

General Certificate of Education

English Literature 6746Specification B

LTB6 Exploring Texts

Mark Scheme

2008 examination - June series

Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation meeting attended by all examiners and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation meeting ensures that the mark scheme covers the candidates' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for the standardisation meeting each examiner analyses a number of candidates' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed at the meeting and legislated for. If, after this meeting, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been discussed at the meeting they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of candidates' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this Mark Scheme are available to download from the AQA Website: www.aqa.org.uk

Copyright © 2008 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

COPYRIGHT

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered centres for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to centres to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

Set and published by the Assessment and Qualifications Alliance.

LTB6 is the A2 module that brings together all five assessment objectives in an externally assessed three hour examination. On the basis of a set of pre-release material and unseen material presented in the examination, students have to show their ability to transfer the skills they have learnt through analysing a variety of texts with their teachers over two years of study, to material which is new to them. In Question One (40 marks) candidates have to compare and contrast literary texts in terms of how the writers present their material. In Question Two (40 marks) candidates have to show their own independent judgements, their understanding of other people's interpretations and their understanding of contexts. AO1 is targeted in Question Two.

Mark Scheme Skills Descriptors - Question 1

BAND 1:	MARK RANGE 0 – 5
AO2ii AO2ii AO3	simple account of content little sense of comparison across texts very little understanding of genre, language features and structural devices
AO3	very little discussion of how authors' techniques shape meanings
BAND 2:	MARK RANGE 6 – 12
AO2ii	some focus on the task with basic textual understanding; a little illustrative support
AO2ii AO3	some comparison across texts some limited understanding of genres, language features and structural devices
AO3	some limited discussion of how authors' techniques shape meanings
BAND 3:	MARK RANGE 13 – 19
AO2ii	focus on task with some understanding of text; some illustrative support
AO2ii AO3	some consideration of contrasts and comparisons across texts some understanding of genres, language features and structural
AO3	devices some discussion of how authors' techniques shape meanings
BAND 4:	MARK RANGE 20 – 26
BAND 4: AO2ii	MARK RANGE 20 – 26 clear focus on task with informed understanding of text; apt supportive references
	clear focus on task with informed understanding of text; apt supportive
AO2ii AO2ii AO3	clear focus on task with informed understanding of text; apt supportive references detailed consideration of contrasts and comparisons across texts consideration of genres, language features and structural devices
AO2ii AO2ii AO3 AO3	clear focus on task with informed understanding of text; apt supportive references detailed consideration of contrasts and comparisons across texts consideration of genres, language features and structural devices consideration of how authors' techniques shape meanings MARK RANGE 27 – 33 detailed understanding of the text and task; good selection of
AO2ii AO3 AO3 BAND 5:	clear focus on task with informed understanding of text; apt supportive references detailed consideration of contrasts and comparisons across texts consideration of genres, language features and structural devices consideration of how authors' techniques shape meanings MARK RANGE 27 – 33
AO2ii AO3 AO3 BAND 5: AO2ii AO2ii AO3	clear focus on task with informed understanding of text; apt supportive references detailed consideration of contrasts and comparisons across texts consideration of genres, language features and structural devices consideration of how authors' techniques shape meanings MARK RANGE 27 – 33 detailed understanding of the text and task; good selection of supportive references detailed exploration of contrasts and comparisons across texts exploration of genres, language features and structural devices
AO2ii AO3 AO3 BAND 5: AO2ii AO2ii AO3 AO3	clear focus on task with informed understanding of text; apt supportive references detailed consideration of contrasts and comparisons across texts consideration of genres, language features and structural devices consideration of how authors' techniques shape meanings MARK RANGE 27 – 33 detailed understanding of the text and task; good selection of supportive references detailed exploration of contrasts and comparisons across texts exploration of genres, language features and structural devices some evaluation of how authors' techniques shape meanings MARK RANGE 34 – 40 secure, confident and well informed understanding of text and task;
AO2ii AO3 AO3 BAND 5: AO2ii AO3 AO3 BAND 6:	clear focus on task with informed understanding of text; apt supportive references detailed consideration of contrasts and comparisons across texts consideration of genres, language features and structural devices consideration of how authors' techniques shape meanings MARK RANGE 27 – 33 detailed understanding of the text and task; good selection of supportive references detailed exploration of contrasts and comparisons across texts exploration of genres, language features and structural devices some evaluation of how authors' techniques shape meanings MARK RANGE 34 – 40 secure, confident and well informed understanding of text and task; excellent selection of supportive reference detailed and perceptive evaluation of issues raised through contrasts
AO2ii AO3 AO3 BAND 5: AO2ii AO3 AO3 BAND 6: AO2ii	clear focus on task with informed understanding of text; apt supportive references detailed consideration of contrasts and comparisons across texts consideration of genres, language features and structural devices consideration of how authors' techniques shape meanings MARK RANGE 27 – 33 detailed understanding of the text and task; good selection of supportive references detailed exploration of contrasts and comparisons across texts exploration of genres, language features and structural devices some evaluation of how authors' techniques shape meanings MARK RANGE 34 – 40 secure, confident and well informed understanding of text and task; excellent selection of supportive reference

