

## **General Certificate of Education**

# **Chemistry 5421**

## CHM1 Atomic Structure, Bonding and Periodicity

# **Mark Scheme**

2008 examination - June series

www.theallpapers.com

Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation meeting attended by all examiners and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation meeting ensures that the mark scheme covers the candidates' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for the standardisation meeting each examiner analyses a number of candidates' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed at the meeting and legislated for. If, after this meeting, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been discussed at the meeting they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of candidates' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this Mark Scheme are available to download from the AQA Website: www.aqa.org.uk

Copyright © 2008 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

#### COPYRIGHT

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered centres for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to centres to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

Set and published by the Assessment and Qualifications Alliance.

### CHM1

#### Question 1

(a)		(Atoms/isotopes/particles/species with the) same (number of) <u>protons</u> and different (number of) <u>neutrons</u> [Not same atomic number/mass number/molecules/ element/ions/diff electrons] [allow 'different versions of the same element with']	(1)
(b)		$A_{r} = \frac{(204 \times 1.5) + (206 \times 23.6) + (207 \times 21.4) + (208 \times 53.5)}{100}$ [Wrong approach or not dividing by 100 = CE = 0] 207.3 [Answer to 1 d.p.] [Mark conseq on transcription error]	(1) (1)
(c)	(i)	$PbO_2 + Pb + 2H_2SO_4 \rightarrow 2PbSO_4 + 2H_2O$ [fractions/multiples]	(1)
	(ii)	<u>No</u> difference in reaction/chemistry/ chemical properties <u>identical/same</u> [Not 'similar' etc.]	(1)
	Tied to <b>M2</b>	They have same electron arrangement / number of electrons or chemistry determined by electrons / electron arrangement [Not just same protons] [allow if near-miss for M2] [accept 'same protons and electrons] [If $M2$ = blank or wrong, $CE = 0$ for M3]	(1)
(d)		<sup>24</sup> Mg mass number anywhere element name/symbol [ <i>If wrong atomic number given, 'con' Mg mark</i> ] [ <i>Not 24.3 or24.0</i> ]	(1) (1)
(e)	(i) Ind	High energy/speed electron / electron from electron gun [Not just 'bombarded with electrons'] Knock off/made to lose (one) e <sup>-</sup> (from the atom) /electron displaced from atom [Not equations here]	(1) (1)
	(ii)	Acceleration	(1)

Total = 11

www.theallpapers.com

Significant figures – accept a minimum of 2 sig. figs. (no maximum); penalise sig. fig. errors once in the question. Mark repeated sig. fig. errors as 'RE'

(a)		Moles $H_2O_2$ = $150 \times 10^{-3} \times 2.72$ [if $\times 10^{-3}$ missing, lose <b>M1</b> and <b>M2</b> ]	(1)
		= 0.408 / 0.41	(1)
		Moles $O_2 = 0.408 \div 2 = 0.20 - 0.21 \pmod{10}$ [mark conseq on error in moles $H_2O_2$ ]	(1)
(b)	(i)	Moles $PH_3 = 1.43 \div 34.0 = 0.0421/0.042 \text{ (mol)}$	(1)
	(ii)	Moles of oxygen reacted = $0.0421 \times 2 = 0.084 - 0.0842$ (mol) [mark conseq on error in moles PH <sub>3</sub> ]	(1)
	(iii)	$M_{\rm r} {\rm of } {\rm H}_{\rm 3} {\rm PO}_{\rm 4} = 98(.0)$	(1)
		Mass of $H_3PO_4 = 0.0421 \times 98(.0) = 4.1 - 4.13 g$ [mark conseq on error in moles $PH_3$ ]	(1)
(c)	(ii)	pV = nRT [accept correctly rearranged formula] [accept letters in wrong case]	(1)
		$p = \underline{nRT}$ = $\underline{0.166 \times 8.31 \times 300}$ volume conversion	(1)
		V $1725 \times 10^{-6}$ all other numbers correct	(1)
		$= 239906\underline{Pa} / 2.40 \times 10^5 \underline{Pa} / \underline{240 \text{ kPa}}$	
		[If $1725 \times 10^{-3} / 1.725$ used AND units = <u>kPa</u> allow all marks]	
		[If units = Pa, treat $1725 \times 10^{-3} / 1.725$ as an error in volume conversion]	(1)
		[If equation incorrectly rearranged, $CE = 0$ for <b>M3</b> and <b>M4</b> but can still earn <b>M2</b> for correct volume conversion, i.e. $1725 \times 10^{-6}$ ]	

