

# General Certificate of Education June 2012

# **Citizenship Studies**

Unit 1 Identity, Rights and Responsibilities

# Mark Scheme

CIST1

Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the pre-standardisation meeting attended by all senior examiners and any post-standardisation changes made in the light of students' responses. The process of standardisation ensures that the mark scheme covers the students' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for standardisation, each examiner analyses a number of students' scripts, the marks for which have already been agreed. If, later in the process, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not previously been seen, they are required to refer these to a senior examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of students' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

# Further copies of this Mark Scheme are available to download from the AQA Website: <u>www.aqa.org.uk</u>

Copyright © 2012 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

#### COPYRIGHT

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered schools and colleges for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to schools or colleges to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the school or college.

Set and published by the Assessment and Qualifications Alliance

The Assessment and Qualifications Alliance (AQA) is a company limited by guarantee registered in England and Wales (company number 3644723) and a registered charity (registered charity number 1073334). Registered address: AQA, Devas Street, Manchester M15 6EX.

# $\begin{array}{c} \mbox{GCE CITIZENSHIP STUDIES Unit 1} \\ \mbox{GENERIC MARK SCHEME for Questions } \hline 0 \ 1 \ \mbox{and } \hline 0 \ \ 5 \ \ . \end{array}$

Level	Assessment Objective AO1 Knowledge and Understanding	
Level 3	(4–5 marks)	
	Answers demonstrate a range of citizenship knowledge and an accurate understanding of relevant citizenship concepts and theories. A range of examples is used to relate knowledge and understanding to citizenship issues.	
Level 2	(2–3 marks)	
	Answers are characterised by a good level of citizenship knowledge and an understanding of relevant citizenship concepts and theories. Examples are used to relate knowledge and understanding to citizenship issues.	
Level 1	(1 mark)	
	Answers are characterised by limited citizenship knowledge and limited understanding of relevant concepts and theories. Students may make a limited attempt to use examples to relate knowledge and understanding to citizenship issues, or no examples may be present.	
	(0 marks)	
	No relevant response.	

# GENERIC MARK SCHEME for Questions $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Level	AO1 Knowledge and Understanding	AO2 Analysis and Evaluation	AO3 Communication and Action
3	(3–4 marks)	(3 marks)	(3 marks)
	Answers demonstrate a range of citizenship knowledge and an accurate understanding of relevant citizenship concepts and theories. A range of examples is used to relate knowledge and understanding to citizenship issues.	The response contains good evidence of the skills of analysis and evaluation of issues, problems or events in relation to the citizenship concepts and topics studied.	A range of relevant evidence is selected and organised which forms the basis of the arguments being presented. Arguments are clear and logical and the response uses appropriate citizenship terminology. Where appropriate, the response recognises and draws upon the student's own experiences in relation to citizenship participation. The response is legible with few, if any, errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar.

2	(2 marks)	(2 marks)	(2 marks)
	Answers are characterised by a good level of citizenship knowledge and an understanding of relevant citizenship concepts and theories. Examples are used to relate knowledge and understanding to citizenship issues.	The response contains evidence of the skills of analysis and evaluation of issues, problems or events in relation to the citizenship concepts and topics studied.	A range of information is selected and organised, from which arguments are developed. The response makes good use of appropriate citizenship terminology. Where appropriate, the response indicates an ability to discuss a wide range of key elements relating to citizenship participation. There may be occasional errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar.
1	(1 mark)	(1 mark)	(1 mark)
	Answers are characterised by limited citizenship knowledge and limited understanding of relevant concepts and theories. Students may make a limited attempt to use examples to relate knowledge and understanding to citizenship issues, or no examples may be present.	The response contains little evidence of the skills of analysis and evaluation of issues, problems or events, in relation to the citizenship concepts and topics studied.	There is little or no attempt to select and organise information and construct arguments. The response makes limited use of appropriate citizenship terminology. Where appropriate, the response indicates only a limited ability to discuss basic elements of citizenship participation. Errors in spelling, punctuation and grammar may be intrusive and the response may not be legible.
	(0 marks)	marks) (0 marks) (0 marks)	
	No relevant response.	No relevant response.	No relevant response.

