

General Certificate of Education

English Language and Literature 5721 Specification A

NTA1 Language Production

Mark Scheme

2006 examination - June series

Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation meeting attended by all examiners and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation meeting ensures that the mark scheme covers the candidates' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for the standardisation meeting each examiner analyses a number of candidates' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed at the meeting and legislated for. If, after this meeting, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been discussed at the meeting they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of candidates' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

DISTRIBUTION OF ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVES AND WEIGHTINGS

The table below is a reminder of which Assessment Objectives will be tested by the questions and the marks available for them.

Unit 1

Assessment Objective	AO4	AO6
Question 1 Production	25 (x2)	25 (x2)
Question 2 Commentary		25 (x2)

Question 1 – Production Marking procedure

- 1 Use the question specific mark scheme first to get a sense of which band the candidate's work falls into then use the grid.
- 2 Use of AO4 must be seen **through** AO6; mark piece of writing where context and choice of form by the candidate are reflected in their own writing, and where they have made informed choices in their own work.
- Award 25 marks for each AO, multiply each by 2 to arrive at a mark out of 100.

Question 2 – Commentary Marking Procedure

- Assessment of AO6 is concentrated upon the second part of the AO; mark piece of writing where commentary reflects and explains candidate's own writing, and where informed choices have been made based upon their own reading in AS English Language and Literature.
- Award 25 marks for AO6, multiply by 2 to arrive at a mark out of 50.

Marking notations for English Language and Literature

Use the guidelines in the Assistant Examiner's Handbook as the basis of your marking, but supplement with these specific notations used across all units of the new specification.

Points that are correct

✓ (tick):	to indicate a positiv	e point (but not rhyt	thmical ticking) Q1	and Q2
-----------	-----------------------	-----------------------	---------------------	--------

straight underline/

vertical line at side:to indicate a good passageQ1 and Q2expl:candidate explainsQ2pr:personal responseQ2

Errors (in body of script)

ringed or marked with S:	spelling mistake	Q1
squiggly underline:	poor expression/poor or wrong idea	Q1 and Q2
x (cross):	to indicate a point is wrong	Q2

Marginal annotation

pnm: point not made (if idea is not explained) Q2

For Question 1

BE: basic error

gr: grammatical error voc: vocabulary error exp: flaw in expression

For Question 2

point made about audience aud: point made about purpose purp: con: point made about context point made about form form: vocabulary point made voc: grammatical point made gr: point made about imagery imag: point made about phonology phon: point made about cohesion coh: struc: point made about structure lack of example given eg?

Generic Grid: Question 1

		AO4 (25 marks x2) Awareness of context/form/use of content.	AO6 (25 marks x2) Style/accuracy.
Band 5	24-25	 Interpretation of context Skilful use of form Completely fit for purpose 	 Expression wholly appropriate Audience completely engaged Sophisticated Stylish
	21-23	 Knowledgeable use of form Engaging content for audience and purpose Fit for purpose 	 Expression precise and appropriate Cohesive writing that works Engaging style Rare errors
Band 4	18-20	 Confident sense of context Content well selected for audience and purpose Convincing control of form Shows evidence of a number of qualities of the band above but not secure in all of these 	 Sustained use of appropriate style Approaching fulfilment of aims Firm control of technical aspects
	16-17	 Sound sense of context Content fulfils task Competent handling of form Successful approach for context/audience/purpose Soundly structured 	Effective register Clear stylistic shaping and communicative intent Underlying technical control Successful use of language for context/audience/purpose Few technical flaws Lacking sense of style of higher bands
Band 3	14-15	 Sense of context Audience clearly targeted Awareness of form Clear focus on set task Appropriate content with some gaps 	 Definite if inconsistent register Expression clear and generally controlled – mostly suitable for genre Some relatively minor technical flaws
	11-13	 Basic awareness of context and form, with some uncertainty evident Content broadly appropriate Approach broadly – but not entirely – appropriate for audience 	Some uncertainty about appropriate style but some conscious shaping Expression communicated ideas but lacks flexibility/sophistication Some technical flaws but few basic errors
Band 2	8-10	 Possibly some misunderstanding about one of the following: audience/purpose/form Some attempt made to address audience/purpose, which reveals some awareness of context, albeit on a very basic level 	 Style/approach not entirely convincing but possibly some broad shaping for audience Some flaws in expression Probably frequent technical errors
	6-7	 Some sense of purpose but style/approach not convincing for context/form Weak focus on audience 	 Style not secure for audience/purpose Ideas and expression likely to be naïve and vocabulary limited Intrusive errors
Band	4-5	 Very limited awareness of context Basic misjudgements of form Little or no focus on audience 	Occasional awareness of audience/purpose glimpsed in the language used Intrusive basic errors
1	1-3	 No sense of context No sense of form or shaping of material for audience/purpose Does not focus on task 	 Frequent weakness in expression Major flaws in technical aspects Style likely to be entirely inappropriate

Question 1 Your task is to write an extract from a novel in which you aim to convey a **sense of place** and **atmosphere**.