(a)		<b>Energy</b> / <b><u>enthalpy</u> required/change to remove one electron</b>	(1)
		From a gaseous atom [Accept 'enthalpy change for the process $M(g) \rightarrow M^+(g) + e^-$ ' for 2 marks] [allow 'gaseous' from equation]	(1)
		"Enthalpy change when one mole of gaseous atoms forms one mole of (gaseous) unipositive ions." scores 2 marks	
		[i.e. idea of $\Delta H$ for atom $\rightarrow$ ion <sup>+</sup> earns M1 and 'gaseous' gets M2]	
(b)		Increase in number of protons / <u>nuclear</u> charge	(1)
		Decrease in size / same shielding / same shells / similar shielding / increased attraction between nucleus and <u>outer electrons</u>	(1)
(c)		S has a lower than expected $1^{st}$ IE value / is <u>low</u> / < <u>P</u> / decreases [if IE said to be higher, CE = 0 for M3 but allow M2]	(1)
		e <sup>-</sup> pair in 3p (sub-level)	(1)
	QoL	(Easier to remove e <sup>-</sup> due to) repulsion between these <u>paired</u> e <sup>-</sup> (wtte) [allow following error in 3p orbital – e.g. 2p] [not just diagram/electron arrangement]	(1)
(d)	(i)	BF3 shown as trigonal planar with no lone pairs	(1)
		F → B F Ignore errors in dots-and-wedges as long as shape is right Not 'T' shape / pyramidal Not dot-and-cross diagram Allow → for bond (it's the shape we want)	
		$H_2S$ shown as bent/ V shaped with 2 lone pairs	(1)
		Not S=H Not empty orbital envelopes Allow two dots without envelope	
	(ii)	(Because there are 3) bonding <u>pairs</u> /electron <u>pairs</u> ' <i>T</i> ' shape/pyramidal – allow this mark (M3) but not M4 below] with equal repulsion between them	(1)
		[allow 'equal repulsion between three bonds for <b>M4</b> but don't award <b>M3</b> ] [ <b>M4</b> only available if correct diagram given] [not 'equal repulsion between atoms']	(1)
		[If NOT just 3 bonding pairs (e.g. shows a lone pair), $CE = 0$ for M3 and M4]	
(e)		H-S-H bond angle = $107.5$ down to $95^{\circ}$	(1)

(1)

(a)		Na larger than Cl[allow contra argument][allow correct trend across the Period]	(1)
	Ind	Na as fewer protons/lower <u>nuclear</u> charge than Cl [allow contra argument]	(1)
	Ind	Both Na and Cl have the same shielding/shells / similar shielding	(1)
	Tied to M1	Weaker attraction between nucleus and electrons of Na [allow contra arguments]	(1)
(b)	(i)	$\frac{1s^22s^22p^63s^1}{(Not [Ne] 3s^1)}$ [accept caps and subscripted numbers]	(1)
	(ii)	Na/sodium (atom) (larger than Na <sup>+</sup> /sodium ion)	(1)
	Tied	Ion has one less shell/energy level than atom [accept correct references to 2p and 3s sub-levels] [Not 'less electrons, so more attraction']	(1)
	(iii)	$1s^22s^22p^63s^23p^5 \rightarrow 1s^22s^22p^63s^23p^6$ / ion has more electrons/fills its outer shell	(1)
		Ion has more e <sup>-</sup> - e <sup>-</sup> repulsion	(1)
		[ Accept argument that this is not a fair comparison as: Cl value is ½ covalent radius.(1)Cl <sup>-</sup> value is determined from lattice measurements.(1)	
(c)		Least soluble sulphate $=$ BaSO <sub>4</sub> not name only	(1)
		Most soluble hydroxide $= Ba(OH)_2$ not name only	(1)
		[Allow, for 1 mark, correct identification of Ba/barium in <u>both</u> ] [if formulae of Radium compounds given, penalise RaSO <sub>4</sub> but allow Ra(OH) <sub>2</sub> as 'repeated error' – mark as RE]	