# GENERIC MARK SCHEME for Questions 0 3, 0 4 and 0 7, 0 8.

Level	AO1 Knowledge and Understanding	AO2 Analysis and Evaluation	AO3 Communication and Action
3	(5–6 marks)	(4–5 marks)	(3–4 marks)
	Answers demonstrate a range of citizenship knowledge and an accurate understanding of relevant citizenship concepts and theories. A range of examples is used to relate knowledge and understanding to citizenship issues.	The response contains good evidence of the skills of analysis and evaluation of issues, problems or events in relation to the citizenship concepts and topics studied.	A range of relevant evidence is selected and organised which forms the basis of the arguments being presented. Arguments are clear and logical and the response uses appropriate citizenship terminology. Where appropriate, the response recognises and draws upon the student's own experiences in relation to citizenship participation. The response is legible with few, if any, errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar.
2	(3–4 marks)	(2–3 marks)	(2 marks)
	Answers are characterised by a good level of citizenship knowledge and an understanding of relevant citizenship concepts and theories. Examples are used to relate knowledge and understanding to citizenship issues.	The response contains evidence of the skills of analysis and evaluation of issues, problems or events in relation to the citizenship concepts and topics studied.	A range of information is selected and organised, from which arguments are developed. The response makes good use of appropriate citizenship terminology. Where appropriate, the response indicates an ability to discuss a wide range of key elements relating to citizenship participation. There may be occasional errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar.

1	(1–2 marks) Answers are characterised by limited citizenship knowledge and limited understanding of relevant concepts and theories. Students may make a limited attempt to use examples to relate knowledge and understanding to citizenship issues, or no examples may be present.	(1 mark) The response contains little evidence of the skills of analysis and evaluation of issues, problems or events, in relation to the citizenship concepts and topics studied.	(1 mark) There is little or no attempt to select and organise information and construct arguments. The response makes limited use of appropriate citizenship terminology. Where appropriate, the response indicates only a limited ability to discuss basic elements of citizenship participation. Errors in spelling, punctuation and grammar may be intrusive.
	(0 marks)	<b>(0 marks)</b>	<b>(0 marks)</b>
	No relevant response.	No relevant response.	No relevant response.

The following indicative content is to be used in conjunction with the Generic Mark Bands, which are shown here in italics. In addition to the indicative content detailed here, all relevant responses will be given credit.

### Section A – Identity

0 1	What is meant by <i>relative poverty</i> ? (5 r		
AO1 Level 3	(4-5 marks)	Answers demonstrate a range of citizenship knowledge an accurate understanding of relevant citizenship concepts an A range of examples is used to relate knowledge and under to citizenship issues.	d theories.
		Response fully explains <i>relative poverty</i> . Answers likely to a state of deprivation that is not life-threatening, but is bas comparison with others in the same society. Examples of poverty may include the lack of a telephone, mobile teleph television, etc. Answers may define relative poverty in the incomes at less than 60% of the median income. Answer compare relative poverty to absolute poverty will be in the	ed on a relative none, e UK as s that
Level 2	(2-3 marks)	Answers are characterised by a good level of citizenship l and an understanding of relevant citizenship concepts and Examples are used to relate knowledge and understandin citizenship issues.	d theories.
		Partial or incomplete response to explain <i>relative poverty</i> examples, or perhaps limited or no examples.	with good
Level 1	(1 mark)	Answers are characterised by limited citizenship knowledg limited understanding of relevant concepts and theories. may make a limited attempt to use examples to relate kno and understanding to citizenship issues, or no examples r present.	Students wledge
		Limited or partial understanding of relative poverty.	

02	Outline ways in which the media stereotype some social groups. (10 ma		
<b>AO1</b> Level 3	(3-4 marks)	Answers demonstrate a range of citizenship knowledge a accurate understanding of relevant citizenship concepts	and
		theories. A range of examples is used to relate knowled understanding to citizenship issues.	ge and
		Students may be expected to show knowledge of the me social groups and stereotyping. Answers demonstrate k of the more common forms of stereotyping. Likely respo- include stereotyping on the basis of gender, race, religion sexuality, region, welfare recipients, etc. Media forms m- television, radio, newspapers, magazines, cinema, interr	nowledge nses may n, class, ay include
Level 2	(2 marks)	Answers are characterised by a good level of citizenship and an understanding of relevant citizenship concepts ar Examples are used to relate knowledge and understand citizenship issues.	nd theories.
		Answers demonstrate less accurate knowledge of the mo common forms of stereotyping and media portrayal, with knowledge or errors. Students may mention one or two stereotyping in the media.	gaps in
Level 1	(1 mark)	Answers are characterised by limited citizenship knowled limited understanding of relevant concepts and theories. Students may make a limited attempt to use examples to knowledge and understanding to citizenship issues, or no may be present.	o relate
		Limited knowledge of the forms of stereotyping by the me	edia.
AO2 Level 3	(3 marks)	The response contains good evidence of the skills of and evaluation of issues, problems or events in relation to the concepts and topics studied.	
		Answers will show explicit and detailed evaluation and/or the more common ways in which the media stereotype s groups. Analysis as to why such stereotyping takes place rewarded. Answers that show knowledge of theory of me influence such as the hypodermic syringe model will be i Examples of stereotyped representation may include that as home-makers, etc.	ocial æ will be edia n this level.

