## GCE 2004 June Series

ASSESSMENT and
OUALIFICATIONS

## Mark Scheme

## Mathematics and Statistics B MBS4

Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation meeting attended by all examiners and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation meeting ensures that the mark scheme covers the candidates' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for the standardisation meeting each examiner analyses a number of candidates' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed at the meeting and legislated for. If, after this meeting, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been discussed at the meeting they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of candidates' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this Mark Scheme are available from:
Publications Department, Aldon House, 39, Heald Grove, Rusholme, Manchester, M14 4NA Tel: 01619531170
or
download from the AQA website: www.aqa.org.uk
Copyright © 2004 AQA and its licensors

## COPYRIGHT

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered centres for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to centres to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

Set and published by the Assessment and Qualifications Alliance.

The Assessment and Qualifications Alliance (AQA) is a company limited by guarantee registered in England and Wales 3644723 and a registered charity number 1073334. Registered address AQA, Devas Street, Manchester. M15 6EX.

## Key to Mark Scheme

| M | mark is for | method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m | mark is dependent on one or more M marks and is for | method |
| A | mark is dependent on M or m marks and is for | accuracy |
| B | mark is independent of M or m marks and is for | accuracy |
| E | mark is for | explanation |
| $\checkmark$ or ft or F |  | follow through from previous incorrect result |
| cao |  | correct answer only |
| cso |  | correct solution only |
| awfw |  | anything which falls within |
| awrt |  | anything which rounds to |
| acf |  | any correct form |
| ag |  | answer given |
| sc |  | special case |
| oe |  | or equivalent |
| sf |  | significant figure(s) |
| dp |  | decimal place(s) |
| A2,1 |  | 2 or 1 (or 0 ) accuracy marks |
| $-x$ ee |  | deduct $x$ marks for each error |
| pi |  | possibly implied |
| sca |  | substantially correct approach |

## Abbreviations used in Marking

| MC $-\boldsymbol{x}$ |
| :--- |
| MR $-\boldsymbol{x}$ |
| isw |
| bod |
| wr |
| fb |

deducted $x$ marks for mis-copy deducted $x$ marks for mis-read ignored subsequent working given benefit of doubt work replaced by candidate formulae book

## Application of Mark Scheme

No method shown:

Correct answer without working
Incorrect answer without working
More than one method / choice of solution:
2 or more complete attempts, neither/none crossed out
1 complete and 1 partial attempt, neither crossed out
Crossed out work
Alternative solution using a correct or partially correct method
mark as in scheme zero marks unless specified otherwise
mark both/all fully and award the mean mark rounded down
award credit for the complete solution only
do not mark unless it has not been replaced
award method and accuracy marks as appropriate

Mathematics and Statistics B Statistics 4 MBS4 June 2004

| Question Number and Part | Solution | Marks | Total | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1(a) | $\mathrm{E}(X)=0 \times 0.08+1 \times 0.30+2 \times 0.34+$ | M1 |  | Method |
|  | $3 \times 0.15+4 \times 0.10+5 \times 0.03=1.98$ | A1 |  | 1.98 cao |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E}\left(X^{2}\right)=0 \times 0.08+1 \times 0.30+4 \times 0.34+ \\ & 9 \times 0.15+16 \times 0.10+25 \times 0.03=5.36 \end{aligned}$ | M1 |  | Method for $\mathrm{E}\left(X^{2}\right)$ - may be implied |
|  | $\mathrm{V}(X)=5.36-1.98^{2}=1.4396$ | m1 |  | Method for variance - disallow if |
|  | s.d $=\sqrt{1.4396}=1.20$ | m1 |  | Completely correct method |
|  |  | A1 | 6 | 1.20 (1.195 to 1.205) |
|  |  |  |  | sc m 0 A 1 for variance 1.44 (1.435 to 1.445 ) |
| (b)(i) | mean 1.98 | B1ヶ |  | 1.98 - their mean |
|  | s.d. $\frac{\sqrt{1.4396}}{280}=0.0717$ | M1 |  | Method, their s.d. |
|  | s.d. $\frac{\sqrt{1.430}}{280}=$ | A1 | 3 | 0.0717 (0.0716 to 0.0718) |
| (ii) | $k=1.98+2.3263 \times 0.071704=2.15$ | B1 |  | 2.3263 (2.32 to 2.33) |
|  |  | M1 |  | (their $z$ ) $\times$ (their s.d.) |
|  |  | A1 | 3 | 2.15 (2.14 to 2.15) |
| (iii) | $280 \times 2.1468=601$ | B1 | 1 | 600, 601, 602 |
|  | Total |  | 13 |  |
| 2(a) | $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \mu=200$ | B1 |  | One correct hypothesis - generous |
|  | $\mathrm{H}_{1}: \mu>200$ | B1 |  | Both hypotheses correct - ungenerous |
|  | $\bar{x}=205.545$ | B1 |  | 205.545 (205.5 to 205.6) |
|  | $s=7.2438$ | B1 |  | 7.24 ( 7.24 to 7.25 ) - may be implied by correct $t$-statistic |
|  | $t=\frac{205.545-200}{7.2438}=2.54$ | M1 |  | Use of their $\frac{s}{\sqrt{11}}$ |
|  | $\sqrt{11}$ | m1 |  | Correct method for $t$-ignore sign |
|  |  | A1 |  | 2.54 (2.535-2.545) |
|  | cv $\mathrm{t}_{10}$ for 5\% 1-sided risk 1.812 | B1 |  | 10 df |
|  |  | B1ヶ |  | 1.812 - allow 1.81 , their df, disallow $\pm 1.812$ |
|  | Reject $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ Conclude mean time to spoilage is greater than 200 hours. | A1 $\checkmark$ | 10 | Correct conclusion - must be compared with correct tail of $t$ |
| (b) | No problem unless the 3 containers sold could not be treated as a random sample. | E1 |  | Comment on randomness of 3 containers |
|  | Unlikely to bias sample. | E1 | 2 | Correct deduction <br> Allow comment on reduced sample size |
| (c) | Claiming mean time to spoilage is more | E1 |  | Idea of Type 1 error |
|  | than 200 hours when it isn't | E1 | 2 | In context - must be 1-sided |
| (d)(i) | 0.05 | B1 |  | 0.05 cao |
| (ii) | 0 | B2 | 3 | 0 cao |
|  | Total |  | 17 |  |

