

General Certificate of Education

Business Studies 5131

BUS2 People and Operations Management

Mark Scheme

2008 examination - June series

www.theallpapers.com

Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation meeting attended by all examiners and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation meeting ensures that the mark scheme covers the candidates' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for the standardisation meeting each examiner analyses a number of candidates' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed at the meeting and legislated for. If, after this meeting, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been discussed at the meeting they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of candidates' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this Mark Scheme are available to download from the AQA Website: www.aqa.org.uk

Copyright © 2008 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

COPYRIGHT

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered centres for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to centres to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

Set and published by the Assessment and Qualifications Alliance.

The Assessment and Qualifications Alliance (AQA) is a company limited by guarantee registered in England and Wales (company number 3644723) and a registered charity (registered charity number 1073334). Registered address: AQA, Devas Street, Manchester M15 6EX Dr Michael Cresswell Director General

www.theallpapers.com

General Marking Guidance

You should remember that your marking standards should reflect the levels of performance of Advanced Subsidiary candidates, mainly 17 years old, writing under examination conditions. The level of demand of this unit is that expected of candidates half-way through a full A Level course.

Positive Marking

You should be positive in your marking, giving credit for what is there rather than being too conscious of what is not. Do not deduct marks for irrelevant or incorrect answers as candidates penalise themselves in terms of the time they have spent.

Mark Range

You should use the whole mark range available in the marking scheme. Where the candidate's response to a question is such that the mark scheme permits full marks to be awarded, full marks **must** be given. A perfect answer is not required. Conversely, if the candidate's answer does not deserve credit, then no marks should be given.

The use of Levels of Response

Levels of response marking has holistic aspects, yet must conform to the rule of positive marking. A candidate who has built a strong argument must have that achievement recognised fully, even if a subsequent paragraph of ambiguity reduces the power of the whole. For this to occur consistently requires careful annotation of the level of response achieved within each skill category, at each significant stage within an answer.

Fundamental to a Levels of Response approach is that there may be more than one right answer to a written question. Examiners must use their professional judgement to credit any reasonable answer, whether or not it is listed on the mark scheme.

Levels of response marking requires examiners to follow the logic of a candidate's answer. A concept that would receive credit for knowledge in one context could become a means of analysis in another. It is also possible that a candidate's line of argument could validate knowledge that would not have been recognised if the candidate had simply tabled it. For example, acid test is not listed within the specification as a test of financial efficiency, yet a candidate could build an argument that made it relevant. Then knowledge could be rewarded as well as analysis.

Despite the value of skills such as analysis and evaluation, all answers must be based upon relevant knowledge and understanding. Therefore, it is not possible to credit application, analysis or evaluation unless recognisable knowledge has been rewarded.

The skills we seek from candidates are as follows:

- 1 Knowledge and understanding: accurate definitions or explanations of relevant terms should always be credited within this category; candidates can also gain credit for knowing and explaining a point relevant to the question, eg an advantage of factoring.
- 2 Application is the skill of bringing knowledge to bear to the business context faced by the candidate. Candidates should not be rewarded for simply dropping the company name or product category into their answer; the response must show recognition of some specific business aspect of the firm, its management or its situation.
- 3 Analysis: building up an argument using relevant business theory in a way that answers the question specifically and shows understanding of cause and effect.
- 4 Evaluation is judgement. This can be shown within an answer, through the weighting of an argument or in the perceptiveness shown by the candidate (perhaps about the degree of crisis/strength of the XYZ Company). It can also be shown within a conclusion, perhaps by weighing up the strength of the candidate's own arguments for and against a proposition. Evaluation is **not** shown simply by the use of drilled phrases such as 'On the other hand' or 'Business operates in an ever-changing environment'. It is shown through the weighting of the candidate's response plus the logic and justification of his/her conclusions.

Quality of Language

The GCSE and GCE A/AS Code of Practice requires the assessment of candidates' quality of written communication wherever they are required to write in continuous prose. In this unit, this assessment will take place for each candidate's script as a whole by means of the following marking criteria.

