



ASSESSMENT and  
QUALIFICATIONS  
ALLIANCE

---

# Mark scheme January 2004

## GCE

### Physics B

### Unit PHB3

Copyright © 2004 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

# Marking Scheme

## NOTES FOR GUIDANCE

Letters are used to distinguish between different types of marks in the scheme.

### **M** indicates OBLIGATORY METHOD MARK

This is usually awarded for the physical principles involved, or for a particular point in the argument or definition. It is followed by one or more accuracy marks which cannot be scored unless the M mark has already been scored.

### **C** indicates COMPENSATION METHOD MARK

This is awarded for the correct method or physical principle. In this case the method can be seen or implied by a correct answer or other correct subsequent steps. In this way an answer might score full marks even if *some* working has been omitted.

### **A** indicates ACCURACY MARK

These marks are awarded for correct calculation or further detail. They follow an M mark or a C mark.

### **B** indicates INDEPENDENT MARK

This is a mark which is independent of M and C marks.

Note: Where a correct answer only (c.a.o.) is required, this means that the answer must be as in the Marking Scheme, including significant figures and units.

Where an error carried forward (e.c.f.) is allowed by the Marking Scheme for an incorrect answer, e.c.f. must be written on the script if an error has been carried forward.

## Instructions to Examiners

- 1 Give due credit to alternative treatments which are correct. Give marks for what is correct; do not deduct marks because the attempt falls short of some ideal answer. Where marks are to be deducted for particular errors specific instructions are given in the marking scheme.
- 2 Do not deduct marks for poor written communication. Refer the script to the Awards meeting if poor presentation forbids a proper assessment. In each paper candidates may be awarded up to two marks for the Quality of Written Communication in cases of required explanation or description. Use the following criteria to award marks:
  - 2 marks: Candidates write legibly with accurate spelling, grammar and punctuation; the answer containing information that bears some relevance to the question and being organised clearly and coherently. The vocabulary should be appropriate to the topic being examined.
  - 1 mark: Candidates write with reasonably accurate spelling, grammar and punctuation; the answer containing some information that bears some relevance to the question and being reasonably well organised. Some of the vocabulary should be appropriate to the topic being examined.
  - 0 marks: Candidates who fail to reach the threshold for the award of one mark.
- 3 An arithmetical error in an answer should be marked AE thus causing the candidate to lose one mark. The candidate's incorrect value should be carried through all subsequent calculations for the question and, if there are no subsequent errors, the candidate can score all remaining marks (indicated by ticks). These subsequent ticks should be marked CE (consequential error).
- 4 With regard to incorrect use of significant figures, normally two, three or four significant figures will be acceptable. Exceptions to this rule occur if the data in the question is given to, for example, five significant figures as in values of wavelength or frequency in questions dealing with the Doppler effect, or in atomic data. In these cases up to two further significant figures will be acceptable. The maximum penalty for an error in significant figures is **one mark per paper**. When the penalty is imposed, indicate the error in the script by SF and, in addition, write SF opposite the mark for that question on the front cover of the paper to obviate imposing the penalty more than once per paper.
- 5 No penalties should be imposed for incorrect or omitted units at intermediate stages in a calculation or which are contained in brackets in the marking scheme. Penalties for unit errors (incorrect or omitted units) are imposed only at the stage when the final answer to a calculation is considered. The maximum penalty is **one mark per question**.
- 6 All other procedures, including the entering of marks, transferring marks to the front cover and referrals of scripts (other than those mentioned above) will be clarified at the standardising meeting of examiners.

**PHB3****Question 1**

- |     |       |  |    |   |
|-----|-------|--|----|---|
| (a) | (i)   | Record of maximum height (30 to 60 mm)   | B1 | 1 |
|     | (ii)  | Curve of correct trend through origin (decreasing rate of rise)<br>Maximum value tendency clear – distinct flat part | B1 | 2 |
| (b) |       | need to know the amount/volume/mass of water absorbed  | C1 |   |
|     |       | measure the mass of paper or mass of water in beaker before and mass after and subtract                              | M1 |   |
|     |       | Use a balance  | A1 | 3 |
|     |       | <b>OR</b> measure the volume of water before and after and subtract  | M1 |   |
|     |       | replace beaker with a measuring cylinder   | A1 |   |
| (c) | (i)   | record of time to rise ; $30 \text{ s} \geq \text{time} \geq 10 \text{ s}$ (including unit)                          | B1 |   |
|     |       | repeat and average with average to nearest 0.1 s   | B1 | 2 |
|     | (ii)  | calculation of $0.75 \times$ their (a)(i)/their (c)(i) to 2 or 3 sf  | B1 | 1 |
|     | (iii) | absolute uncertainty in $h$ 1 or 2 mm (number + unit)<br>allow e.g. $40 \pm 1 \text{ mm}$                            | B1 |   |
|     |       | if 1 measurement in (c)(i) absolute uncertainty in $t$ $0.5 - 2 \text{ s}$   | B1 | 2 |
|     |       | OR uncertainty consistent with their (c)(i) measurements   |    |   |
|     | (iv)  | percentage uncertainty in either $h$ or $t$ correctly calculated   | B1 |   |
|     |       | two percentages added (ignore sfs)   | B1 | 2 |

