

General Certificate of Education (A-level)
June 2011

General Studies B

GENB3

(Specification 2765)

Unit 3: Power

Final

Mark Scheme

Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation events which all examiners participate in and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation process ensures that the mark scheme covers the candidates' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for standardisation each examiner analyses a number of candidates' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed and legislated for. If, after the standardisation process, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been raised they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of candidates' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this Mark Scheme are available from: aqa.org.uk

Copyright © 2011 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

Copyright

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered centres for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to centres to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

Set and published by the Assessment and Qualifications Alliance.

INTRODUCTION

The nationally agreed assessment objectives in the QCA Subject Criteria for General Studies are:

- **AO1** Demonstrate relevant knowledge and understanding applied to a range of issues, using skills from different disciplines.
- **AO2** Marshal evidence and draw conclusions; select, interpret, evaluate and integrate information, data, concepts and opinions.
- **AO3** Demonstrate understanding of different types of knowledge appreciating their strengths and limitations.
- **AO4** Communicate clearly and accurately in a concise, logical and relevant way.
- Candidates will often perform at a uniform level across the four Assessment Objectives. Sometimes, though, their performance will be uneven across the AOs.
- The mark awarded for a response should reflect the relative weightings of AOs for the unit (see below).
- Thus, for Unit 3, the ability to marshal evidence and draw conclusions [AO2] is the primary determinant of the level (1 to 5) to which a response is allocated.
- Knowledge and understanding [AO1] will lend or withdraw support for the allocation.
- Whether fact and opinion are distinguished [AO3], and whether communication is clear and accurate [AO4] have equal weight, and should determine the mark within the level.
- Answers given in the mark scheme are not necessarily definitive. Other valid points must be credited, even if they do not appear in the mark scheme.

Distribution of marks across the questions and assessment objectives for Unit 3

Question Numbers		Q1	Q2	Q3/4	Total marks
Assessment Objectives	1	5	5	10	20
	2	7	7	14	28
	3	4	4	8	16
	4	4	4	8	16
Total marks per Question		20	20	40	80

01 Read Texts A and B.

'We can all live our lives as we choose.'

Argue in favour of the above statement, using examples of your own as well as those in Texts A and B. (20 marks)

Points that might be made:

- we can maintain our health by controlling our eating habits
- expert advice is available to guide us
- when we make decisions for ourselves, we also take responsibility for the consequences
- Human Rights empower us to live as we choose
- we do not have to answer to or show deference towards others
- we have free will and can behave as we wish.

Any other valid points should be credited.

Level 1 (17 – 20 marks)

- A very good response showing a keen awareness of how we are free to act as we wish;
 both the task and the texts are clearly understood [AO1]
- Well-chosen examples are given, from beyond the texts provided, considering how we are able to make our own, independent decisions [AO2]
- There is critical analysis of the ways in which we have the power to act in our own interests [AO3]
- Communication is clear and accurate and the argument is well structured and comes to a convincing conclusion [AO4].

Level 2 (13–16 marks)

- A good response showing awareness of the ways in which we are able to act freely; task and texts are understood [AO1]
- One or more non-text examples are given, indicating how we are able to be independent of others in our decisions [AO2]
- There is analysis of the ways in which we have the power to behave as we wish [AO3]
- Communication is clear and mostly accurate and structure is reasonably logical with an attempt at an overall conclusion [AO4].

Level 3 (9 – 12 marks)

- A competent, generalising response, showing some awareness of the ways in which we are free to act; text and task are broadly understood [AO1]
- There may be examples, probably from similar areas or personal experience, or taken directly from the texts, but with little or no interpretation; nevertheless the task is understood and there is some credible argument [AO2]
- There is reference to the ways in which we can behave independently, but there may be little distinction between fact and opinion over the issue [AO3]
- There are likely to be errors in the language used, but these should not impair communication; the response has some structure but probably lacks a considered conclusion [AO4].

Level 4 (5 – 8 marks)

- A limited response showing little awareness of our ability to act freely; there may be misunderstanding of texts and the task may be challenged [AO1]
- Examples from beyond the text are absent or poorly chosen and there is little evidence of any argument or structure [AO2]
- Limited understanding is shown of the task or of the issue of independent action and there is little or no distinction between fact and opinion [AO3]
- Errors of language may begin to impair communication; there is little structure and the response may be brief [AO4].

Level 5 (1 – 4 marks)

- An inadequate response showing little understanding of the central issue and of texts and task [AO1]
- Assertions have no supporting examples and there is no clear line of argument [AO2]
- No understanding is shown of the exercise of power or of the facts that might support such a case [AO3]
- Language is inaccurately used and communication is seriously impaired; the response may be very brief [AO4].
- (0) No response or no relevant information.