Level 2	(2 marks)	The response contains evidence of the skills of analysis and evaluation of issues, problems or events in relation to the citizenship concepts and topics studied.
		Answers at this level will contain evaluation and analysis of the more common ways that the media stereotype social groups, but this will be more limited.
Level 1	(1 mark)	The response contains little evidence of the skills of analysis and evaluation of issues, problems or events, in relation to the citizenship concepts and topics studied.
		Answers will contain little evidence of the more common ways in which the media stereotype social groups.
AO3 Level 3	(3 marks)	A range of relevant evidence is selected and organised which forms the basis of the arguments being presented. Arguments are clear and logical and the response uses appropriate citizenship terminology. Where appropriate, the response recognises and draws upon the student's own experiences in relation to citizenship participation. The response is legible with few, if any, errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar.
		Answers select and organise a range of relevant evidence which forms the basis of the arguments being presented about the more common ways in which the media stereotype social groups.
Level 2	(2 marks)	A range of information is selected and organised, from which arguments are developed. The response makes good use of appropriate citizenship terminology. Where appropriate, the response indicates an ability to discuss a wide range of key elements relating to citizenship participation. There may be occasional errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar.
		Arguments may not be as relevant but are related to citizenship participation and use citizenship terminology.
Level 1	(1 mark)	There is little or no attempt to select and organise information and construct arguments. The response makes limited use of appropriate citizenship terminology. Where appropriate, the response indicates only a limited ability to discuss basic elements of citizenship participation. Errors in spelling, punctuation and grammar may be intrusive and the response may not be legible.
		Arguments are limited, with little or no relation to citizenship participation or use of citizenship terminology.

0	S	Why is it so difficult to reduce discrimination?

(15 marks)
------------

Level 3	(5-6 marks)	Answers demonstrate a range of citizenship knowledge and an accurate understanding of relevant citizenship concepts and theories. A range of examples is used to relate knowledge and understanding to citizenship issues.
		Answers demonstrate a good depth of knowledge and understanding of discrimination and the methods by which discrimination is tackled. Expected knowledge may include knowledge of legislation and non-legislative bodies, knowledge of the extent of discrimination, and knowledge of discrimination reduction. Examples may include knowledge of the Equality Act 2010, the Equality and Human Rights Commission, Kick It Out campaign, the disparity in earnings between men and women, etc. These may be historical in nature, or drawn from local, national or international contexts. Credit may also be given to an explanation of the concept. Answers in Level 3 will normally show knowledge of legislation in regard to discrimination.
Level 2	(3-4 marks)	Answers are characterised by a good level of citizenship knowledge and an understanding of relevant citizenship concepts and theories. Examples are used to relate knowledge and understanding to citizenship issues.
		Answers will contain less extensive knowledge of discrimination and discrimination reduction approaches.
Level 1	(1-2 marks)	Answers are characterised by limited citizenship knowledge and limited understanding of relevant concepts and theories. Students may make a limited attempt to use examples to relate knowledge and understanding to citizenship issues, or no examples may be present.
		Responses will contain very limited knowledge of discrimination and discrimination reduction approaches.
AO2 Level 3	(4-5 marks)	The response contains good evidence of the skills of analysis and evaluation of issues, problems or events in relation to the citizenship concepts and topics studied.
		There may be a reference to a range of arguments assessing the problems of reducing discrimination. Answers are likely to focus on the problems of a legislative approach, the problems associated with formal bodies such as the Equality and Human Rights Commission, the problems associated with non-legislative and non-governmental bodies, the problems of trying to educate people and change attitudes, etc.

