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## Key to mark scheme

| M | mark is for | method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| m | mark is dependent on one or more M marks and is for | method |
| A | mark is dependent on M or m mark and is for | accuracy |
| B | mark is independent of M or m marks and is for | method and accuracy |
| E | mark is for | explanation |
| $\checkmark$ or ft or F |  | follow through from previous incorrect result |
| CAO |  | correct answer only |
| AWFW |  | anything which falls within |
| AWRT |  | anything which rounds to |
| AG |  | answer given |
| SC |  | special case |
| OE |  | or equivalent |
| A2,1 |  | 2 or 1 (or 0 ) accuracy marks |
| $-\boldsymbol{x}$ EE |  | Deduct $x$ marks for each error |
| NMS |  | No method shown |
| PI |  | Perhaps implied |
| c |  | Candidate |

## Abbreviations used in marking

| MC $-\boldsymbol{x}$ | deducted $x$ marks for miscopy |
| :--- | ---: |
| MR $-\boldsymbol{x}$ | deducted $x$ marks for misread |
| ISW | ignored subsequent working |
| BOD | gave benefit of doubt |
| WR | work replaced by candidate |

## Application of mark scheme

mark as in scheme
Incorrect answer without working zero marks unless specified otherwise

[^0]

| Q | Solution | Marks | Total | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} \text { Sample ratio }= & \frac{s_{x}^{2}}{s_{y}^{2}} \\ & =\frac{2.4049}{0.5372} \\ & =4.477 \end{aligned}$ <br> Degrees of freedom $\quad v_{1}=v_{2}=11$ <br> $95 \%$ confidence interval so $p=0.975$ <br> Critical value $\mathrm{F}_{11,11}=3.474$ $\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{F} \leq \frac{\sigma_{x}^{2} / s_{x}^{2}}{\sigma_{y}^{2} / s_{y}^{2}} \leq F \\ & \frac{1}{3.474} \leq \frac{\sigma_{x}^{2} / \sigma_{y}^{2}}{4.477} \leq 3.474 \end{aligned}$ <br> Confidence interval is (1.29, 15.6) <br> Lower confidence limit > 1 <br> Journey time is more variable from home to school than returning. | M1 <br> A1 <br> B1 <br> B1 <br> M1 <br> A1 $\checkmark$ <br> A1 <br> E1 $\checkmark$ <br> E1J |  | AWFW 4.47 to 4.48 <br> CAO both <br> CAO <br> Use of <br> ft on ratio and F value <br> (AWRT 1.29, AWFW 15.5 to 15.6 ) <br> ft on CI <br> ft consistent with CI |
|  | Total |  | 9 |  |
| 3 (a) <br> (b)(i) <br> (ii) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{0}: \mathrm{P}(\text { prefer luxury blend })=0.5 \\ & \mathrm{H}_{1}: \mathrm{P}(\text { prefer luxury blend })>0.5 \end{aligned}$ <br> Ignoring zero differences, sample size $n=9$ <br> $X=$ Number who prefer luxury blend. <br> Under $\mathrm{H}_{0} \quad X \sim \mathrm{~B}(9,0.5)$ <br> Actual value of $X=7$ ( or 2) $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{P}(X \geq 7)=\mathrm{P}(x \leq 2) \\ & =0.0898 \\ & 0.0898<10 \% \text { so reject } \mathrm{H}_{0} \end{aligned}$ <br> Evidence supports the claim that the luxury blend is preferred. <br> Makes use of more information - takes into account size as well as direction of differences. <br> Scores are subjective so differences cannot be reliably ranked. | B1 <br> B1 <br> B1 $\checkmark$ <br> B1 <br> M1 <br> AlV <br> Al $\checkmark$ <br> E1 <br> E1 | 1 | CAO; may be implied <br> ft on $n$ : may be implied <br> CAO <br> ft on $n$ and $X$ <br> ft on probability |
|  | Total |  | 9 |  |



| Q | Solution | Marks | Total | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 (a) | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{H}_{0}: & \mu_{x}=1.7 \\ \mathrm{H}_{1}: & \mu_{x} \neq 1.7 \\ \alpha=0.10 \end{array}$ | B1 |  | Both |
|  | Degrees of freedom $v=8-1=7$ | B1 |  | CAO |
|  | Critical values of $t= \pm 1.895$ | B1 |  | AWFW 1.89 to 1.90 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} \text { Sample statistic } & t=\frac{\bar{x}-\mu_{x}}{\sqrt{\frac{s_{x}^{2}}{n}}} \\ = & =\underline{=} \end{aligned}$ | M1 |  | Use of |
|  | $\sqrt{\frac{1.273}{8}}$ | A1 |  | All terms correct |
|  | $=1.28$ | A1 |  | AWFW 1.27 to 1.28 |
|  | Sample $t$ lies within -1.895 to +1.895 so reasonable to accept that $\mu_{x}=17$ | A1 $\checkmark$ | 7 | ft on $t$ and critical value |
| (b)(i) | Pooled estimate of $\sigma^{2}$ $=\frac{(7 \times 1.273)+(8 \times 1.719)}{8+9-2}$ | M1 |  |  |
|  | $=1.511$ | A1 |  | AWRT 1.51 |
|  | $\bar{y}-\bar{x}=14.30$ | B1 |  | CAO |
|  | Degrees of freedom $v=15$ <br> $95 \%$ interval $\Rightarrow p=0.975$ | B1 |  | $\mathrm{CAO}$ |
|  | Critical value of $t=2.131$ | B1 |  | AWFW 2.13 to 2.14 |
|  | Confidence limits for $\mu_{y}-\mu_{x}$ are |  |  |  |
|  | $14.30 \pm 2.131 \times \sqrt{1.511} \times \sqrt{\frac{1}{8}}+\frac{1}{9}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M1 } \\ & \text { A1 } \sqrt{ } \end{aligned}$ |  | ft on $t$ and $\sigma^{2}$ |
|  | $95 \%$ confidence interval is ( $13.0,15.6$ ) | A1 | 8 | AWFW (13.0 to 13.1, 15.5 to 15.6 ) |
| (b)(ii) | $75 \%$ of $17=12.75$ |  |  |  |
|  | Or CI for \% increase is $(76.65,91.59)$ | B1 |  |  |
|  | $75 \%$ lies below lower confidence limit so the claim is supported. |  | 2 | ft on CI |
|  | Total |  | 17 |  |
|  | Total |  | 60 |  |


[^0]:    Award method and accuracy marks as appropriate to an alternative solution using a correct method or partially correct method.