You may, if you wish, use Text A or Text B as a stimulus for your writing, but you should not copy extracts from these texts.

You are advised to write approximately 300 - 400 words.

Key Words: extract from novel – aim to convey – sense of place – atmosphere

Assessment focuses: choice of form, style and vocabulary – expertise and accuracy for specific

audience and purpose – knowledge of literary text and features of

language

Band 5: (21 - 25 marks)

21 – 25 Skilful and entirely convincing extract which reveals complete awareness of narrative form

Thoroughly engaging writing which interests the reader

Skilful and imaginative description

Sophisticated approach/expression

Cohesive, stylish and accurate writing

Band 4: (16 – 20 marks)

Confident extract which reveals a very sound awareness of narrative form Convincing writing which holds the reader's attention Confident expression. Sustained use of appropriate style Firm control of technical aspects

16 – 17 Clear, competent extract which reveals a sound awareness of narrative form Ideas are appropriate

Approach fulfils the task. Well expressed

Style is appropriate with some stylistic shaping. Few technical errors

Band 3: (11 – 15 marks)

14 – 15 General awareness of narrative form, with some inconsistencies

Ideas generally suitable

Approach not entirely convincing but seriously attempted

Expression generally clear and controlled

Candidate strives for suitable style, but some, relatively minor inconsistencies

Possibly some technical flaws

11 – 13 Basic awareness of narrative form but some misjudgements about style

Approach broadly but not entirely appropriate

Attempt made to convey atmosphere but the writing lacks convincing stylistic shaping

Expression lacks flexibility and sophistication

Possibly a number of technical flaws – few basic errors

Band 2: (6 - 10 marks)

8-10 Candidate has written an extract from a narrative but approach reveals limited understanding of the task

Some suitable ideas but the writing is unlikely to be engaging

Limited sense of how to convey atmosphere

Some flaws in expression

Likely to be frequent technical flaws

6-7 Some attempt made to write an extract from a narrative but approach not convincing

Very limited/simplistic ideas for genre

Style not convincing. Unlikely to be engaging and of interest

Unsophisticated approach/simplistic language

Intrusive errors

Band 1: (0-5 marks)

Basic misjudgements in approach reveal very limited awareness of task or narrative form, although a few ideas may be suitable at a very basic level Writing likely to be poorly constructed and difficult to follow Lacks audience awareness Intrusive basic errors

Very little or no sense of narrative form
Unlikely to be any sense of how to make the writing engaging for the reader
Style likely to be entirely inappropriate
Major flaws in technical aspects

Generic Grid: Question 2

	AO6 (25 marks x 2)			
		Sophisticated/subtle understanding of how writing works at different		
Band	24-25	level		
5		Wide-ranging analysis that thoroughly engages with meaning		
		Skilful use of framework for analysis		
		Cogent and cohesive		
		Clear overview of a range of effects for audience and purpose		
	21-23	Comments engage with meaning and are fully supported by examples		
		Knowledgeable use of framework for analysis		
		Confidently and accurately expressed		
		Distinguishes a range of significant features		
Band	18-20	Clear and detailed comment on the text's communicative intent		
4		Engages with meaning		
		Well supported comments showing linguistic insight		
		Successful and detailed use of framework for analysis		
		Competently expressed		
		Few errors		
	16-17	Distinguishes key features of style and effects created		
		Explains, using some detail, about grammar and style		
		Comments embedded within a framework for analysis		
		Explanation is clear – commentary is effective		
	14-15	Explanation evident		
		Framework for analysis used		
		Comments focus on a number of important linguistic choices		
		Some apt examples given but also some over-generalisations		
Band		Mostly clear communication of ideas		
3	11-13	Comments make mostly general points about stylistic features		
		Awareness of effect on audience and purpose and own intentions		
		shown		
		Some lack of precision and a limited number of precise examples		
		given		
		Explanation generally clear but lacking subtlety Describly feature grate		
		Possibly feature spots At least two longuage features commented on		
	8-10	At least two language features commented on Attempt mode to reflect on some ideas and choices.		
	0-10	 Attempt made to reflect on some ideas and choices Some reference made to vocabulary and style but in a very general 		
		 way Ideas are basically accurate although some misunderstanding may be 		
		evident		
Band		A limited number of ideas explored and very few examples given		
2		Partial use of framework for analysis		
		Some flaws of expression		
		At least one language feature commented on		
	6-7	Weak focus on audience and purpose		
		Comments simplistic and general		
		Focus on content rather than style		
		Probably no precise examples given to support ideas		
		Some errors of explanation		

	4-5	Partial and purely descriptive comments
		Occasional awareness of audience and purpose
		Simplistic ideas which include a number of inaccuracies
Band		Intrusive technical and expressive errors
1	1-3	Commentary is not explanatory
		No focus on task
		Fragmentary points
		Frequent weakness in expression

A06: Demonstrate expertise and accuracy in writing for a variety of specific purposes and audiences, drawing on knowledge of literary texts and features of language to explain and comment on the choices made.