Question Specific Notes

The following question specific notes are intended for use in conjunction with the overall generic band descriptors.

These notes are NOT intended to be prescriptive but are designed to indicate some of the rationale behind the setting of the questions and to demonstrate the links between the questions and the designated assessment objectives. Examiners should always be prepared to reward any well-argued, relevant initiatives shown by candidates in their responses.

Question 1:

Compare and contrast the ways in which Alan Bennett, in *Bed Among the Lentils*, and John Betjeman, in 'In Westminster Abbey', use the dramatic monologue form to present their material.

(40 marks)

Objectives tested: AO2ii, AO3.

Possible content:

Key differences resulting from genre: expect students to be aware of differences in language use, register, tone, character revelation and representation, point of view, relationship between reader and subject matter, importance and development of story, setting, structure. Candidates should be aware that both texts are complete.

Similarities and differences in content and point of view:

Both texts use a first person viewpoint to illustrate through irony the speaker's incomplete understanding of themselves. Both speakers are female and seen in relation to the Anglican church. However, Susan is much less deluded than the poem's persona. Both texts deal with English society and there is an interesting contrast between the female persona's reference to 'gallant blacks' and the relationship Susan has with Ramesh in the later multicultural society of the 80s. While the persona is speaking to God, Susan is speaking to us. The persona has entirely traditional, class based attitudes, while Susan is irreverent, agnostic/atheist in her approach. Susan is aware of ironies; the persona is not.

Language:

Bennett: The language is chosen to reveal the individual character but also to reveal those aspects of which they themselves are unaware. Bennett himself says that the style is 'necessarily austere', particularly in the use of reported speech. This is largely in order to reveal the 'artless' narrator. Humour is created by colloquialisms, 'kicked off' and clichés are used mercilessly to mock the religious group. Antithesis, direct speech, irreverent Biblical references and many other techniques create Susan's idiom. The use of incongruous transferred lexis enhances humour: 'I cherished him with some chicken wings in a tuna fish sauce', making clear the couple's incompatibility. Bathos plays a large part and reveals Susan's self-knowledge, while the repeated references to sherry, not ironic on her part, reveal her self delusion. Her description of Ramesh 'who is twenty-six with lovely legs' both highlights incongruity and adds a lyrical, passionate element to contrast with the desiccation of Susan's normal life.

Betjeman: clever mixture of rhetoric and bathos: 'Democracy and proper drains'. The poem has a number of different types of focus, such as the traditional patriotic, British, Empire minded viewpoint; the traditional religious viewpoint; the war and the banal details of home life. The incongruity of these strands brings out the persona's self delusion and therefore the poet's viewpoint, which is amused, ironic, detached but keenly perceptive. The reference to 'this other glove' in the opening stanza summons up an image of the middle class lady at prayer, ironically contrasted with 'the beauteous fields of Eden', themselves somewhat ambiguous in the context. The persona's strangely warped view of the almighty and her effortless assumption that she is not only a member of the ruling class of her country, but also in charge of the Lord, are tellingly evoked through simple language and use of capital letters in 'And if that is not too easy/ We will pardon Thy Mistake'. The imperative verbs and apostrophe add to the effect of the persona's certainty of rectitude. Betjeman prophetically uses the kind of jargon phrases now horribly familiar: 'In the Eternal Safety Zone'.

