

General Certificate of Education

Statistics 6380

SS02 Statistics 2

Mark Scheme

2008 examination – June series

www.theallpapers.com

Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation meeting attended by all examiners and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation meeting ensures that the mark scheme covers the candidates' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for the standardisation meeting each examiner analyses a number of candidates' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed at the meeting and legislated for. If, after this meeting, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been discussed at the meeting they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of candidates' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this Mark Scheme are available to download from the AQA Website: www.aqa.org.uk

Copyright © 2008 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

COPYRIGHT

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered centres for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to centres to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

Set and published by the Assessment and Qualifications Alliance.

The Assessment and Qualifications Alliance (AQA) is a company limited by guarantee registered in England and Wales (company number 3644723) and a registered charity (registered charity number 1073334). Registered address: AQA, Devas Street, Manchester M15 6EX Dr Michael Cresswell Director Genera

www.theallpapers.com

М	mark is for method			
m or dM	mark is dependent on one or more M marks and is for method			
А	mark is dependent on M or m marks and is for accuracy			
В	mark is independent of M or m marks and is for method and accuracy			
E	mark is for explanation			
$\sqrt{10}$ or ft or F	follow through from previous			
	incorrect result	MC	mis-copy	
CAO	correct answer only	MR	mis-read	
CSO	correct solution only	RA	required accuracy	
AWFW	anything which falls within	FW	further work	
AWRT	anything which rounds to	ISW	ignore subsequent work	
ACF	any correct form	FIW	from incorrect work	
AG	answer given	BOD	given benefit of doubt	
SC	special case	WR	work replaced by candidate	
OE	or equivalent	FB	formulae book	
A2,1	2 or 1 (or 0) accuracy marks	NOS	not on scheme	
-x EE	deduct <i>x</i> marks for each error	G	graph	
NMS	no method shown	с	candidate	
PI	possibly implied	sf	significant figure(s)	
SCA	substantially correct approach	dp	decimal place(s)	

Key to mark scheme and abbreviations used in marking

No Method Shown

Where the question specifically requires a particular method to be used, we must usually see evidence of use of this method for any marks to be awarded. However, there are situations in some units where part marks would be appropriate, particularly when similar techniques are involved. Your Principal Examiner will alert you to these and details will be provided on the mark scheme.

Where the answer can be reasonably obtained without showing working and it is very unlikely that the correct answer can be obtained by using an incorrect method, we must award **full marks**. However, the obvious penalty to candidates showing no working is that incorrect answers, however close, earn **no marks**.

Where a question asks the candidate to state or write down a result, no method need be shown for full marks.

Where the permitted calculator has functions which reasonably allow the solution of the question directly, the correct answer without working earns **full marks**, unless it is given to less than the degree of accuracy accepted in the mark scheme, when it gains **no marks**.

Otherwise we require evidence of a correct method for any marks to be awarded.

SS02				
Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
1(a)	$\frac{9.1 + 13.6 + 16.8 + 9.4}{4}$	M1		
	= 12.225	A1	2	12.23 (12.22 ~ 12.23); allow 12.2
(b)(i)	Moving averages plotted in correct position – at least 3	M1		
	Accurate plot – by eye	A1		
(ii)	Trend line	B1	3	Allow reasonable line even if moving averages incorrect
(c)(i)	Q1 effect: $\frac{(9.1-11.6) + (11.5-13.5)}{2}$ = -2.25	M1		Method for seasonal effect – either – ignore sign, allow use of 3 Qs
	O4 effect: $\frac{(8.9-11.2)+(9.4-13.0)}{(8.9-11.2)+(9.4-13.0)}$	m1		Method for both – ignore sign
	2 = -2.95	A1		(-2.2 ~ -2.5) and (-2.6 ~ -3.1)
(ii)	Prediction for Q1, 2007: $15.4 - 2.25 = 13$	M1		Prediction of moving average from their (reasonable) trend line
	Q4, 2007: 16.8 – 2.95 = 14	m1 A1	6	13 (12.9 ~ 13.3) and 14 (13.5 ~ 14.1) disallow if more than 3sf given NMS: one answer in range B1 both answers in range B3
(d)(i)	Accurate plot – by eye	B1		
(ii)	Q2 (Charlie) and Q3 (Eddie) should be well above trend line, but both are below	E1		Comment based on seasonal variation
	trend line. (Harry and Annie are below trend line as expected.)	E1		Correct explanation
(iii)	Harry slightly (0.5 tonnes) above prediction, Annie above (1.5 tonnes)	E1		Explanation
	prediction. Choose Annie.	B1	5	Choose Annie
	Total		16	