02 Read Texts C and D

'We are members of society and cannot live without reference to others.'

Argue in favour of the above statement, using examples of your own as well as those in Texts C and D. (20 marks)

Points that might be made:

- we are all subject to the law
- society may discriminate in our favour or against us, measuring us against others
- it is difficult to avoid contact with one or more social institutions
- disaster can engulf us all, making us dependent on others for help and support
- countries interact economically and in other ways which have direct effects on everyone
- society and individuals function more effectively when working together, sharing expertise and skills
- individuals are, for the most part, social animals.

Any other valid points should be credited.

Level 1 (17 - 20 marks)

- A very good response showing a keen awareness of the nature of societies and how it is hard to remain outside; both the task and texts are clearly understood [AO1]
- Well-chosen examples are given, from beyond the texts provided, revealing how we are bound up in society whatever we may wish [AO2]
- There is critical analysis of the nature of modern living and how we fit into society [AO3]
- Communication is clear and accurate and the argument is well-structured and comes to a convincing conclusion [AO4].

Level 2 (13 – 16 marks)

- A good response showing awareness of the ways in which societies work; both the task and texts are clearly understood [AO1]
- One or more non-text examples are given, showing how we rely on co-operation [AO2]
- There is analysis of the way in which humans interact and need to co-operate [AO3]
- Communication is mostly clear and accurate and structure is reasonably logical, with an attempt at an overall conclusion [AO4].

Level 3 (9 – 12 marks)

- A competent and generalising response showing awareness of societies and how they work; texts and task are broadly understood [AO1]
- There may be examples, possibly taken directly from the texts, but with little or no interpretation; nevertheless, the task is understood and there is some credible argument [AO2]
- There is reference to human interaction, but there may be little distinction between fact and opinion over this issue [AO3]
- There are likely to be errors in the language used, but these should not impair communication; the response has some structure but probably lacks a considered conclusion [AO4].

Level 4 (5 – 8 marks)

- A limited response showing little awareness of the operation of societies and how they
 operate; there may be misunderstanding of texts and task [AO1]
- Examples from beyond the text are absent or poorly chosen and there is little evidence of any argument or structure [AO2]
- Limited understanding is shown of how people interact even in reference to the texts and there is little or no distinction between fact and opinion [AO3]
- Errors of language may begin to impair communication; there is little structure and the response may be brief [AO4].

Level 5 (1 – 4 marks)

- An inadequate response showing little understanding of the central issue and of the texts and task [AO1]
- Assertions have no supporting examples and there is no clear line of argument [AO2]
- No understanding is shown of the nature of the task or the facts that might support a case [AO3]
- Language is inaccurately used and communication is seriously impaired; the response may be very brief [AO4].
- (0) No response or no relevant information.

Everyone should have the same opportunities irrespective of wealth, status, gender or ethnicity.

To what extent is there true equality of opportunity in the modern world?

(40 marks)

Points that might be made:

- laws are in place to protect individuals against some forms of discrimination, though not all
- wealth is power and still plays a part in access to opportunities in the UK and beyond
- local conditions, weather, geography, resources, can affect opportunities
- positive discrimination/affirmative action is recognised as necessary to level out traditional inequalities
- modern technology has opened up access to information, but it is not always available to everyone
- society often insists on qualifications for entry to certain occupations and these may be discriminatory in themselves
- despite laws and regulations, individuals are excluded because of the attitudes and beliefs of others.

Any other valid points should be credited.

Level 1 (33 – 40 marks)

- A very good response, showing keen awareness of issues surrounding access to opportunity and how they affect individuals; texts and task are clearly understood [AO1]
- Well chosen examples are given from beyond the sources and use is made of the texts, supporting the argument and leading to a convincing conclusion [AO2]
- There is critical analysis of how opportunities arise and the circumstances that might affect equality [AO3]
- Communication is clear and accurate; the argument is well structured and comes to a conclusion [AO4].

Level 2 (25 – 32 marks)

- A good response, showing awareness of equality of opportunity and the impact this might have on individuals; texts and task are understood [AO1]
- One or more non-text examples are given and use is made of one or more of the texts; these are analysed and there is argument that leads to a realistic conclusion [AO2]
- There is analysis of equality and opportunities and how these affect individuals; facts and opinions are distinguished [AO3]
- Communication is clear and mostly accurate, the structure is reasonably logical and an attempt is made to come to a conclusion [AO4].