Level 2	(2-3 marks)	The response contains evidence of the skills of analysis and evaluation of issues, problems or events in relation to the citizenship concepts and topics studied
		Answers will examine the debate in less depth and examples may be less accurate. Explanations or analysis might be perfunctory or common sense.
Level 1	(1 mark)	The response contains little evidence of the skills of analysis and evaluation of issues, problems or events, in relation to the citizenship concepts and topics studied.
		Answers are likely only to identify that there are problems with reducing discrimination, with little analysis or evaluation.
AO3 Level 3	(3-4 marks)	A range of relevant evidence is selected and organised which forms the basis of the arguments being presented. Arguments are clear and logical and the response uses appropriate citizenship terminology. Where appropriate, the response recognises and draws upon the student's own experiences in relation to citizenship participation. The response is legible with few, if any, errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar.
		Answers select and organise a range of relevant evidence which forms the basis of the arguments being presented as to the problems of reducing discrimination.
Level 2	(2 marks)	A range of information is selected and organised, from which arguments are developed. The response makes good use of appropriate citizenship terminology. Where appropriate, the response indicates an ability to discuss a wide range of key elements relating to citizenship participation. There may be occasional errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar.
		The arguments may not be as relevant but are related to citizenship participation and use citizenship terminology.
Level 1	(1 mark)	There is little or no attempt to select and organise information and construct arguments. The response makes limited use of appropriate citizenship terminology. Where appropriate, the response indicates only a limited ability to discuss basic elements of citizenship participation. Errors in spelling, punctuation and grammar may be intrusive.
		Arguments are limited, with little or no relation to citizenship participation or use of citizenship terminology.

04	Why is it so di	fficult to define citizenship?	(15 marks)
AO1 Level 3	(5-6 marks)	Answers demonstrate a range of citizenship knowledge accurate understanding of relevant citizenship concepts theories. A range of examples is used to relate knowled understanding to citizenship issues.	and
		The response exhibits clear knowledge and understandid citizenship and the different debates surrounding citizens Knowledge of such concepts as rights, responsibilities, communitarianism, individualism, left wing, right wing, so citizenship, active citizenship, etc, will all be rewarded, a writers such as Crick, T H Marshall, Etzioni, etc. Content indicative only.	ship. ocial s will
Level 2	(3-4 marks)	Answers are characterised by a good level of citizenship and an understanding of relevant citizenship concepts a Examples are used to relate knowledge and understand citizenship issues.	nd theories.
		Answers will contain less extensive knowledge of citizen the citizenship debate. Answers that primarily focus on the citizenship because of immigration and multi-culturalism normally score above Level 2.	ne nature of
Level 1	(1-2 marks)	Answers are characterised by limited citizenship knowled limited understanding of relevant concepts and theories. may make a limited attempt to use examples to relate kr and understanding to citizenship issues, or no examples present.	Students nowledge
		Responses will contain very limited knowledge of citizen the citizenship debate.	ship and
AO2 Level 3	(4-5 marks)	The response contains good evidence of the skills of and evaluation of issues, problems or events in relation to the concepts and topics studied.	-
		The response will analyse the debate surrounding the va of citizenship, including rights and responsibilities, active citizenship, left wing and right wing views, communitarian individualism, citizens and subjects, etc. There should be conclusion based upon the evidence presented.	and social nism and
Level 2	(2-3 marks)	The response contains evidence of the skills of analysis evaluation of issues, problems or events in relation to the concepts and topics studied.	
		Answers are likely to show evidence of analysis and eva not have a range of arguments. Explanations or analysis perfunctory or common sense. Answers may examine th but not in much detail.	might be