<u>SS02 (con</u> t)				
Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
2(a)(i)	$E(X) = 120 \times 0.22 + 80 \times 0.28 + 75 \times 0.12 + 30 \times 0.38 = 69.2$	M1		Method for $E(X)$; AG
(ii)	$E(X^{2}) = 120^{2} \times 0.22 + 80^{2} \times 0.28 + 75^{2} \times 0.12 + 30^{2} \times 0.38 = 5977$	M1		Method for $E(X^2)$ – may be implied
	$V(X) = 5977 - 69.2^2 = 1188.36$	m1 m1		Method for variance Method for s.d. – dependent on previous 3
	s.d. = £34.50	A1	5	marks 34.50 (34.45 ~ 35.5) – ignore units
(b)	$\frac{69.2 \times 400}{120} = 230.7$	M1		
	231 full members needed	A1	2	CAO
(c)	No junior members bad for future of club. May be less than 231 applications for full membership.	E1	1	Any sensible reason
	Total		8	
3 (a)	1023000	B2	2	B1 for 1023
(b)	N.Ireland > Scotland > Wales at each election	E1		Any valid comparison of % in different countries
	less in N.I., 8 or 9% less in Wales and Scotland)	LI		years
	All less than 70%	E1	3	Complete answer
(c)(i)	Welsh assembly 2003	M1 B1		Any valid calculation – may be implied Welsh assembly 2003
(ii)	Labour	B1	3	
(d)	Welsh assembly $\frac{2230000}{60} = 37200$	M1		Method of calculation
	Scottish parliament $\frac{3879000}{129} = 30100$			
	N.I. assembly $\frac{1098000}{108} = 10200$	A1		All correct 3sf
	N.Ireland has many less electors per member than Wales or Scotland.	E1	3	Any sensible comment – method mark not essential
	Total		11	

_

SS02 (cont)				
Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
4(a)(i)	0.5488	B1		0.5488 (0.5485 ~ 0.5495)
200	$\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}, 0) = 1 - \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}, 0)$			
(ii)	$P(\ge 3) = 1 - P(\le 2)$	M1		
	= 1 - 0.9/09 - 0.0231	Δ.1	3	$0.0231(0.023 \times 0.0232)$
	- 0.0231	AI	3	$(0.0231 (0.023 \sim 0.0232))$
(b)	$\overline{x} = 0.606$	B1		$0.606(0.606 \sim 0.6061)$
	$s^2 = 1.104$	B1	2	1.104 (1.08 ~ 1.11)
(c)(i)	Observed 3 or more $= 8/66 = 0.12$			Observed probabilities not similar to those
	Predicted by $Po(0.6)$ is $0.023 - not$ similar	E1		expected from Poisson
	(or observed zero 0.7, predicted 0.55)			
		T 1		
(ii)	Mean (0.606) not similar to variance	EI		
	(1.10)			
(;;;)	Car parks not likely to be distributed at	F1		Allow not constant average rate not
(m)	random.			independent
	Likely to be near shopping centres.	E1	4	Award for explanation in (iii) or for
	country parks etc, not in housing estates.		- T	accurate numerical illustration in (i)
	Total		9	
5(a)(i)	Cluster	B1		
(ii)	Select 2-digit random numbers	E1		
	Ignore 00 and > 72	E1		
	Ignore repeats		_	If ronumbared 00 to 71 mers E1 E0 E1 E1
	continue until / numbers obtained and choose passengers sitting in	EI	5	In renumbered 00 to /1, max E1 E0 E1 E1
	corresponding seats			
	corresponding sours			
(b)(i)	Stratified	B1		Stratified / stratified random
x-7x7				
(ii)	18:8 is ratio of number of seated standard	E1		Ratio of standard to first-class
	class passengers (432) to seated first-class			
	passengers (192)			
	18 + 8 = 26 in range of likely number of	E1		Total in right range
	interviews	E1	4	Numerical support for ratio or
				demonstration that 18 and 8 is only
				possibility giving total in desired range
(a)(i)	No	R1		
(C)(I)	Passengers in seats numbered 10-72 have	F1		
	no chance of being selected			
	is chance of comp belocted			
(ii)	Yes, all have a chance of 3/48 of being	B1	3	
	selected			
(d)	Xavier's sample preferred	B1		
	First-class and standard-class passengers	E1	2	
	fairly represented in sample			
	Total		14	

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
6 (a)	$H_0: \mu = 40$	B1		One hypothesis correct
	$H_1: \mu \neq 40$	B1		Both hypotheses correct – must use μ or
				state 'population'
	$\overline{x} = 47.56$			
	4756 - 40	M1		Use of (their s.d.)/ $\sqrt{9}$
	$z = \frac{1130}{17} \frac{10}{\sqrt{0}} = 1.33$	m1		Correct method for z – ignore sign
	17/\9	A1		1.33 (1.33 ~ 1.34)
	Critical values ±1.96	B1		Ignore sign
	Accept H_0 – no significant evidence that	A1√		ft conclusion – must be compared with
	mean time to deal with queries differs			upper tail of z
	from 40 seconds	A1√	8	ft conclusion in context –
				requires M1m1A1 \checkmark
(b)(i)	$H_0: \mu = 40$ $H_1: \mu < 40$	B1		Both – don't penalise same mistake twice
	$z = \frac{35 - 40}{2} = -4.56$	M1		Method for z – ignore sign
	$\frac{12}{\sqrt{120}}$	A1		-4.56 (-4.54 ~ -4.57)
	c.v1.6449	B1		Ignore sign; -1.64 ~ -1.65
	Reject H_0 – significant evidence that mean	A1√	5	Conclusion in context – must compare
	time to deal with queries is less than 40			lower tail of z
	seconds			
(b)(ii)	Queries were a random sample	B1	1	Random
		171		
(c)	Training appears to have reduced mean	EI		Mean reduced
	time to deal with queries and also to have	F 1		Voriability reduced
	too small to dool with quories adocustative		2	Variability reduced Mean may new he too smallcontext
	too sman to dear with queries adequatery.	EI	3	required for full marks
	Total		17	
			75	
	IUIAL		15	

SS02 (cont)