va	n der '	Waals' / London forces / dispersion forces / induced dipole-dipole /temporary dipole	ole-dipole
	M1	Diamond = $3D / C$ bonds to $4 C$ atoms / co-ord number = $4 /$ shows tetrahedral structure [not 'bonds to 4 molecules'] [not 'has tetrahedral bonds'] [if diamond NOT covalent, $CE = 0 = MI$ and $M3$ e.g. hydrogen bonding/van der Waals']	(1)
	M2	Graphite = 2D/planar/layers / sheets / plates / trigonal planar/ planes of atoms/C bonds to 3 C atoms / co-ord number = 3	(1)
		[Allow <b>M1/M2</b> from clear diagrams – diamond minimum = 5C; graphite minimum = 3 'non-linear' rings] [ignore diagram, unless it helps]	
	M3	Diamond (hard) as (covalent) bonds must be broken/overcome [not loosened] [allow chemical bonds] [if answer <u>clearly focussed</u> on melting point = 0]	(1)
	M4	Graphite lubricates as layers slide/move over each other	(1)
	M5	only weak forces/attractions between <u>layers</u> or van der Waals' forces / attractions between <u>layers</u> [Not 'bonds']	(1)
QoL	M6 M7	Graphite conducts because it has <u>delocalised/free</u> electrons [not just 'a sea of electrons / non-bonding electrons / electrons not involved in bonding'] electrons can flow <b>or</b> electrons can move through/between the layers/through the structure	(1)
		Looking for a clear idea of electrons moving in a specific direct, rather than randomly [Not 'carry current' / 'charge carriers]	(1)

	<b>M1 to 6</b> if a type of bonding is correctly identified, but later contradicted by an incorrect type of bonding, the CE penalty is triggered for that pair of marks. E.g. the bonding in sodium is stated to be 'metallic' but reference is later made to, e.g., sodium molecules / van der Waals' attractions / ionic bonding /etc. then $CE = 0$ for <b>M1</b> and <b>M2</b>			)e 5'
	M1	1 Bonding in sodium     metallic       [not 'metal bonds']     metallic		(1)
Ind	M2	<ul> <li>Held together by <u>attraction</u> between <u>+ve</u> ions/nucleus/lattice/atoms/<u>+ve</u> c delocalised/free electrons</li> <li>[not just an unexplained diagram]</li> <li>Wrong bonding = CE = 0 for M1 and M2</li> </ul>	entres and	(1)
	M3	3 Bonding in iodine covalent		(1)
Ind	M4	4 Molecules / $I_2$ / it / solid held together by <u>van der Waals</u> ' <u>attractions/force</u> [Not Atoms] Wrong bonding = $CE = 0$ for M3 and M	<u>28</u> 14	(1)
	M5	5 Bonding in sodium iodide ionic		(1)
Ind	M6	6 Held together by <u>attraction</u> between +ve ion and –ve ion / electrostatic <u>attractions/forces</u> <i>Wrong bonding</i> = <i>CE</i> = 0 for <i>M5</i> and <i>M6</i> [not 'poles'] [not just attraction between opposite characteristics]	arges]	(1)
Ind	M7	<ul> <li>7 Van der Waals' forces (in I<sub>2</sub>) are <u>much</u> weaker than the ionic bonding (in [<u>'much</u> weaker' may be inferred from a clear comparison of, e.g.: weak versus strong attractions, or of low versus high energy required to overcome attractions] Type of attraction may be inferred from earlier answers]</li> </ul>	NaI)	(1)
		[if van der Waals' or ionic bonding wrong, don't allow <b>M7 – BUT</b> you n can award <b>M4</b> or <b>M6</b> here, if not clear earlier]	ıay find you	
	<b>M8</b>	8 Each sodium atom loses <u>one</u> electron to an iodine atom.		(1)
		[Clear idea of the transfer of <u>le</u> from Na to I]		
		[award this mark if <u>both</u> half-equations are given]		
		[allow a dot-and-cross diagram showing the transfer of an electron from atoms – but NOT a diagram which just shows the resulting ions]	Na to I	
		[accept '2 Na transfer 2 electrons to an iodine/ $I_2$ molecule / to iodine]		
		[Not electron transferred from Na to $I_2$ /iodine molecule]		