Level 1	(1 mark)	The response contains little evidence of the skills of analysis and evaluation of issues, problems or events, in relation to the citizenship concepts and topics studied.				
		Responses will contain little evidence of analysis and evaluation.				
AO3 Level 3	(3-4 marks)	A range of relevant evidence is selected and organised which forms the basis of the arguments being presented. Arguments are clear and logical and the response uses appropriate citizenship terminology. Where appropriate, the response recognises and draws upon the student's own experiences in relation to citizenship participation. The response is legible with few, if any, errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar.				
		A range of relevant evidence is selected and organised which forms the basis of the arguments being presented on the problems in defining citizenship.				
Level 2	(2 marks)	A range of information is selected and organised, from which arguments are developed. The response makes good use of appropriate citizenship terminology. Where appropriate, the response indicates an ability to discuss a wide range of key elements relating to citizenship participation. There may be occasional errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar.				
		The arguments may not be as relevant but are related to citizenship participation and use citizenship terminology.				
Level 1	(1 mark)	There is little or no attempt to select and organise information and construct arguments. The response makes limited use of appropriate citizenship terminology. Where appropriate, the response indicates only a limited ability to discuss basic elements of citizenship participation. Errors in spelling, punctuation and grammar may be intrusive.				
		Arguments are limited, with little or no relation to citizenship participation or use of citizenship terminology.				

## Section B Rights and responsibilities

0 5	Briefly explain	the term <i>criminal law</i> .	(5 marks)			
AO1 Level 3	(4-5 marks)	Answers demonstrate a range of citizenship knowledge a accurate understanding of relevant citizenship concepts a theories. A range of examples is used to relate knowledge understanding to citizenship issues.	ind			
		Response that fully explains both <i>criminal</i> <b>and</b> <i>law</i> in deta means conferred by legislation or judicial precedent, and referring to the act of committing a crime; committing an a breaks the law; an infraction against the state. Criminal la body of law that deals with crimes and their punishment, a enforced by the state. Examples may include murder, manslaughter, theft, etc, but answers that <b>only</b> contain ex cannot be awarded at Level 3.	criminal' is act that w is the and is			
Level 2	(2-3 marks)	Answers are characterised by a good level of citizenship l and an understanding of relevant citizenship concepts an Examples are used to relate knowledge and understandin citizenship issues.	d theories.			
		Response explains the term <i>criminal law</i> in less depth with without examples. <i>Criminal law</i> can be contrasted to civil				
Level 1	(1 mark)	Answers are characterised by limited citizenship knowledge and limited understanding of relevant concepts and theories. Students may make a limited attempt to use examples to relate knowledge and understanding to citizenship issues, or no examples may be present.				
		Response very weakly explains the term <i>criminal law</i> , per without examples.	haps			
06	Explain <b>three</b>	political rights held by citizens in the UK.	(10 marks)			
AO1 Level 3	(3-4 marks)	(3-4 marks) Answers demonstrate a range of citizenship knowledge and accurate understanding of relevant citizenship concepts and theories. A range of examples is used to relate knowledge an understanding to citizenship issues.				
		Answers demonstrate knowledge of political rights, namel entitlements held by citizens in the political realm. Political can be contrasted with human rights (though the two are n mutually exclusive), legal rights, social rights, consumer ri Examples of UK political rights include the right to vote at right to stand for election, free speech, freedom of associa to protest, etc. Three distinct political rights must be explain	l rights not ghts, etc. 18, the ation, right			

Level 2	(2 marks)	Answers are characterised by a good level of citizenship knowledge and an understanding of relevant citizenship concepts and theories. Examples are used to relate knowledge and understanding to citizenship issues.
		Answers will demonstrate less accurate knowledge of political rights and will probably explain two rights.
Level 1	(1 mark)	Answers are characterised by limited citizenship knowledge and limited understanding of relevant concepts and theories. Students may make a limited attempt to use examples to relate knowledge and understanding to citizenship issues, or no examples may be present.
		Answers will demonstrate limited knowledge of political rights and examples may not be given or may not be accurate. They will probably explain only one right.
AO2 Level 3	(3 marks)	The response contains good evidence of the skills of analysis and evaluation of issues, problems or events in relation to the citizenship concepts and topics studied.
		Answers will show explicit explanation of three political rights held by UK citizens. For example, students may show understanding of universal suffrage and the concept of equality that is required in a representative democracy.
Level 2	(2 marks)	The response contains evidence of the skills of analysis and evaluation of issues, problems or events in relation to the citizenship concepts and topics studied.
		Answers will contain explanation of political rights held by UK citizens but this will be more limited.
Level 1	(1 mark)	The response contains little evidence of the skills of analysis and evaluation of issues, problems or events, in relation to the citizenship concepts and topics studied.
		Answers will contain very limited explanation of political rights held by UK citizens.

