 tail 5%			H_1 population median > 6
				or fully in words
	Difference Rank			
	<u>X-6</u> + -	M1		for differences
	4 41/2			(X - 6 or 6 - X)
	2 2			
		ml		for ranks $(1 = \text{smallest diff })$
	-4 $4\frac{1}{2}$			110 11 0 = rank 1
	5 6			
	$\frac{7}{3}$ $\frac{6}{3}$			
	8 9			
	6 7			
		m1F		for total of +/- ranks
	Rank totals $T_{-} = 5\frac{1}{2}$ $T_{+} = 39\frac{1}{2}$			ft if any ranks
		A1		
	Test stat $T = 5\frac{1}{2}$			
	2			
	critical value = 8 $n = 9$	B1		for cv
	T < cv	M1		tor comparison ts/cv
	Keject H_0	Δ 1	Q	
	that the median for 18-year-old females is	AI	0	
	greater than 6			
	Total		8	

SS03

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
2(a)(i)	H_0 Response is independent of sex	B1		
	H_1 Response is not independent of sex			
	1 tail 1%			
	1 tall 170			
	Approve Dis- Don't			
	approve care	141		
	Male 83.3 47.8 221.9	MI		E method for 3 correct
	Female 85.7 49.2 228.1	ml		for all <i>E</i> correct
	$-(O-F)^2$			
	$ts = \sum \frac{(0 - D)}{D}$			
	-E			
	12.3^2 12.8^2 25.1^2			
	$\frac{1}{833} + \frac{1}{478} + \frac{1}{2219} + \frac{1}{2219}$			
	$= \frac{0.0000}{1000000000000000000000000000000$	m1		ts sum with correct denominators
	$\frac{12.3^{2}}{12.8^{2}} + \frac{12.8^{2}}{12.8^{2}} + \frac{25.1^{2}}{12.8^{2}}$			
	85.7 49.2 228.1			
	= 16.0	A1		for ts in range 15.7 - 16.2
	cv df = 2 1% $cv = 9.210$	B1		for cv
	$t_{\rm S} > 9.210$	m1		for comparison ts/cy
	Doioot U			
	Reject II ₀		0	ft if to is yowy aloss and mathed is al
	Sig evidence to suggest response is not	AIF	8	It if is is very close and method is ok
	independent of sex			
(a)(ii)	Males are much less likely than expected			
	to disapprove of the royal wedding and			
	females are much more likely than is			
	expected to disapprove of the royal	E1		explanation in context
	wedding			
	Most noticeable differences in the sexes is	E1	2	with reference to expected/observed
	that females were more likely to have an			*
	opinion of some sort about the wedding			
	whereas males were more likely not to			
	whereas males were more fixery not to			
	care			
(a)	Most adults involved did not appear to	E1	1	not mon/woman
(a)(III)	Most adults involved did not appear to	EI	1	not men/women
	care about the royal wedding			
		F 1	1	
(b)(i)	Data in Table 2 cannot be analysed to	EI	1	mention of % or not actual frequencie
	investigate whether an association exists			given
	because the raw frequencies are not			
	supplied, only the percentages. This			
	means than a χ^2 test cannot be carried out.			
(ii)	The total number of males and the total	E1		totals required for males and females
. /	number of females in the sample is			1
	required			
	The raw frequencies in each astagory con	F 1	2	how total is used
	then he found he contraction the relevant	EI	L	now total is used
	then be found by evaluating the relevant			
	percentage of the total eg 41% of the total			SC 'convert into frequencies' B1
	number of males gives the raw frequency			
	in the first cell (male / support monarchy)			

SS03 (cont)				
Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
3 (a)	('difference':weekend – weekday)			
	$H_0 \eta_{\text{difference}} = 0$			
	$H_1 \eta_{\text{difference}} > 0$ 1 tail 10%	B1		direction
				generous if fully worded and median /
				average
	Signs			
	+ $+$ $+$ $ +$ $ +$ $+$ $+$	M1		signs
	$8^+/2^-$ signs - test values	A1		test stat correct and identified
	Binomial (10, 0.5) model	M1		binomial model used and probability
				attempted
	$P(\geq 8^+) = P(\leq 2^-) = 0.0547 < 0.10$	M1		comparison of Binomial probability with
	for one tail test			0.10
	Reject H_0 .	Al		
	There is sufficient evidence, at the 10%			
	level, to suggest that the median			
	difference is greater than 0 Significant avidence that standardized	E1E	7	intermentation in context
	Significant evidence that standardised	EIF	1	ft conclusion
	monanty ratio is greater at the weekend			It conclusion
(h)	A Type II error would be to conclude that	B1		concept of Type II correct
(0)	H_0 is true, that is the mortality ratio is not			
	higher at weekends when, in fact H_0 is	E1	2	in context
	false and the ratio at weekends is higher			
	than the weekday ratio			
	Total		9	