Structure:

Bennett: basic unit of organisation the scene. Candidates should show awareness of the piece as drama and as drama intended for television. Immediacy of opening but later scenes take us further back in time, to explain how Susan has arrived at this point. The inconclusive ending, in addition to the gloomy and accepting if comic opening give a sense of realism and of a glimpse of a cycle of life that will continue largely unaltered after the end of the monologue. The piece's cohesion also depends largely on the ironic contrasts set up throughout and on the simple but effective narrative climaxes. The monologue displays features from both drama and prose fiction.

Betjeman: the simple verse structure, with its four foot trochees arranged in a quatrain and a rhyming couplet echoes in its nursery-rhyme quality the simple-minded ignorance of the persona's voice. The poem's seven stanza structure focuses the reader on the central stanza, which ironically sums up the persona's muddled and limited sense of priorities. The final line of each stanza focuses on the speaker's egocentricity, leading through the superbly ironic rhyme of 'Throne' and 'Zone' to the final bathetic 'And now, dear Lord...'

Setting:

Bennett: home and church provide the settings; but through her words Susan conjures up the very brief but important scene set in Ramesh's shop, which gives the monologue its name and the AA meeting. These two references to the wider world are part of the mechanism for showing what is wrong with Susan's life and making us aware that it will in reality never change. The hilarious dramatic climax of the piece is the flower-arranging scene in the church.

Betjeman: the august surroundings of Westminster Abbey present a contrast to the run of the mill Anglican church in 'Bed...' and they are evoked by the brief metonymic references to the 'vox humana', the bells and the tombs. These are sufficient for the reader to retain the sense of setting, although no direct reference is made to it until the final stanza picks up on the graves of former statesment. These statesmen, rather than God, form the persona's focus and, like her, encapsulate the ruling classes who have God on their side.