AO3			
Level 3	(3 marks)	A range of relevant evidence is selected and organised which form the basis of the arguments being presented. Arguments are clear and logical and the response uses appropriate citizenship terminology. Where appropriate, the response recognises and draws upon the student's own experiences in relation to citizenship participation. The response is legible with few, if any, errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar.	
		Students select and organise a range of relevant evidence which forms the basis of explanations being presented as to political right held by UK citizens.	ts
Level 2	(2 marks)	A range of information is selected and organised, from which arguments are developed. The response makes good use of appropriate citizenship terminology. Where appropriate, the response indicates an ability to discuss a wide range of key elements relating to citizenship participation. There may be occasional errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar.	
		Arguments may not be as relevant but are related to citizenship participation and use citizenship terminology.	
Level 1	(1 mark)	There is little or no attempt to select and organise information and construct arguments. The response makes limited use of appropriate citizenship terminology. Where appropriate, the response indicates only a limited ability to discuss basic elements citizenship participation. Errors in spelling, punctuation and grammar may be intrusive and the response may not be legible.	of
		Arguments are limited, with little or no relation to citizenship participation or use of citizenship terminology.	
0 7	'The "right to k	now" has gone too far.' Assess this view. (15 mark	s)
AO1 Level 3	(5-6 marks)	Answers demonstrate a range of citizenship knowledge and an accurate understanding of relevant citizenship concepts and theories. A range of examples is used to relate knowledge and understanding to citizenship issues.	
		Answers demonstrate a good depth of knowledge and understanding of the right to know in general and the Freedom of	

understanding of the right to know in general and the Freedom of Information Act 2000 in particular. Knowledge of the main provisions and exemptions of the Freedom of Information Act and the Data Protection Act should normally be present for this level. Knowledge of the extent of privacy laws and the media will also be rewarded. Also reward knowledge of privacy court cases such as Max Moseley, Naomi Campbell, etc, and Wikileaks. Level 2 (3-4 marks) Answers are characterised by a good level of citizenship knowledge and an understanding of relevant citizenship concepts and theories. Examples are used to relate knowledge and understanding to citizenship issues.

Answers will contain less extensive knowledge of the Fol Act and DPA, privacy laws and their context. Answers that focus on case studies and the privacy/right-to-know debate can only be at this level.

Level 1 (1-2 marks) Answers are characterised by limited citizenship knowledge and limited understanding of relevant concepts and theories. Students may make a limited attempt to use examples to relate knowledge and understanding to citizenship issues, or no examples may be present.

Responses will contain very limited knowledge of 'the right to know'.

## AO2

Level 3 (4-5 marks) The response contains good evidence of the skills of analysis and evaluation of issues, problems or events in relation to the citizenship concepts and topics studied.

The debate could be constructed in the private or public sphere, with a discussion of the individual's right to know personal information about themselves, an individual's right to have information from the state to allow transparency and accountability, and an individual's right to know about the private lives or otherwise of celebrities. There will be three or more arguments analysing the issue.

Level 2 (2-3 marks) The response contains evidence of the skills of analysis and evaluation of issues, problems or events in relation to the citizenship concepts and topics studied.

Answers are likely to show evidence of analysis and evaluation but may be more unbalanced and not have the range of arguments.

Level 1 (1 mark) The response contains little evidence of the skills of analysis and evaluation of issues, problems or events, in relation to the citizenship concepts and topics studied.

There will be little evidence of analysis and evaluation.

## AO3

Level 3 (3-4 marks) A range of relevant evidence is selected and organised which forms the basis of the arguments being presented. Arguments are clear and logical and the response uses appropriate citizenship terminology. Where appropriate, the response recognises and draws upon the student's own experiences in relation to citizenship participation. The response is legible with few, if any, errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar. Students select and organise a range of relevant evidence which forms the basis of the arguments being presented on the 'right to know'.

Level 2 (2 marks) A range of information is selected and organised, from which arguments are developed. The response makes good use of appropriate citizenship terminology. Where appropriate, the response indicates an ability to discuss a wide range of key elements relating to citizenship participation. There may be occasional errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar.

The arguments may not be as relevant but are related to citizenship participation and use citizenship terminology.

Level 1 (1 mark) There is little or no attempt to select and organise information and construct arguments. The response makes limited use of appropriate citizenship terminology. Where appropriate, the response indicates only a limited ability to discuss basic elements of citizenship participation. Errors in spelling, punctuation and grammar may be intrusive.

