

Centre Number						Candidate Number				
Surname										
Other Names										
Candidate Signature										



General Certificate of Education  
Advanced Subsidiary Examination  
June 2012

# Critical Thinking

**CRIT2**

## Unit 2 Information, Inference and Explanation

**Tuesday 29 May 2012 9.00 am to 10.30 am**

### For this paper you must have:

- a Source Booklet (enclosed).
- You may use a calculator.

### Time allowed

- 1 hour 30 minutes

### Instructions

- Use black ink or black ball-point pen.
- Fill in the boxes at the top of this page.
- Answer **all** questions.
- You must answer the questions in the spaces provided. Answers written in margins or on blank pages will not be marked.
- Do all rough work in this book. Cross through any work you do not want to be marked.

### Information

- The marks for questions are shown in brackets.
- The maximum mark for this paper is 70 (40 for Section A and 30 for Section B).

You will be marked on your ability to:

- use good English
- organise information clearly
- use specialist vocabulary where appropriate.

### Advice

- The recommended time allocation for this examination is as follows:
  - Initial reading: up to 15 minutes
  - Section A: 35–40 minutes
  - Section B: 35–40 minutes.

For Examiner's Use	
Examiner's Initials	
Question	Mark
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
TOTAL	



J U N 1 2 C R I T 2 0 1

**Section A**

Study **Documents A to D** before answering all the questions in the spaces provided.

There are 40 marks available for this section.

**Questions 1 to 3 refer to Document A**

- 1** Identify the 'fundamental flaw at the heart of [Ken Clarke's] reasoning'.

.....

.....

.....

.....

(2 marks)

2
---

- 2** This question refers to paragraphs 3 and 4 of Document A.

In paragraph 4, Carolina Bracken uses Italy as an example of a link between prison numbers and crime.

In doing so, does she commit the post hoc fallacy? Explain your answer.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

(4 marks)

4
---



- 3** Give **two** reasons why a high rate of imprisonment could cause the crime rate to fall.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

(4 marks)

4

**Questions 4 to 7 refer to Document B**

- 4** This question refers to Graph 1.

If you wanted to work out the risk of being a victim of crime, why should you look at the dotted line and not the solid one?

.....

.....

.....

.....

(2 marks)

2

**Turn over for the next question**

**Turn over ►**



**5** For this question, compare Graph 1 with Graph 2.

Can it be inferred from these graphs that an increase in the prison population causes a fall in crime?

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

(4 marks)

4
---

**6** This question refers to Graph 3.

Should we infer from Graph 3 that the police and criminal justice system became less effective at bringing criminals to justice between 1980 and 2010?

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

(3 marks)

3
---



- 7** Consider the following claim: 'If we want to reduce crime, we should use community punishments, not prison.'

To what extent is this claim justified by Graph 4?

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

(4 marks)

4

**Question 8** refers to **Document C**

- 8** Look at Table 1. Assume that the information it contains is entirely accurate.

Explain whether or not the following statements may be safely inferred from the information in Table 1.

- 8 (a)** At least 4 in 10 ex-prisoners will reoffend within one year of being released from prison.

.....

.....

.....

.....

(2 marks)

**Question 8 continues on the next page**

**Turn over ►**



- 8 (b)** Ex-prisoners who stay out of jail long enough are no more likely to commit crime than the general population.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

(3 marks)

- 8 (c)** Ex-prisoners are most likely to commit a serious offence (such as violence against another person) in the third year after being released.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

(3 marks)

8



**Questions 9 and 10 refer to Document D**

- 9** Identify the argument's main conclusion and one intermediate conclusion.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

(4 marks)

4

- 10** Consider one reader's response to Document D.

"The article makes a disgusting appeal for us to pity criminals who suffer when punished. But criminals are people who have chosen to hurt innocent victims. Prison is supposed to hurt because it's a punishment. We should jail criminals because they deserve it, regardless of how well it prevents crime."

Assess how effective this response is as a counter-argument to the main text of Document D.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

(5 marks)

5

**Turn over ►**

**Section B**

Answer this question.

There are 30 marks available for this question.

**11**

‘Despite its faults, a community sentence is a better way to punish most criminals than a prison sentence.’

Write a reasoned argument for or against the statement above.

In presenting your case you should:

- produce a structured argument with a clearly stated conclusion or conclusions
- draw on relevant information and evidence found in the source documents; you may also draw on your own knowledge and experience if relevant
- consider any general principles that may apply
- consider and respond to possible counter-arguments.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....





[illegible]

[illegible]

(30 marks)

30

**There are no questions printed on this page**

**DO NOT WRITE ON THIS PAGE  
ANSWER IN THE SPACES PROVIDED**

