GCE 2005 January Series



Mark Scheme

Mathematics and Statistics B (MBS6)

Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation meeting attended by all examiners and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation meeting ensures that the mark scheme covers the candidates' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for the standardisation meeting each examiner analyses a number of candidates' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed at the meeting and legislated for. If, after this meeting, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been discussed at the meeting they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of candidates' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this Mark Scheme are available to download from the AQA Website: www.aqa.org.uk

Copyright © 2005 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

COPYRIGHT

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered centres for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to centres to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

Set and published by the Assessment and Qualifications Alliance.

The Assessment and Qualifications Alliance (AQA) is a company limited by guarantee registered in England and Wales 3644723 and a registered charity number 1073334. Registered address AQA, Devas Street, Manchester. M15 6EX. Dr Michael Cresswell Director General

Key to Mark Scheme

| M | mark is for | | method |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| m | mark is dependent on one o | r more M marks and is for | method |
| A | mark is dependent on M or | m marks and is for | accuracy |
| B | mark is independent of M o | or m marks and is form | lethod and accuracy |
| E | mark is for | | explanation |
| \checkmark or ft or F | | follow thr | ough from previous |
| | | | incorrect result |
| CAO | | | correct answer only |
| AWFW | | anythin | g which falls within |
| AWRT | | anyth | ing which rounds to |
| AG | | - | answer given |
| SC | | | special case |
| OE | | | or equivalent |
| A2,1 | | | 0) accuracy marks |
| - <i>x</i> EE | | deduct x 1 | narks for each error |
| NMS | | | no method shown |
| PI | | | possibly implied |
| SCA | | substantial | lly correct approach |
| c | | | candidate |
| SF | | | significant figure(s) |
| DP | | | decimal place(s) |
| | | | |

Abbreviations used in Marking

| MC - x | deducted <i>x</i> marks for mis-copy |
|---------------|--------------------------------------|
| MR – <i>x</i> | deducted x marks for mis-read |
| ISW | ignored subsequent working |
| BOD | |
| WR | work replaced by candidate |
| FB | formulae booklet |

Application of Mark Scheme

| No method shown: Correct answer without working Incorrect answer without working | mark as in scheme zero marks unless specified otherwise |
|---|--|
| More than one method/choice of solution: 2 or more complete attempts, neither/none crossed out 1 complete and 1 partial attempt, neither crossed out | mark both/all fully and award the mean mark rounded down award credit for the complete solution only |
| Crossed out work | do not mark unless it has not been replaced |
| Alternative solution using a correct or partially correct method | award method and accuracy marks as appropriate |

| Question | Solution | Marks | Total | Comments |
|--------------------|--|----------|-------|------------------------------------|
| Number | | | | |
| and Part $1(a)(i)$ | 145/250 - 20/50 - 0.58 (an 580/) | D1 | | |
| 1(a)(1) | 145/250 = 29/50 = 0.58 (or 58%) | BI D1 | | |
| | 80/250 = 8/25 = 0.32 (or $32%$) | BI D1 | | |
| (111) | 120/250 = 12/25 = 0.48 (or $48%$) | BI | | |
| (iv) | 65/120 = 13/24 = 0.542 (or 54.2%) | M1 | | for using 120 |
| | | A1 | 5 | |
| (b)(i) | $1 - (0.8)^4 = 0.590 = 5904/10000$ | M1 | | for 0.8 ⁴ |
| | (or 59.0%) | A1 | 2 | |
| (ii) | P(+ve response) = | | | |
| | $(0.2 \times 0.9) + (0.8 \times 0.15)$ | M1 | | |
| | = 0.3 | A1 | | |
| | P(not suffering +ve response) = | | | |
| | $(0.8 \times 0.15) / 0.3$ | M1 | | |
| | = 0.12 / 0.3 = 0.4 or 2/5 (or 40%) | A1 | 4 | |
| | Total | | 11 | |
| 2(a) | H ₀ Population median assessment mark | | | |
| | same for both diets | B1 | | |
| | H ₁ Population median assessment mark | | | |
| | higher for diet A | | | |
| | l tail test 1 % level | | | |
| | differences | | | 0.11.00 |
| | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 12 10 -5 15 -1 7 13 7 9 4 | MI | | for differences |
| | ranks | M1 | | for ranks $(1 = lowest)$ and |
| | 8 7 -3 10 -1 4 ¹ / ₂ 9 4 ¹ / ₂ 6 2 | A1 | | ties |
| | | | | |
| | $T_{+} = 8 + 7 + 10 + 4\frac{1}{2} + 9 + 4\frac{1}{2} + 6 + 2 = 51$ | M1 | | for totals |
| | $T_{-}=1+3=4$ | | | |
| | test stat $T = 4$ | A1 | | correct test stat |
| | critical value = 5 | B1 | | for cv |
| | test stat < 5 so Reject H ₀ | M1 | | for comparison ts/cv |
| | There is significant evidence that the | | | |
| | median assessment mark is higher for diet | | C | |
| | A | Al | 9 | |
| (b)(i) | T = 0 | B1 | | <i>n</i> -10 |
| (11) | T = 55 | M1 | | effort to total $\sum_{n=1}^{n} n$ |
| | | A1 | 3 | n=1 |
| | Total | | 12 | |