Mark Scheme Skills Descriptors - Question 2

BAND 1:	MARK RANGE 0 – 5
AO1	technical weaknesses which impede the communication of meaning / unclear line of argument
AO4	little understanding of different interpretative approaches
AO4 AO5ii	little personal response based on slender evidence or misreading very little relevance to texts / contexts / task
BAND 2:	MARK RANGE 6 – 12
AO1	simple attempt at structuring argument / some use of critical
AO4	vocabulary but some technical weakness reference to different interpretations of text
AO4	some evidence of personal response
AO5ii	some limited awareness of links across texts / contexts / task
BAND 3:	MARK RANGE 13 – 19
AO1	use of critical vocabulary and generally clear expression / some structured argument
AO4	some consideration of different interpretations of text
AO4 AO5	evidence of personal response with some illustrative support awareness of links across texts / contexts / task
BAND 4:	MARK RANGE 20 – 26
AO1	
AOT	clear expression and use of accurate critical vocabulary / clear line of argument
AO4	argument clear consideration of different interpretations of text
AO4 AO4	argument clear consideration of different interpretations of text clear evidence of personal response with illustrative support
AO4 AO4 AO5	argument clear consideration of different interpretations of text clear evidence of personal response with illustrative support clear consideration of links across texts / contexts / task
AO4 AO4	argument clear consideration of different interpretations of text clear evidence of personal response with illustrative support
AO4 AO4 AO5	argument clear consideration of different interpretations of text clear evidence of personal response with illustrative support clear consideration of links across texts / contexts / task
AO4 AO4 AO5 BAND 5:	argument clear consideration of different interpretations of text clear evidence of personal response with illustrative support clear consideration of links across texts / contexts / task MARK RANGE 27 – 33 accurate expression and effective use of appropriate critical
AO4 AO4 AO5 BAND 5:	argument clear consideration of different interpretations of text clear evidence of personal response with illustrative support clear consideration of links across texts / contexts / task MARK RANGE 27 – 33 accurate expression and effective use of appropriate critical vocabulary / well structured argument clear consideration of different interpretations of text and some
AO4 AO4 AO5 BAND 5: AO1	argument clear consideration of different interpretations of text clear evidence of personal response with illustrative support clear consideration of links across texts / contexts / task MARK RANGE 27 – 33 accurate expression and effective use of appropriate critical vocabulary / well structured argument clear consideration of different interpretations of text and some evaluation of their strengths and weaknesses clear and detailed evidence of personal response with good selection
AO4 AO4 AO5 BAND 5: AO1 AO4	argument clear consideration of different interpretations of text clear evidence of personal response with illustrative support clear consideration of links across texts / contexts / task MARK RANGE 27 – 33 accurate expression and effective use of appropriate critical vocabulary / well structured argument clear consideration of different interpretations of text and some evaluation of their strengths and weaknesses clear and detailed evidence of personal response with good selection of supportive references
AO4 AO5 BAND 5: AO1 AO4 AO4	argument clear consideration of different interpretations of text clear evidence of personal response with illustrative support clear consideration of links across texts / contexts / task MARK RANGE 27 – 33 accurate expression and effective use of appropriate critical vocabulary / well structured argument clear consideration of different interpretations of text and some evaluation of their strengths and weaknesses clear and detailed evidence of personal response with good selection of supportive references detailed exploration of links across texts / contexts / task MARK RANGE 34 – 40 technically fluent style and use of appropriate critical vocabulary / well
AO4 AO5 BAND 5: AO1 AO4 AO4 AO5 BAND 6:	argument clear consideration of different interpretations of text clear evidence of personal response with illustrative support clear consideration of links across texts / contexts / task MARK RANGE 27 – 33 accurate expression and effective use of appropriate critical vocabulary / well structured argument clear consideration of different interpretations of text and some evaluation of their strengths and weaknesses clear and detailed evidence of personal response with good selection of supportive references detailed exploration of links across texts / contexts / task MARK RANGE 34 – 40 technically fluent style and use of appropriate critical vocabulary / well structured and coherent argument perceptive consideration of different interpretations of text with
AO4 AO5 BAND 5: AO1 AO4 AO4 AO5 BAND 6:	argument clear consideration of different interpretations of text clear evidence of personal response with illustrative support clear consideration of links across texts / contexts / task MARK RANGE 27 – 33 accurate expression and effective use of appropriate critical vocabulary / well structured argument clear consideration of different interpretations of text and some evaluation of their strengths and weaknesses clear and detailed evidence of personal response with good selection of supportive references detailed exploration of links across texts / contexts / task MARK RANGE 34 – 40 technically fluent style and use of appropriate critical vocabulary / well structured and coherent argument

Question 2

 Which ideas in Item Two (A and B) have you found most helpful in your reading of Bed Among the Lentils?

and

 How far has Item Three helped you in your study of Bed Among the Lentils and 'In Westminster Abbey'?

(40 marks)

Objectives tested: AO1, AO4, AO5ii

Bullet one:

Possible content:

Item Two a):

- 1. the dramatic nature of the material and how far the material might have been presented differently and more effectively as a play;
- 2. the importance of the single point of view in a monologue;
- 3. how far the dramatic monologue is similar to a short story;
- 4. the stripped down, austere nature of the monologue;
- 5. the artless narrator:
- 6. the monologue as tightly plotted;
- 7. the effectiveness of the language.

Item Two b):

- 1. how far the monologues is about the relationship between humour and pathos;
- 2. the importance of irony but also the writer's sympathy;
- 3. how far the monologue is a "masterpiece of comedy";
- 4. the focus on loneliness;
- 5. the creation of individual idiom.

Bullet two:

Item Three:

Possible content:

- 1. the item is about poetic dramatic monologues but much of what is said is equally applicable to both the given texts;
- 2. candidates may pick up on the reference to the imagined speech of a character and not the writer himself. They may agree or disagree;
- 3. the difference between a dramatic monologue and a soliloquy; the fact that the former contains within itself all that is needed to understanding it;
- 4. the difficulty of including all the necessary information plausibly:
- 5. function of dramatic monologue to reveal the inner nature of the speaker;
- 6. lack of action in dramatic monologue;
- 7. dramatic monologue reveals the character in a situation that is dramatically presented and usually at a moment of conflict in their life.