Arguments are limited with little or no relation to citizenship participation or use of citizenship terminology.

**0** 8 Assess the view that the Human Rights Act 1998 has been a success.

(15 marks)

#### AO1

Level 3 (5-6 marks) Answers demonstrate a range of citizenship knowledge and an accurate understanding of relevant citizenship concepts and theories. A range of examples is used to relate knowledge and understanding to citizenship issues.

Answers demonstrate a good depth of knowledge and understanding of the Human Rights Act 1998, and other relevant contexts such as the European Convention on Human Rights, the European Court of Human Rights, and other guarantors of rights in the UK, such as the media, pressure groups, etc. Knowledge of specific examples of relevant human rights cases will be rewarded.

Level 2 (3-4 marks) Answers are characterised by a good level of citizenship knowledge and an understanding of relevant citizenship concepts and theories. Examples are used to relate knowledge and understanding to citizenship issues.

Answers will contain less extensive knowledge of the Human Rights Act and its context.

Level 1	(1-2 marks)	Answers are characterised by limited citizenship knowledge and limited understanding of relevant concepts and theories. Students may make a limited attempt to use examples to relate knowledge and understanding to citizenship issues, or no examples may be present. Responses will contain very limited knowledge of the Human Rights Act and its context				
		Act and its context.				
AO2 Level 3	(4-5 marks)	The response contains good evidence of the skills of analysis and evaluation of issues, problems or events in relation to the citizenship concepts and topics studied.				
		Responses will analyse and evaluate the arguments relating to whether the Human Rights Act has been a success. Students may try to define success in this context. Ways include successfully protecting human rights, raising the profile of human rights, giving individuals access to UK courts to have their cases heard, speeding up access to justice, clarifying the law, strengthening the judiciary, limiting the arbitrary power of the executive, etc. Failures may include the criticism that the HRA is a 'criminals' charter', it ignores law-abiding citizens' rights, it leads to expensive and unnecessary cases, etc. It is expected that students will provide a number of cases that provide evidence of each side of the argument. Three or more arguments analysing the issue may be expected.				
Level 2	(2-3 marks)	The response contains evidence of the skills of analysis and evaluation of issues, problems or events in relation to the citizenship concepts and topics studied.				
		Answers will show evidence of analysis and evaluation but to a lesser extent than the highest level.				
Level 1	(1 mark)	The response contains little evidence of the skills of analysis and evaluation of issues, problems or events, in relation to the citizenship concepts and topics studied.				
		Answers are likely only to identify that there is a debate over a Bill of Rights, but the arguments are likely to be less developed.				
AO3						
Level 3	(3-4 marks)	A range of relevant evidence is selected and organised which forms the basis of the arguments being presented. Arguments are clear and logical and the response uses appropriate citizenship terminology. Where appropriate, the response recognises and draws upon the student's own experiences in relation to citizenship participation.				
		A range of relevant evidence is selected and organised which forms the basis of the arguments being presented about the success of the Human Rights Act.				

Level 2 (2 marks) A range of information is selected and organised, from which arguments are developed. The response makes good use of appropriate citizenship terminology. Where appropriate, the response indicates an ability to discuss a wide range of key elements relating to citizenship participation. There may be occasional errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar.

The arguments may not be as relevant but are related to citizenship participation and use citizenship terminology.

Level 1 (1 mark) There is little or no attempt to select and organise information and construct arguments. The response makes limited use of appropriate citizenship terminology. Where appropriate, the response indicates only a limited ability to discuss basic elements of citizenship participation. Errors in spelling, punctuation and grammar may be intrusive.

Arguments are limited with little or no relation to citizenship participation or use of citizenship terminology.

	Section A			Section B			
Assessment Objective	Marks allocated by Assessment Objective Question 01	Marks allocated by Assessment Objective Question 02	Marks allocated by Assessment Objective Questions 03 and 04	Marks allocated by Assessment Objective Question 05	Marks allocated by Assessment Objective Question 06	Marks allocated by Assessment Objective Question 07 and 08	Total Marks by Assessment Objective
AO1	5	4	6	5	4	6	30
AO2	0	3	5	0	3	5	16
AO3	0	3	4	0	3	4	14
Total	5	10	15	5	10	15	60

### ASSESSMENT OBJECTIVE GRID