Mathematics and Statistics B Statistics 6 MBS6 January 2005

| MRS6 | (cont) |
|---------|--------|
| IVID SU | |

| Question | Solution | Marks | Total | Comments |
|----------|---|----------|-------|--|
| and Part | | | | |
| 3(a)(i) | ranks IQ $2\frac{1}{2}, 5\frac{1}{2}, 10, 5\frac{1}{2}, 1, 4, 7, 12, 8, 2\frac{1}{2}, 9, 11$ MRI 2, 3, 10, 4, 1, 8, 6, 11, 9, 5, 12, 7 | M1 A1 | | for ranks |
| | r_s (from calculator) = 0.793 | В3 | 5 | alternatively differences, d $\frac{1}{2}$, $2\frac{1}{2}$, 0, $1\frac{1}{2}$, 0, 4, 1, 1, 1, $2\frac{1}{2}$, 3, 4 $\sum d^2 = 59$ B1 $r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \times 59}{12 \times 143} = 0.794$ M1, A1 |
| (ii) | $ \begin{array}{l} H_0 \rho_s = 0 \\ H_1 \rho_s > 0 1 \text{ tail} 5\% \end{array} $ | B1 | | |
| | test stat $r_s = 0.793$ (or 0.794) critical value = 0.5035 tests stat > 0.5035 so significant evidence exists to reject H ₀ and conclude that a positive association exists. This suggests that students who have a | B1 M1 | | for cv comparison ts/cv |
| | higher IQ score also have a higher MRI count (brain size). | A1 | 4 | explanation in context |
| (b) | r = -0.390 (from calculator) | B4 | 4 | or $r = \frac{1826142 - \frac{11373 \times 1932}{12}}{196.35 \times 64.16}$ = -0.390 B1 for $\sum xy$ M1 for numerator M1 for denominator A1 awrt |
| (c) | Part (b) indicates that there is no obvious conclusion that heavier people have larger brains Part (a) indicates that people with a higher | B1 | | |
| | IQ do have larger brains. No causal link can be assumed however. Conclusions can only be drawn regarding right-handed male students – not in | B1 | | |
| | general | E1 | 3 | |
| | Total | | 16 | |

| MBS6 (cont) | | 1 | r | 1 |
|--------------------|---|------------|-------|--|
| Question Number | Solution | Marks | Total | Comments |
| and Part | | D1 | | |
| 4(a) | H_0 samples from identical pops H_1 samples not from identical pops | BI B1 | | or H_0 blood pressures the same H_1 blood pressures differ allow B1 only |
| | 2 tail 5% significance level | | | |
| | Thinking' | M1 | | for ranks as one group |
| | 8 12 $5\frac{1}{2}$ $5\frac{1}{2}$ 4 $9\frac{1}{2}$ 15 1 3 'Feeling' | M1 M1 | | for ties (9 ¹ / ₂ only needed) |
| | 11 9½ 14 7 17 18 2 16 13 | A1 | | |
| | $T_{\text{thinking}} = 63\frac{1}{2}$ | M1 | | for totals, either correct |
| | $T_{\text{feeling}} = 107\frac{1}{2}$ | A1 | | |
| | $U_p = 63\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}(9 \times 10) = 18\frac{1}{2}$ | M1 | | for U values, either |
| | $U_c = 107\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}(9 \times 10) = 62\frac{1}{2}$ | A1 | | |
| | test stat $U = 18\frac{1}{2}$ | | | note: various other alternative methods accepted |
| | critical value = 18 | B1 | | for use of correct cv consistent with U |
| | test stat > 18 Accept H_0 | M1 | | comparison of ts/cv |
| | No significant evidence (just) to doubt | | | |
| | that the samples are from different | | | |
| | populations (or no evidence to suggest | | 10 | |
| | that there is a difference in average | AI | 12 | |
| | diastolic blood pressure for the two | | | |
| | personality groups) | | | |
| (b)(i) | A paired comparison is preferred as it | | | |
| | reduces experimental error/bias and is | BI | 1 | Idea of reduction of experimental error |
| | more likely to detect a difference if one | | | |
| (**) | exists. | | | |
| (11) | There are two distinct, different groups of | F 1 | | |
| | men involved and the comparison | EI | | for idea of 2 groups |
| | different in notions. Therefore each noin | E1 | 2 | for acharant avalanction |
| | by definition must differ | EI | 2 | for concrent explanation |
| (a) | U Managers have no specific preference | | | |
| (0) | $(\pi = \frac{1}{2})$ | B 1 | | |
| | (n = 72) H Managara profer new new structure | DI | | |
| | $(\pi > 1/)$ | | | |
| | $(\mathcal{H} > /2)$ | | | |
| | discard 3 as they had 'no opinion' | | | |
| | test stat $= 35 \pm \text{ or } 15$ | M1 | | for signs |
| | 1051 stat = 55 + 61 f 5 = | B1 | | for correct test stat |
| | B(50, 0.5) model | M1 | | for Bin model $n = 50$ |
| | P(at most 15-) = (at least 35+) = | M1 | | probability and comparison with 0.01 |
| | 0.0033 < 0.01 for 1 tail test | | | or use of critical region |
| | Reject H ₀ | | | $\{0,116^{-}\}$ or $\{33,3450^{+}\}$ |
| | There is significant evidence to suggest | A 1 | 6 | prod 0.0077 |
| | that managers prefer new pay structure | AI | 0 | |
| | Total | 1 | 21 | |
| | TOTAL | 1 | 60 | |