



ASSESSMENT and  
QUALIFICATIONS  
ALLIANCE

# Mark scheme

# June 2003

---

## GCE

## Physics A

### Unit PHAP

Copyright © 2003 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

# Units 5 - 9 : PHAP

## 1 AO3a : *planning:*

### *measurements:*

- (to measure the amplitude of signal produced in search coil) ✓
- use voltmeter or ammeter (any type) ✓
- capable of measuring ac signal, e.g. cro (not digital) ✓
- (to monitor decay of signal)
- use stopwatch to measure the time elapsed between measurements of amplitude of signal produced in search coil as signal decays ✓
- [use data logger ✓, use of appropriate (named ) sensor ✓, method for retrieval of data explained, e.g. output to pc or cro ✓]

### *strategy:*

- records regularly (monitors continuously) amplitude of signal produced in search coil ✓
- [for data logger method, sketch graph of amplitude vs time allowed]
- performs sensible quantitative test on this data
- e.g. draw graph of signal amplitude vs time to determine rate of decay of signal [find time for fractional change in amplitude] ✓
- repeat procedure with tuning forks of different natural frequencies) ✓
- draw graph to quantitatively compare tuning forks ✓
- (multiple amplitude/time plots not accepted)

### *control:*

- (while taking measurements) do not move magnet
- [ensure position or orientation of tuning fork (relative to search coil) does not change] ✓

### *difficulties:*

(*difficulty* + *how overcome* = 2)

any **two** of the following:

- reduce uncertainty in measuring amplitude of signal produced by search coil (✓)
- by waiting for transient oscillations to die away and/or
- increasing amplitude of signal (reduce impact of background noise and/or use strong magnet or search coil with many turns and/or increasing Y-gain of cro {change range of meter (✓)})
- confirm frequency of tuning fork (✓)
- (calibrate) using suitable method (e.g. using cro and microphone or by forced oscillation method e.g. resonance tube) (✓)

✓✓✓✓ max(8)

				(8)
<b>2</b>	<b>AO3b : implementing</b>			
(a)	<i>accuracy</i>	$T$ in range 14.5 to 23.0 (s)	✓	
(b)	<i>tabulation</i>	$T/s$ $R/\Omega$	✓✓	
	<i>readings</i>	6 further sets of $T$ and $R$ (mark deducted for each missing) (mark deducted if no $T$ (including $T_0$ ) is calculated from $nT$ where $n$ or $\Sigma n \geq 2$ , for each incorrect $R$ value	✓✓	
	<i>significant figures</i>	all $T$ (including $T_0$ ) to 0.1(0) s consistent recording of $R$ values (accept 2.2, 6.9, 10(.0), 12.2, 14.7 and 16.9 k $\Omega$ )	✓ ✓	
(c)	<i>quality</i>	at least 6 points to $\pm 2$ mm of straight line of positive gradient (providing suitably-scaled graph drawn)✓		(8)
<b>3</b>	<b>AO3c : applying evidence and drawing conclusions processing</b>			
(c)	<i>axes</i>	marked $T/s$ , $R/(k)\Omega$ (½ deducted for each missing, rounded down)	✓✓	
	<i>scale</i>	suitable (e.g. $8 \times 8$ ) [ $5 \times 5$ , $2 \times 8$ , $8 \times 2$ ✓]	✓✓	
	<i>points</i>	7 points plotted correctly including $R = 0$ with <u>straight</u> best-fit line drawn	✓	
	<b>deductions</b>			
(d)(i)		$G$ from suitable $\Delta$ (e.g. $8 \times 8$ )	✓	
(d)(ii)		$\frac{T_0}{G}$ in range 11.5 to 12.5, or 12 k $\Omega$ [11.0 to 13.0 k $\Omega$ ✓]	✓✓	(8)
<b>4</b>	<b>AO3d : evaluating evidence and procedures</b>			
(e)(i)		this is when $T$ is least [ $R$ is zero, $R$ is smallest] uncertainty is greatest when reading (voltage) is changing (most) rapidly	✓ ✓	
(e)(ii)		labelled sketch (before and after sketches accepted) with labelled axes: original line : straight of positive gradient with intercept new line : straight line of reduced gradient [curve of decreasing positive gradient] (✓) and lower intercept (✓)		
	explanation			

capacity discharges more quickly [current increased]  
because circuit resistance is reduced  
when (lower resistance) meter is connected in parallel with circuit

(✓)

(✓)

(✓)

✓✓✓✓(6)

(22)