LEVEL 3 Moderately complex ideas are expressed clearly and reasonably fluently, through well linked sentences and paragraphs. Arguments are generally relevant and well structured. There may be occasional errors of grammar, punctuation and spelling.

3 marks

- LEVEL 2 Straightforward ideas are expressed clearly, if not always fluently. Sentences and paragraphs may not always be well connected. Arguments may sometimes stray from the point or be weakly presented. There may be some errors of grammar, punctuation and spelling, but not such as to suggest a weakness in these areas. **2 marks**
- LEVEL 1 Simple ideas are expressed clearly but arguments may be of doubtful relevance or obscurely presented. Errors in grammar, punctuation and spelling may be noticeable and intrusive, suggesting a weakness in these areas. **1 mark**

Total 3 marks

Total for this question: 6 marks

Explain **two** possible reasons why the new Head Chef at the Tottenham restaurant was recruited externally (**Section B**). (6 marks)

	Content 3 marks	Application 3 marks
Level 3	3 marks Identifies two reasons and shows some understanding of external recruitment or identifies one reason and shows good understanding of external recruitment	
Level 2	2 marks Identifies two reasons or identifies one reason and shows some understanding of external recruitment or shows good understanding of external recruitment	3 marks Good application of the reason(s) to <i>Mobeen</i>
Level 1	1 mark Identifies one reason or shows some understanding of external recruitment	2–1 marks Some application of the reason(s) to <i>Mobeen</i>

Definition: External recruitment is when applicants are recruited from outside the business.

Relevant answers might include the following:

- more choice the advert in the specialist catering magazine attracted many suitable candidates
- new ideas Ricky Stephenson implemented a staff training programme and batch production system
- lower training costs Ricky Stephenson had several years experience working for a variety
 of fast food establishments.

Total for this question: 8 marks

Analyse **two** possible reasons why *Mobeen*'s restaurants aimed to achieve high levels of capacity utilisation. (8 marks)

	Content 2 marks	Application 2 marks	Analysis 4 marks
	2 marks	2 marks	4–3 marks
Level 2	Identifies two reasons or identifies one reason and shows some understanding of capacity utilisation or shows good understanding of capacity utilisation	Good application of the reason(s) to <i>Mobeen</i>	Good analysis of the reason(s) to <i>Mobeen</i>
Level 1	1 mark Identifies one reason or	1 mark Some application of	2–1 marks Some analysis of
	shows some understanding of capacity utilisation	the reason(s) to <i>Mobeen</i>	the reason(s) to Mobeen

Definition: Capacity utilisation is the extent to which the maximum capacity of the firm is being used. It can be calculated using the formula:

Current output Maximum possible output × 100

Relevant answers might include the following:

- high levels of capacity utilisation enables fixed costs to be spread over more units (particularly an issue as the restaurants will have high fixed costs due to expensive rents and large investment in capital equipment)
- lower unit costs can be achieved enabling greater profit margins per meal (*Mobeen*'s target market was affluent professionals who would be prepared to pay high prices)
- lower unit costs could also enable *Mobeen* to charge more competitive prices
- busy restaurants would attract potential customers
- staff would be motivated if the business was doing well.

Total for this question: 15 marks

A major feature of *Mobeen*'s organisational design, was that 'all key decisions would be made centrally at head office' (**Section D**). Discuss the arguments **for** and **against** this centralised structure. (15 marks)

	Content 3 marks	Application 3 marks	Analysis 4 marks	Evaluation 5 marks
Level 3	3 marks Identifies two arguments and shows some understanding of centralisation or identifies one argument and shows	o marks	4 marks	5 marks Good judgement shown in weighing up the argument(s), with clear
	good understanding of centralisation			conclusion
Level 2	2 marks Identifies two arguments or identifies one argument and shows some understanding of centralisation or shows good understanding of centralisation	3 marks Relevant issue(s) applied in detail to the case	4–3 marks Good analysis of the question set	4–3 marks Judgement shown in weighing up the argument(s)
Level 1	1 mark Identifies one argument or shows some understanding of centralisation	2–1 marks Some application to issue(s) in the case	2–1 marks Limited analysis of the question set	2–1 marks Some judgement shown in text or conclusion

Relevant answers might include the following:

Definition: Centralisation is when decision-making power is kept at the top of the organisation.

