Centre Number			Candidate Number		
Surname					
Other Names					
Candidate Signature					



General Certificate of Education Advanced Subsidiary Examination January 2012

Critical Thinking

CRIT1

Unit 1 Critical Thinking Foundation Unit

Wednesday 11 January 2012 1.30 pm to 3.00 pm

For this paper you must have:

• a Source Booklet (enclosed).

Time allowed

1 hour 30 minutes

Instructions

- Use black ink or black ball-point pen.
- Fill in the boxes at the top of this page.
- Answer all questions.
- You must answer the questions in the spaces provided. Do not write outside the box around each page or on blank pages.
- Do all rough work in this book. Cross through any work you do not want to be marked.

Information

- The marks for questions are shown in brackets.
- The maximum mark for this paper is 70 (50 for Section A and 20 for Section B).
- You will be marked on your ability to:
 - use good English
 - organise information clearly
 - use specialist vocabulary where appropriate.

Advice

- The recommended time allocation for this examination is as follows:
 - Initial reading: 15 minutes
 Section A: 45 minutes
 Section B: 30 minutes.

For Examiner's Use				
Examine	r's Initials			
Question	Mark			
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
TOTAL				



Section A

Study **Documents A**, **B** and **C** before answering all the questions in the spaces provided.

There are **50 marks** available for this section.

Questions	1 and 2 refer to Document A
1	How good is the support provided in the document for each of the following claims?
1 (a)	It is still quicker to read the print version of a book (paragraph 1).
	(4 marks)
1 (b)	(4 marks) iPads and Kindles were 'more satisfying' (paragraph 3).
1 (b)	



2	Given the evidence in paragraphs 2 and 3, identify one implicit assumption upon which the title of the article depends.	
	(O	
	(2 marks)	
		2

Turn over for the next question

Turn over ▶



Antonio I think a lot of people in the art world are frightened of new technologies because they threaten the power and status of the so-called creative industries. Anyone can make a film now; anyone can take the great picture; anyone can publish their writing on the internet. And this is a great thing. Sue But surely that cheapens it. If anyone can do these things, then they are no longer special. Nigel That's a stupid argument. It's like saying that water or food has become less valuable because it is more available to people. Comment critically on the analogy Nigel gives. (4 marks)		
Antonio I think a lot of people in the art world are frightened of new technologies because they threaten the power and status of the so-called creative industries. Anyone can make a film now; anyone can take the great picture; anyone can publish their writing on the internet. And this is a great thing. Sue But surely that cheapens it. If anyone can do these things, then they are no longer special. Nigel That's a stupid argument. It's like saying that water or food has become less valuable because it is more available to people. Comment critically on the analogy Nigel gives.	Questions	3 to 6 refer to Document B
threaten the power and status of the so-called creative industries. Anyone can make a film now; anyone can take the great picture; anyone can publish their writing on the internet. And this is a great thing. Sue But surely that cheapens it. If anyone can do these things, then they are no longer special. Nigel That's a stupid argument. It's like saying that water or food has become less valuable because it is more available to people. Comment critically on the analogy Nigel gives.	3	Consider the first part of the discussion:
Nigel That's a stupid argument. It's like saying that water or food has become less valuable because it is more available to people. Comment critically on the analogy Nigel gives.	Antonio	threaten the power and status of the so-called creative industries. Anyone can make a film now; anyone can take the great picture; anyone can publish their writing on the
because it is more available to people. Comment critically on the analogy Nigel gives.	Sue	
	Nigel	
(4 marks)		Comment critically on the analogy Nigel gives.
(4 marks)		
(4 marks)		
(4 marks)		
(+ mains)		(A marks)
		(4 marks)



4	Consider the following contribution from Antonio .
	'And it's just snobbishness to say that what they make is no good, to say that digital pictures, for example, are less valuable because they are easier to take.'
	Does Antonio's response to Sue commit either of the following flaws?
	Give reasons for your judgements.
4 (a)	Ad Hominem
	(4 marks)
4 (b)	Straw Man
	(4 marks)





5	Consider the following exchanges between Steve and Nigel .	
Steve	Personally I feel that if I have paid for something then I want to actually own something physical – not just the data, a series of 1s and 0s – but some tangible object I can touch, pick up and look at, put on my shelves.	
Nigel	But you don't <i>listen</i> to the object either. You listen to the song. All a CD is, is an imprint of the digital transcription of the music; it is a record of 1s and 0s. It already <i>is</i> in digital form.	
Steve	I think that if you just buy the download, not the physical thing, then you are not a fan.	
Nigel	What gives you the right to say that?	
Steve	Ask any true music fan, they will agree with me.	
	Comment critically on what Steve says in the exchange above.	
	(6 marks)	



6	Consider the contribution by Sue .
Sue	There's a deeper point here. There is a real danger that the rush to digitalise music and literature is actually impoverishing rather than enriching our lives. When something is just a package of data, you lose respect for it. Consequently, it becomes disposable; something that you just download, then erase. It also encourages people to go for things that are a quick fix. Your relationship with a book or a song; it should be something lasting, perhaps difficult to get on with initially, but then you grow to love it.
6 (a)	Identify Sue's conclusion or conclusions and the reasons she offers.
	(5 marks)
2 (1)	
6 (b)	Identify one implicit assumption that is necessary for her argument.
	(2 marks)
	Question 6 continues on the next page





6 (c)	Explain one possible flaw or weakness in Sue's reasoning.	
		(3 marks)



Questions	7 and 8 refer to Document C
7	Critically assess the following claim which is implied by the author as a reason for 'going back to film'.
	Images taken by digital camera are more fragile or vulnerable to being lost than images on a film camera.
	How justified is the claim; and are the assumptions that it makes warranted?

(6 marks)

6

Turn over for the next question

Turn over ▶



of sticking with film?
or sucking with min:
(6 mar



Section B

Answer this question in the space provided.

There are **20 marks** available for this question.

9 To what extent do you agree with the claim below?

'In the digital age, libraries, galleries and museums are a waste of money and space.'

Write a reasoned argument for your position.

In answering this question you should:

- state your conclusion (or conclusions) clearly
- offer effective reasoning to support your conclusion(s)
 use the information, and respond to issues or arguments

• use the information, and respond to issues or arguments, in Documents A – C .







Turn over ▶



(20 marks)

END OF QUESTIONS