(d)	use strips of constant width (however stated)	B1	1
	measure time to reach each mark/different height or measure heights at different times	B1	
	mark 5 to 10 different distances on the strips or stated method for measuring heights	B1	
	use distances at $H/5$ to $H/10$ intervals (use their $H$ ) or use time intervals of 3 to 6 s	B1	
	repeat timing (with several strips) for each distance and average or repeat distance measurement for each time	B1	
	use coloured water (eg add copper sulphate)	B1	
			<b>Max 3</b>
	plot graph of height reached against time or if a linear graph given in (a)(ii) allow use of ratios $H/t = \text{constant}$	B1	1
	<b>At least 3 marks for physics</b> + use of Physics is accurate, the answer is fluent/well argued with few errors in spelling, punctuation and grammar	2	2
	<b>At least 1 mark for physics</b> + the use of Physics is accurate, but the answer lacks coherence or spelling, punctuation and grammar are poor		1
	the use of Physics is inaccurate, the answer is disjointed, with significant errors in spelling, punctuation and grammar		0
			<b>20</b>

**Question 2**

(a)	(i)	depth measured to cm or mm; depth $\geq 60$ mm repeat and average to 1 mm	B1	
			B1	2
	(ii)	record of depth less than (i) (anything less than their (a)(i)) measurements to sfs consistent with those in (a)(i)	B1	1

(iii)	sensible difficulty	M1	
	sensible way of overcoming it	A1	2
	e.g.		
	Ensuring pencil was vertical	parallax problem	event over quickly
	Use pendulum bob/plumb line	method of reducing	use a camera (not light gates)
(b)	(i)	three sets of values for $D$ (as $D$ increases $H$ increases)	B1
		$D \geq 20$ mm and their (a)(i) – (40 to 60 mm)	
		repeat and average for each set	B1
		measurements to mm precision consistently	B1 3
	(ii)	Suitable method:	M1
		calculates $D/H$	or $H_2/H_1 = D_2/D_1$
		3 calculations correct	2 calculations correct
		clearly stated conclusion which is reasonable for the data	A1
		ratios given to 2 or 3 sf consistently	A1 4
(c)	mass/density of the pencil (allow length of pencil)	M1	
	more mass/potential energy initially so greater depth	A1	
	needs to decelerate for a longer time	A1	
	or gravity exerts a greater force on the pencil		
	angle made by sharpening the pencil/shape of pencil	M1	
	more acute angle gives greater penetration	A1	
	more streamlined flow of liquid	A1	
	density of the liquid		
	higher density gives less penetration		
	more upthrust/resistive force		
	viscosity of the liquid		
	more viscous liquid means less penetration		
	viscous liquid provides greater resistive force		Max 6
	<b>At least 3 marks for physics</b> + use of Physics is accurate, the answer is fluent/well argued with few errors in spelling, punctuation and grammar		Max 2
	<b>At least 2 marks for physics</b> + the use of Physics is accurate, but the answer lacks coherence or spelling, punctuation and grammar are poor		Max 1
	the use of Physics is inaccurate, the answer is disjointed, with significant errors in spelling, punctuation and grammar		0

## Question 3

- (a) (i) record of  $p$  and  $q$  ( $q \approx 0.75 - p$ ); in mm, cm or m, B1  
 condone no unit if in m since in question; unit otherwise  
 essential  
 $p$  in range 0.440 to 0.520 m in mm  
 or to nearest cm accepted here
- repeat and average and final values of  $p$  and  $q$  in m B1 2
- (ii) absolute uncertainty 0.002 m to 0.005 m B1  
 range of movement of crocodile clip when determining  
 null B1 2  
 or reference to thickness of the crocodile clip  
 or other sensible comment  
 (allow ruler can be read to nearest mm for second mark  
 only)
- (iii) use a more sensitive/precise meter (not a more B1 2  
 accurate meter) B1  
 a more pointed 'jockey'; wire attached to clip;  
 thinner croc clip  
 a longer wire  
 a battery with larger emf  
 not a more 'accurate' ruler or parallax problems
- (iv) X or the wire resistor B1 1
- (b) (i) Correct substitution of data M1  
 Answer to 2 or 3 sf (6.3 –7.7) A1  
 unit  $\Omega$  B1 3
- (ii) Balance point would be close to B M1  
 or  $p$  will be small  
 or  $p/q = 0.1/3.9 = 0.026$  (1/40) so  $p$  would be about 19  
 mm  
 so high percentage uncertainty/error in  $p$  A1 2  
 or difficult to measure  $p$  accurately
- (c) (i) table with quantities  $R$ ,  $p$ ,  $1/p$ , B1  
 units all present and correct B1  
 table is well presented: neat rows and columns not  
 necessarily ruled; no overwriting and has columns for  
 repeat and average values of  $p$  B1 3

	(ii)	table contains four further sets of measurements of $R$ and $p$ (–1 for each missing set)	B3
		$p$ values given to nearest 0.001m and consistent	B1
		repeats and averages for $p$ (–1 for each missing repeat and average)(–1 for any average > 3sf)	B3
		$1/p$ correctly calculated (check one) and given consistently to 2 or 3 sf	B1
		$R$ stated to 1 dp consistently	B1 9
(d)	(i)	axes correct and labelled quantity (must be correct plot)	B1
		units on axes ;correct or consistent with table; (must be correct plot) not allowed if no units in table or on graph	B1
		scales non-awkward and as large as possible (must be correct plot) allow origin on both axes in scale assessment.	M1
		five points correctly plotted –1 for each omission	A2
		best straight line (0 if less than four points are plotted) points well off line must be acknowledged	B1
		quality of graph work	B1 7
	(ii)	large triangle used or sufficiently spread co-ordinates $\geq$ half drawn line	B1
		co-ordinates correct or sides of triangle correct	M1
		correct calculation with value to 2 or 3 s.f.(no unit required)	A1 3
(e)	(i)	gradient of line = 0.75 $X$ stated explicitly	B1
		$X =$ their (ii)/0.75 number + unit allowing ecf from (b)(i)	B1 2
	(ii)	second value more reliable	M1
		graphical approach averages more data obtained from a range of readings/line of best fit	A1 2 38