## MBS4 (cont)

| Question Number and Part | Solution | Marks | Total | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3(a) | $\bar{x}=135.6$ | B1 |  | 135.6 cao |
|  | $s=0.45552$ | B1 |  | 0.45552 ( 0.455 to 0.456 ) may be |
|  | 95\% confidence interval for mean is |  |  | implied by correct interval |
|  | $135.6+2.306 \times \underline{0.45552}$ | B1 |  | 8df |
|  | $135.6 \pm 2.306 \times \frac{\sqrt{9}}{}$ | B1 $\checkmark$ |  | 2.306 |
|  |  | M1 |  | Use of their $\frac{s}{\sqrt{9}}$ |
|  | i.e. $135.6 \pm 0.350$ | m1 |  | Correct method for interval |
|  | 135.25 to 135.95 | B1 |  | Answer given to 4,5 or 6 sf , must be an interval |
|  |  | A1 | 8 | 135.25 (135.2 to 135.3 ) and |
|  |  |  |  | 135.95 (135.9 to 136) <br> Or $135.6 \mathrm{cao} \pm 0.35$ ( 0.349 to 0.351 ) |
| (b) | $8+1.96 \times \frac{3.9}{\sqrt{60}}$ | B1 |  | 1.96 cao |
|  |  | M1 |  | Use of $\frac{3.9}{\sqrt{60}}$ |
|  |  |  |  | Use $\sqrt{60}$ |
|  | $135.8 \pm 0.987$ | m1 |  | Correct method for interval |
|  | 134.81 to 136.79 | A1 | 4 | 134.81 (134.8 to 134.820) and |
|  |  |  |  | 136.79 (136.78 to 136.8) |
|  |  |  |  | Or 135.8cao $\pm 0.987$ (0.98 to 1) |
| (c)(i) | Decrease | B1 | 1 | Decrease |
| (ii) | Increase - narrower interval $\rightarrow$ more | E1 |  | Increase |
|  | likely to identify need for overhaul if $\mu \neq 135.0$ | E1 | 2 | Reason |
| (d) | Large variability as in (b) will lead to unsatisfactory production even if mean on | E1 |  | Large variability unsatisfactory/makes deviation from 135 difficult to detect. |
|  | target. Might prefer small variability as in (a) even if mean slightly off target. | E1 | 2 | Small variability with mean slightly off target may be preferable |
|  | Total |  | 17 |  |

## MBS4 (cont)

| Question Number and Part | Solution | Marks | Total | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4(a) | $\int_{0}^{2} c x+d \mathrm{~d} x=1$ | M1 |  | Any correct expression - ignore limits |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & {\left[\frac{c x^{2}}{2}+d x\right]_{0}^{2}=1} \\ & 2 c+2 d=1 \end{aligned}$ | M1 A1 | 3 | Any correct integration - may be awarded elsewhere in question Wholly correct proof allow geometrical proof |
| (b)(i) | $\begin{aligned} & \int_{0}^{n} 0.5 \mathrm{~d} x=0.5 \\ & {[0.5 x]_{0}^{m}=0.5} \end{aligned}$ | M1 |  | Any correct expression |
|  | $0.5 m=0.5 \quad m=1$ | A1 | 2 | 1 cao by correct method allow geometrical proof |
| (ii) | $\int_{0}^{n} 0.5 x \mathrm{~d} x=0.5$ | M1 |  | Any correct expression |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & {\left[\frac{0.5 x^{2}}{2}\right]_{0}^{m}=0.5} \\ & \frac{0.5 m^{2}}{2}=0.5 \end{aligned}$ | M1 |  | Any correct equation after integration |
|  | $m=\sqrt{2}=1.41$ | A1 | 3 | $\sqrt{2}$ or 1.41 (1.41 to 1.42) disallow $\pm \sqrt{2}$ |
| (c) | A not valid $-2 c+2 d \neq 1$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { M1 } \\ \text { A1 } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  | B valid | B1 |  | Valid |
|  | C not valid - $\mathrm{f}(x)$ negative for small $x$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M1 } \\ & \text { A1 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 5 |  |
|  | Total |  | 13 |  |

## MBS4 (cont)