SS03 (cont)						
Q		Solution		Marks	Total	Comments
4(a)	H_0 Samples 1 H_1 Samples 1 populations 5% sig level	from identical not from ident	populations tical	B1		or H ₀ $\eta_{A} = \eta_{B} = \eta_{C}$ H ₁ at least two of $\eta_{A}, \eta_{B}, \eta_{C}$ do differ
	Banks Department A 3 9 11 14 15 17	Department B 1 2 4 5 6	Department C 7 8 10 12 13 16	M1 A2,1		some ranks A1 for 5 A2 for all
	$T_{\rm A} = 69$ $n_{\rm A} = 6$ $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{T_i^2}{T_i^2} = \frac{69^2}{100}$	$T_{\rm B} = 18$ $n_{\rm B} = 5$ $+\frac{18^2}{66^2} + \frac{66^2}{66^2} = 100$	$T_{\rm C} = 66$ $n_{\rm C} = 6$ = 1584.3	M1 A1 M1		totals of some ranks any one correct
	$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} n_i \qquad 6}{H = \frac{12}{17 \times 18} \times 6}$	5 5 1584.3 - (3	× 18) = 8.13	ml		$\frac{12}{N(N+1)} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{T_i^2}{n_i} - 3(N+1)$
	Critical value H > 5.99 Sig evidence that samples a	from $\chi_2^2 = 5$ to reject H ₀ a are not from ic	.99 nd conclude lentical	B1 M1 A1	12	H = 7.00 - 6.40
(b)	Department E score and, as that at least tw (from departm	3 had the lowe there is signif wo of the med nents A, B or	est median icant evidence ian scores C) do differ, it	B1		identification of B
	Managers ach average and s unlikely to ha payment	itery that departs ieved lower s o this departm ve received an	annient B cores on nent was n annual bonus	E1	2	explanation in context of reason B selected 'median' or 'average' required or explanation all B scores low
			Total	14		

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
5	H ₀ Samples are taken from identical			
	populations			
	H ₁ Samples are not taken from identical	B1		hypotheses referring to population,
	populations – population average time to			averages also acceptable
	become over-ripe is lower for 'chilled'	B1		for direction/explanation
	bananas 1 tail 5%			[other alternative methods acceptable]
	Sum of ranks			
	'Chilled' $2+6+5+8+1 = 22 = T_{\rm C}$	m1		for totals of ranks in each group
	'Stored at 10°C'			
	$3+4+9+10+7+11 = 44 = T_{\rm S}$			
	$U_{\rm C} = 22 - \frac{5 \times 6}{5} = 7$	m1		for U attempted
	2			L
	$U_{\rm S} = 44 - \frac{6 \times 7}{2} = 23$			
	Test stat $U = 7$	A1		for U correct, either
	cv = 5	B1		for consistent cv with U
	U > 5	M1		for comparison U/cv for any valid U/cv
	Accept H ₀	A1		
	No significant evidence at the 5% level to	E1	9	in context
	suggest that the population average time			
	to become over-ripe is lower for 'chilled'			
	bananas			
	Total		9	

			<u>a 1 4</u>						
Q		Soluti	ion			Marks	Total	Comments	
6(a)(i)		1	•		4				(r = 0.927)
	student	1	2	3	4	5			
	micro rank	1	2	3	4	5			
	macro rank	1	2	5	7	4	M1 A1		attempt at ranks
		(-	0	Δ	10			
	student	0	7	8	9	10			
	rank	6	7	8	9	10			
	macro rank	3	6	8	9	10			
	$r_{\rm s} = 0.8540$	(5) (3	sf from	m calc)		B3	5	Alternative d = 0, 0, 2, 3, 1, 3, 1, 0, 0, 0
									$\sum d^2 = 24$ $B1r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \times 24}{10 \times 99}$
									= 0.854(5) M1, A1 (2 sf and no working SC4, A0)
(a)(ii)	H_0 Rank o marks and independen H_1 Rank o marks and not independent	rders macro nt. orders macro ndent.	of mic o-econ of mic o-econ 2 ta	ro-eco omics ro-eco omics ail 29	nomic marks nomic marks	es 3 are 5 are 3 are	B1		H ₀ no association H ₁ association
	$cv = \pm 0.$	7333	54(5)				B 1		for cv
	$r_{\rm s} > 0.733$	= 0.83 33 or	$ r_{\rm s} $	> cv			M1		for comparison ts/cv $r_s = 0.854(5)$
	Reject H ₀ Significant	evide	ence at	2% le	vel to	ŀ	A1		allow A1 if <i>r</i> 'close' and marks lost in (a)(i)
	orders of n macro-eco [Student w economics macro-eco	nicro-e nomic ith hig also h nomic	econor s marl gher ra nas hig	nics m ks. ink ma her ra	arks a rk in 1 nk ma	nd micro- rk in	E1	5	in context

		Solution		Marks	Total	Comments
<u> </u>	H _o u um	<u> </u>			10141	Comments
0(0)	$H_0 \mu_{difference}$ $H_1 \mu_{difference}$	$\neq 0$ 2	2 tail 5%	B1		or η or population average or words
	Student	Difference	Rank	M1		for differences
	1	-10	10			
	2	4	4			
	3	6	61/2	ml m1		for ranks (1 = smallest diff])
	4	6	61/2	mı		ues
	5	-2	2			
	7	- 5	5			
	8	- 3	3			
	9	•	Discard			
	10	- 1	1			
	Rank totals	$T_{-} = 28$	$T_{+} = 17$	m1F		
	Test stat $T = 1$	17		AI		for total of +/- ranks
	critical value $=$	= 6 n =	9	B1		for cv
	T > cv			M1		for comparison ts/cv
	Accept H ₀				_	
	There is no sig	gnificant evid	ence to suggest	A1	9	
	average mark	s for macro-ec	conomics and			
	micro-econon	nics				
(c)	It appears, fro	om (a), that stu	dents who do	B1		
	well in micro-	-economics al	so do well in			
	macro-econor	nics but there	is no	E1	2	
	significant evi	idence that stu	idents perform	EI	2	
	other. Good st	fudents achiev	ve higher			
	marks in both	exams with n	o pattern as to			
	which they pe	erform better i	n			
			Total		21	
			TOTAL		75	