Arguments for centralisation:

- it enables consistent policies to be implemented (this was important as every restaurant was identical in layout and menu)
- customers may prefer the fact that each restaurant is the same
- it enables faster decision making (*Mobeen* offered a constantly changing menu)
- expertise is concentrated at the top of the organisation (Mark Coles has plenty of successful experience in the fast food sector)
- it suits Mark Coles' autocratic management style.

Arguments against centralisation:

- junior managers may become de-motivated
- restaurant managers will have better local knowledge of their customer preferences
- lack of flexibility in terms of responding to local customer needs
- the success of the Tottenham restaurant where the manager implemented job rotation and Kaizen contrary to company policy.

Evaluative points might include the following:

- a weighing up of the arguments
- a recognition that it is unlikely that Mark Coles would alter his policy of centralisation
- a recognition that the majority of fast food chains are identical in format and this is a key reason for their success.
 www.theallpapers.com

Total for this question: 6 marks

Outline **two** possible benefits for *Mobeen* that could result from the implementation of 'the strict quality control system' described in **Section D**. (6 marks)

	Content 3 marks	Application 3 marks
Level 3	3 marks Identifies two benefits and shows some understanding of quality control or identifies one benefit and shows good understanding of quality control	
Level 2	2 marks Identifies two benefits or identifies one benefit and shows some understanding of quality control or shows good understanding of quality control	3 marks Good application of the benefit(s) to <i>Mobeen</i>
Level 1	1 mark Identifies one benefit or shows some understanding of quality control	2–1 marks Some application of the benefit(s) to <i>Mobeen</i>

Definition: Quality control is a system that maintains quality through a team of inspectors.

Relevant answers might include the following:

- *Mobeen*'s strategy is to offer high quality food and customer service quality control is intended to ensure that high standards are maintained
- it would enable *Mobeen* to gain a reputation for quality
- the monthly league tables enable *Mobeen* to identify the best performing restaurants and to reward them with bonuses
- the tables would also identify the worst performing restaurants and enable corrective action to be implemented.

Total for this question: 15 marks

To what extent do you think that the use of just-in-time techniques was critical to *Mobeen*'s success? (15 marks)

	Content	Application	Analysis	Evaluation
	3 marks	3 marks	4 marks	5 marks
	3 marks			5 marks
Level 3	Identifies two arguments			Good judgement
	and shows some			shown in
	understanding			weighing up the
	of JIT or identifies one			argument(s),
	argument and shows good			with clear
	understanding of JIT			conclusion
	2 marks	3 marks	4–3 marks	4–3 marks
Level 2	Identifies two arguments or	Relevant	Good analysis	Judgement
	identifies one argument and	issue(s)	of the question	shown in
	shows some understanding of	applied in	set	weighing up the
	JIT or shows good	detail to the		argument(s)
	understanding of JIT	case		
	1 mark	2–1 marks	2–1 marks	2–1 marks
Level 1	Identifies one argument or	Some	Limited	Some
	shows some understanding of	application to	analysis of the	judgement
	JIT	issue(s) in the	question set	shown in text or
		case		conclusion

Relevant answers might include the following:

Definition: Just-in-time is a system that organises operations so that stock arrives just at the time it is needed for production.

Arguments that JIT did contribute to Mobeen's success:

- reduced stockholding costs
- less storage space required which could be put to more profitable use such as room for extra customers
- Mobeen's ingredients were perishable JIT could result in less waste and reduces the chances of stock becoming out-of-date
- improved cash flow (especially important as *Mobeen* was expanding rapidly).

Arguments that JIT did not contribute to Mobeen's success:

- local suppliers were unreliable there were missed deliveries and inorganic ingredients sent to the Chelsea restaurant
- the constantly changing menu would have created problems for suppliers
- increased administration costs and the inability to achieve purchasing economies of scale.

Evaluative points might include the following:

- a recognition that *Mobeen*'s success can be attributed to a variety of factors such as good quality food and service, marketing, location and effective leadership
- that JIT is dependent upon reliable suppliers there is plenty of evidence that this was not the case.