Level 3 (17 – 24 marks)

- A competent, generalising response, showing some awareness of equality of opportunity and how people might be affected; texts and task are broadly understood [AO1]
- There may be examples, possibly taken directly from the texts with limited interpretation; there may be an over-reliance on these text-led examples, but there is some credible argument [AO2]
- There is recognition of the way in which equality of opportunity may operate for individuals; there is a failure to distinguish fully between fact and opinion [AO3]
- There are errors in the language used, but these do not impair communication; the response has some structure but may lack a convincing conclusion [AO4].

Level 4 (9 – 16 marks)

- A limited response, showing little awareness of the concept of opportunity and whether access is equal; there may be misunderstanding of the texts or task [AO1]
- Examples may be poorly chosen or absent, and there may be an over-reliance on the texts; there is little sense of an argument being constructed [AO2]
- Limited understanding is shown and there is probably little distinction between fact and opinion on the importance of equality of opportunity [AO3]
- Errors of language begin to impair communication; there is little structure and the response may be brief [AO4].

Level 5 (1 – 8 marks)

- An inadequate response showing little understanding of the central issue and of the texts and task [AO1]
- Assertions are given no support in examples and there is no clear line of argument and no conclusion [AO2]
- No understanding is shown of what is meant by equality of opportunity [AO3]
- Language is inaccurately used and communication is seriously impaired [AO4].
- **(0)** No response or no relevant information.

O4 Some scientific studies have shown that mind-body factors, such as an independent attitude and a positive outlook, influence health and longevity more than anything else.

How far can individuals take responsibility for their own health? (40 marks)

Points that might be made:

- many of us can choose to live a more healthy life-style
- access to health-care varies across the country and more so across the world
- many factors affecting our physical and mental health are beyond our control
- we are urged to be positive in the face of ill-health, but that alone is unlikely to cure more serious complaints
- medicine and knowledge are progressing, making it possible to address illnesses that were previously terminal
- availability of clean water and adequate nutrition may depend on socio-economic status
- not all infections are obvious in their early stages and we can be infected without realising
 it
- recent scares about pandemics with previously unknown causes suggest that our power to prevent is affected by nature's ability to mutate.

Any other valid points should be credited.

Level 1 (33 – 40 marks)

- A very good response, showing keen awareness of the nature of ill-health and how it may be combated; texts and task are clearly understood [AO1]
- Well chosen examples are given from beyond the sources and use is made of the texts, that support the argument and lead to a convincing conclusion [AO2]
- There is critical analysis of the tensions between identifying and preventing ill-health in a variety of situations [AO3]
- Communication is clear and accurate; the argument is well structured and comes to a conclusion [AO4].

Level 2 (25 – 32 marks)

- A good response, showing awareness of the essential problem of ill-health and the circumstances in which it might be prevented; texts and task are understood [AO1]
- One or more non-text examples are given of how people are subject to disease and illhealth and what power they have to combat it [AO2]
- There is understanding of the tension between the ability to identify and the ability to combat diseases [AO3]
- Communication is clear and mostly accurate, the structure is reasonably logical and an attempt is made to come to a conclusion [AO4].

Level 3 (17 – 24 marks)

- a competent, generalising response, showing some awareness of the problem of illhealth; texts and task are broadly understood [AO1]
- there may be examples, probably taken directly from the texts with limited interpretation, there may be an over-reliance on the texts; there is some credible argument [AO2]
- there is recognition of the tension between identifying and dealing with ill-health, but this tension may not be convincingly resolved and there is a failure to distinguish fully between fact and opinion [AO3]
- there are errors in the language used, but these do not impair communication; the response has some structure but may lack a convincing conclusion [AO4].

Level 4 (9 – 16 marks)

- A limited response showing little awareness of the issues of prevention and cure; there
 may be misunderstanding of the texts or task [AO1]
- Examples may be poorly chosen or absent, and there may be an over-reliance on the texts; there is little sense of an argument being constructed [AO2]
- Limited understanding is shown and there is probably little distinction between fact and opinion [AO3]
- Errors of language begin to impair communication; there is little structure and the response may be brief [AO4].

Level 5 (1 – 8 marks)

- An inadequate response showing little understanding of the central issue and of the texts and task [AO1]
- Assertions are given no support in examples and there is no clear line of argument and no conclusion [AO2]
- No understanding is shown of how different people are able to act in the face of threats to their health [AO3]
- Language is inaccurately used and communication is seriously impaired [AO4].
- (0) No response or no relevant information.

UMS conversion calculator www.aga.org.uk/umsconversion